

# **STS Grandfathering Term Master Checklist**

## **PERMANENT MASTER ISSUER PLC**

**Issue of 2016-1 Series 1 Class A1 Notes**



**PRIME COLLATERALISED SECURITIES (PCS) UK LIMITED**

6 November 2019

**Analyst:Fazel Ahmed– +44 (0) 203 440 3724**

**This is the STS Term Master Checklist for STS Term Verifications.**

**As the securitisation is structured as a master trust programme, all notes issued from time to time under the programme are ultimately backed by the same residential mortgage loans comprising the portfolio from time to time, and benefit from the same structural terms and conditions documented under the same programme level transaction documents currently in effect. In 2019, the programme was updated to more closely align the portfolio and the programme level transaction documents with the requirements of a simple, transparent and standardised non-ABCP transaction pursuant to Articles 19 to 22 of the Securitisation Regulation. Accordingly, the following considers the facts and terms of the programme at the time of issuance of the notes, taking into account the facts and terms of the programme at the time of this checklist**

**This STS Term Checklist must be read together with the PCS Procedures Manual and the PCS Term Evidentiary Standards Manual. This document is based upon the materials received by PCS as at the date of this document. Any references in this document are to the base prospectus dated 7 October 2019 unless otherwise stated.**

**PCS comments in this STS Term Master Checklist are based on PCS' interpretation of the STS Regulation (the "Regulation") informed by (a) the text of the Regulation itself, (b) the EBA guidelines and recommendations issued in accordance with Article 19(2) of the Regulation (the "EBA Guidelines") and (c) any relevant national competent authorities interpretation of the STS criteria to the extent known to PCS.**

**It is important that the reader of this checklist reviews and understands the disclaimer referred to on the following page.**

**6 November 2019**

## STS Disclaimer

Neither an STS Verification, nor a CRR Assessment, nor an LCR Assessment is a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities. None are investment advice whether generally or as defined under Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2004/39/EC) and none are a credit rating whether generally or as defined under the Credit Rating Agency Regulation (1060/2009/EC).

PCS UK and PCS EU are authorised respectively by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and the French *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* as third parties verifying STS compliance pursuant to article 28 of the Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 (the "**STS Regulation**").

Neither CRR Assessments or LCR Assessments are endorsed or regulated by any regulatory and/or supervisory authority nor, other than as set out above, are the PCS Association or either of its subsidiaries, PCS UK and PCS EU, regulated by any regulator and/or supervisory authority including the Belgian Financial Services and Markets Authority, the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority, the French *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* or the European Securities and Markets Authority.

By assessing the STS or CRR status of any securities or financing, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU express any views about the creditworthiness of these securities or financings or their suitability for any existing or potential investor or as to whether there will be a ready, liquid market for these securities or financings.

Equally, by completing (either positively or negatively) any STS or CRR status assessment of certain instruments, no statement of any kind is made as to the value or price of these instruments or the appropriateness of the interest rate they carry (if any).

In the provision of any STS Verification or CRR Assessment or LCR Assessment, PCS has based its decision on information provided directly and indirectly by the originator or sponsor of the relevant securitisation. Specifically, it has relied on statements made in the relevant prospectus or deal sheet, documentation and/or in certificates provided by, or on behalf of, the originator or sponsor in accordance with PCS' published procedures for the relevant PCS verification or assessment. You should make yourself familiar with these procedures to understand fully how any PCS service is completed. These can be found in the PCS website [www.pcsmarket.org](http://www.pcsmarket.org) (the "**PCS Website**"). Neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU undertake their own direct verification of the underlying facts stated in the prospectus, deal sheet, documentation or certificates for the relevant instruments and the completion of any STS Verification or CRR Assessment or LCR Assessment is not a confirmation or implication that the information provided to it by or on behalf of the originator or sponsor is accurate or complete.

The PCS entities take reasonable measures to ensure the quality and accuracy of the information on the PCS Website. However, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU can be held liable in any way for the inaccuracy or incompleteness of any information that is available on or through the PCS Website. In addition, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU can in any way be held liable or responsible for the content of any other website linked to the PCS Website.

To understand the meaning and limitations of any STS Verification you must read the General Disclaimer that appears on the PCS Website.

When entering any of the "Transaction" sections of the PCS Website, you will be asked to declare that you are allowed to do so under the legislation of your country. The circulation and distribution of information regarding securitisation instruments (including securities) that is available on the PCS Website may be restricted in certain jurisdictions. Persons receiving any information or documents with respect to or in connection with instruments (including securities) available on the PCS Website are required to inform themselves of and to observe all applicable restrictions.

## Prime Collateralised Securities (PCS) STS Verification

Individual(s) undertaking the assessment	Fazel Ahmed
Date of Verification	6 November 2019
<b>The transaction to be verified (the “Transaction”)</b>	<b>Issue of 2016–1 Series 1 Class A1 Notes, Permanent Master Issuer PLC</b>
Issuer	PERMANENT MASTER ISSUER PLC
Originator	Bank of Scotland plc
Lead Manager(s)	Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A., Lloyds Bank plc, Wells Fargo Securities International Limited
Transaction Legal Counsel	Allen & Overy
Rating Agencies	S&P, Moodys and Fitch
Stock Exchange	London Stock Exchange
ESMA Notification Date	6 November 2019

**PCS confirms that all checklist points have been verified as detailed in the associated comment box in the checklist below.**

**A summary of the checklist points by article is set out in the table on the next page together with a reference to summary headings of the respective article contents. To examine a specific article section from the list below in**

**further detail, please click on the article description in the table below to be taken directly to the relevant section of the detailed checklist.**

Article	Summary of article contents	Checklist Points	
Article 20 – Simplicity			
20(1)	<a href="#">True sale</a>	1, 2	✓
20(2)	<a href="#">Severe clawback (part 1)</a>	2	✓
20(3)	<a href="#">Severe clawback (part 2)</a>	2	✓
20(4)	<a href="#">True sale with intermediate steps</a>	3	✓
20(5)	<a href="#">Assignment perfection</a>	4	✓
20(6)	<a href="#">Encumbrances to enforceability of true sale</a>	5	✓
20(7)	<a href="#">Eligibility criteria and active portfolio management</a>	6 - 8	✓
20(8)	<a href="#">Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities</a>	9 - 14	✓
20(9)	<a href="#">No securitisation positions</a>	15	✓
20(10)	<a href="#">Origination, underwriting standards and expertise, unverified home loans</a>	16 - 21	✓
20(11)	<a href="#">No undue delay after selection, no exposures in default and to credit-impaired or insolvent debtors/guarantors, portion of restructured debtors, adverse credit history, higher pool risk</a>	22 - 30	✓
20(12)	<a href="#">At least one payment made</a>	31	✓
20(13)	<a href="#">No predominant dependence on the sale of asset</a>	32	✓
Article 21 – Standardisation			
21(1)	<a href="#">Risk retention</a>	33	✓
21(2)	<a href="#">Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks, disclosure, no further derivatives, hedging derivatives according to common standards</a>	34 - 39	✓
21(3)	<a href="#">Referenced interest payments</a>	40	✓
21(4)	<a href="#">Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice: no cash trap, sequential amortisation, no automatic liquidation</a>	41 - 44	✓
21(5)	<a href="#">Non-sequential priority of payments</a>	45	✓
21(6)	<a href="#">Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of revolving period</a>	46 - 50	✓
21(7)	<a href="#">Duties, responsibilities and replacement of transaction parties</a>	51 - 53	✓
21(8)	<a href="#">Expertise of the servicer</a>	54, 55	✓
21(9)	<a href="#">Remedies and actions by Servicer related to delinquency and default of debtor, priorities of payments, triggers for changes, obligation to report</a>	56 - 61	✓
21(10)	<a href="#">Resolution of investor conflicts and fiduciary party responsibilities and duties</a>	62, 63	✓
Articles 22 and 7 – Transparency			
22(1)	<a href="#">Historical asset data</a>	64 - 66	✓
22(2)	<a href="#">AUP/asset verification</a>	67, 68	✓
22(3)	<a href="#">Liability cashflow model</a>	69, 70	✓
22(4)	<a href="#">Environmental performance of asset</a>	71	✓
22(5)	<a href="#">Responsibility for article 7 and information disclosure before pricing and 15 days after closing</a>	72 - 75	✓
7(1)	<a href="#">Transparency requirements: availability of reports, documentation, underlying loan data</a>	76 - 101	✓
7(2)	<a href="#">Transparency requirements: designation of responsible entity, securitisation repository</a>	102, 103	✓

1	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	20.1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.1 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.	Yes
	<b>Verified?</b>	
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See section, Sale of the loans and their related security – Legal assignment of the loans to the mortgages trustee and Sale of loans and their related security to the mortgages trustee on the sale dates.</p> <p>See Risk Factors – There may be risks associated with the fact that the mortgages trustee has no legal title to the loans and their related security, which may adversely affect payments on the notes.</p> <p>Confirmation of true sale i.e. enforceability of assignment, an assessment of the re-characterisation risks is made in the Legal Opinion.</p> <p>PCS has been provided with and reviewed the legal opinions provided by Allen &amp; Overy LLP and Shepherd and Wedderburn LLP.</p> <p>“True sale” is not a legal concept but a rating agency creation.</p> <p>The essence of a “true sale” is that the property in the securitised assets has legally moved from the originator(s)/seller to the SSPE in such a way that the SSPE’s ownership will be recognised as a matter of law, including and especially in the case of the insolvency of the originator(s)/seller. In a “true sale” the insolvency officer and creditors of the insolvent originator/seller are not able to satisfy the claims of the originator/seller’s creditor out of the proceeds of the securitised assets. Following a “true sale” there is no legal device by which the assets can automatically revert to the originator/seller’s ownership. Such automatic reversion is associated with security interests and anathema to a “true sale”.</p> <p>This is clearly stated in the wording of the Regulation (20.1). The expression “transfer to the same effect” indicates that, as long as the conditions in the preceding paragraph are met, the Regulation does not seek to limit the type of legal devices which can be used to effect such transfer of title.</p> <p>The issue of “true sale” is separate from the issue of “clawback”. “Clawback” refers to legal processes through which, in the insolvency of the seller of an asset, an insolvency officer is entitled to reverse the sale – even in cases where a “true sale” has taken place.</p> <p>All European jurisdictions to PCS’ knowledge, have rules allowing for clawbacks. Clawbacks are usually rules to avoid a company heading towards insolvency from “defrauding” its existing creditors either by selling assets at very low prices (to friends and relations) or unfairly preferring certain creditors over others.</p> <p>The Regulation (20.1) therefore does not require STS “true sales” to be fully clawback-proof since this would mean that no European securitisation could ever be STS. It does require the sale not to be subject to “severe clawback”. The Regulation does not define “severe clawback” but gives an example (20.2) where a clawback may occur.</p> <p>The Regulation (20.3) also explicitly excludes from the definition of “severe clawback” the traditional European basis for such devices which all come under the general category of “preferences”.</p> <p>PCS further notes that the examples (20.2 and 20.3) refer to the insolvency law of a jurisdiction and therefore believes that clawback risk is to be assessed on a jurisdictional basis rather than on a transactional basis.</p> <p>Finally, PCS does not believe and nor is there any evidence that the legislators or regulatory authorities are seeking to craft a higher standard than that which has been used for decades by the market and was the basis for the legislative text.</p> <p>Based on the above considerations, PCS believes that transfers from a jurisdiction meeting the following criteria – absent any other indications – shall not fall within the definition of “severe clawback”:</p>	

- Clawback requires an unfair preference “defrauding” creditors;
- Since “severe clawback” is a jurisdictional concept, in analysing this issue PCS will therefore first seek to determine the Originator’s jurisdiction for the purposes of insolvency law. This would be its centre of main interest or “COMI”.
- The second step would be to determine whether the relevant COMI contains severe claw back provisions in its insolvency legislation.
- Although the determination of a COMI can be a technically fraught analysis of international conflicts of law, PCS notes that in the vast majority of securitisations there is no real issue as the COMI is self-evident.
- In the case of the Transaction, title to the assets is transferred, in the case of English and Scottish assets by means of an equitable assignment and, in the case of Scottish assets, by a transfer of the beneficial interest only. The legal opinion from Allen & Overy and Shepherd and Wedderburn confirms that an equitable assignment and a Scottish assignation meets the definition of “true sale” outlined above.***
- In the case of Bank of Scotland, a United Kingdom Bank with the near totality of its business in the United Kingdom providing inter alia retail banking services in the United Kingdom, the COMI is without meaningful doubt the United Kingdom.***
- United Kingdom insolvency law provides for clawback in the cases of preferences and transactions at an undervalue and require the insolvency officer to prove that case. Therefore, and as confirmed by the Opinions, the transfer is not, in our opinion, subject to “severe clawback”.***

#### **EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on *background and rationale***

##### **True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))**

16. The criterion specified in Article 20(1) aims to ensure that the underlying exposures are beyond the reach of, and are effectively ring-fenced and segregated from, the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller’s insolvency, enabling an effective recourse to the ultimate claims for the underlying exposures.

22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:

- (a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;
- (b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.

#### **EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines**

##### **4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))**

###### ***True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect***

10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:

- (a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller’s insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;
- (b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;
- (c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks

11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.

12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.



2

Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.		
STS criteria		
2. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.1 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
COMI is in the UK. UK does not have severe clawback provisions.		
See comment under Criterion 1 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
16. The criterion specified in Article 20(1) aims to ensure that the underlying exposures are beyond the reach of, and are effectively ring-fenced and segregated from, the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, enabling an effective recourse to the ultimate claims for the underlying exposures.		
22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:		
(a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;		
(b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect		
10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:		
(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;		
(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;		
(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.		
11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.		
12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.		

Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.2. For the purpose of paragraph 1, any of the following shall constitute severe clawback provisions: (a) provisions which allow the liquidator of the seller to invalidate the sale of the underlying exposures solely on the basis that it was concluded within a certain period before the declaration of the seller's insolvency; (b) provisions where the SSPE can only prevent the invalidation referred to in point (a) if it can prove that it was not aware of the insolvency of the seller at the time of sale.		
STS criteria		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.2 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	Yes	
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Neither provision applies.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
17. The criterion in Article 20(2) is designed to ensure the enforceability of the transfer of legal title in the event of the seller's insolvency. More specifically, if the underlying exposures sold to the SSPE could be reclaimed for the sole reason that their transfer was effected within a certain period before the seller's insolvency, or if the SSPE could prevent the reclaim only by proving that it was unaware of the seller's insolvency at the time of transfer, such clauses would expose investors to a high risk that the underlying exposures would not effectively back their contractual claims. For this reason, Article 20(2) specifies that such clauses constitute severe clawback provisions, which may not be contained in STS securitisation.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect		
10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided: (a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale; (b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework; (c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.		
11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.		
12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.		

Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.3. For the purpose of paragraph 1, clawback provisions in national insolvency laws that allow the liquidator or a court to invalidate the sale of underlying exposures in case of fraudulent transfers, unfair prejudice to creditors or of transfers intended to improperly favour particular creditors over others, shall not constitute severe clawback provisions.		
STS criteria		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.3 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	Yes	
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See comments under Criterion 1 above with respect to Article 20.3. UK does not have severe clawback provisions.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
18. Whereas, pursuant to Article 20(2), contractual terms and conditions attached to the transfer of title that expose investors to a high risk that the securitised assets will be reclaimed in the event of the seller's insolvency should not be permissible in STS securitisations, such prohibition should not include the statutory provisions granting the right to a liquidator or a court to invalidate the transfer of title with the aim of preventing or combating fraud, as referred to in Article 20(3).		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect		
10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:		
(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;		
(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;		
(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.		
11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.		
12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.		

3	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.4. Where the seller is not the original lender, the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect of the underlying exposures to the seller, whether that true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect is direct or through one or more intermediate steps, shall meet the requirements set out in paragraphs 1 to 3.		
STS criteria		
3. Where the seller is not the original lender, the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect of the underlying exposures to the seller, whether that true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect is direct or through one or more intermediate steps, shall meet the requirements set out in paragraphs 1 to 3.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.4 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Transaction Parties – Seller which states:  Initially Halifax plc, and since the first closing date following the reorganisation date, Bank of Scotland plc  Overview of credit structure and cashflow – Mortgage Trust, 1st paragraph  The Prospectus indicates that loans were initially originated by Halifax and subsequent to the reorganisation by Bank of Scotland under the Halifax brand. The Prospectus and documents also indicate that only the Bank of Scotland is selling the securitised assets to the SSPE. However, based on the information provided to PCS, Bank of Scotland did not acquire the assets originated by Halifax through a transfer but by an actual reorganisation of Halifax and Bank of Scotland. In English law, this reorganisation means that only Bank of Scotland is the Seller. Therefore, the criterion about intermediate transfers is not applicable to the Transaction.  Legal opinions address the true sale aspects of articles 20.1 to 20.3		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
19. Article 20(4) specifies that, where the transfer of title occurs not directly between the seller and the SSPE but through one or more intermediary steps involving further parties, the requirements relating to the true sale, assignment or other transfer with the same legal effect, apply at each step.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect		
10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided: (a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale; (b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework; (c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.		
11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.		
12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.		

4	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.5. Where the transfer of the underlying exposures is performed by means of an assignment and perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction, the triggers to affect such perfection shall, at least include the following events: (a) severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing; (b) insolvency of the seller; and (c) unremedied breaches of contractual obligations by the seller, including the seller's default.		
STS criteria		
4. Where the transfer of the underlying exposures is performed by means of an assignment and perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction, the triggers to effect such perfection shall, at least include the following events: (a) severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing; (b) insolvency of the seller; and (c) unremedied breaches of contractual obligations by the seller, including the seller's default.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.5 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>See section, Sale of the loans and their related security – Legal assignment of the loans to the mortgages trustee, which states:</p> <p>7th bullet point - the date on which the seller ceases to be assigned a long-term unsecured, unsubordinated unguaranteed debt obligation rating by Moody's of at least Baa3, by Standard &amp; Poor's of at least BBB- or by Fitch of at least BBB-;</p> <p>8th Bullet point - the occurrence of an insolvency event in relation to the seller;</p> <p>10th bullet point - the seller is in breach of its obligations under the mortgage sale agreement, but only if such breach, where capable of remedy, is not remedied to the reasonable satisfaction of Funding 2 (acting in accordance with the controlling beneficiary deed) within 90 calendar days; and (ii) any of Fitch, Moody's and S&amp;P has confirmed that the then current ratings of the then rated notes will be withdrawn, downgraded or qualified as a result of such breach, PROVIDED THAT: (1) this provision shall not apply if the seller has delivered a certificate to the mortgages trustee, Funding 2, each new Funding beneficiary and/or the Funding 2 security trustee, as applicable, that the occurrence of such event does not impact the designation as a "simple, transparent and standardised" securitisation (within the meaning of the Securitisation Regulation) in respect of any series or class of notes then outstanding which are intended to satisfy the STS Requirements; and (2) this provision shall be subject to such amendment as the seller may require so long as the seller delivers a certificate to the mortgages trustee, any funding company and/or any Funding security trustee, as applicable, that the amendment of such event does not impact the designation as a 'simple, transparent and standardised' securitisation (within the meaning of the Securitisation Regulation) in respect of any series or class of notes then outstanding which are intended to satisfy the STS Requirements.</p> <p><b>Criterion 4 requires two steps:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• To determine whether the transfer of the assets is by means of an unperfected assignment; and</li><li>• If it is, whether the transaction contains the requisite triggers.</li></ul> <p>In the absence of any definition of "an assignment perfected at a later stage" in the Regulation or the EBA Guidelines and without additional views from the UK Financial Conduct Authority it is not possible to determine with finality whether an English equitable assignment is "unperfected" within the meaning of the Regulation – as distinguished from the meaning of the English rules of equity.</p> <p>PCS believes there are good reasons why the Regulation's term of "an assignment perfected at a later stage" does not encompass an English equitable assignment.</p> <p>However, this is not a question that is required to be answered in the case of the Transaction since, even if equitable assignments are unperfected assignments as defined in the Regulation, the requirements of the criterion are met by the Transaction.</p>		

PCS has measured the trigger events against the EBA Guidelines.

#### 20.5(a)

No absolute definition of “severe deterioration” can be given, but clearly the Regulation is seeking to avoid requiring a “hair trigger” deterioration. In other words, an originator could provide a “hair trigger” deterioration if it wanted to. Therefore, the rule does not require an originator or investor to weigh carefully the severity of the trigger so long as it meets the requirements of the EBA Guidelines to be related to the seller’s credit standing, be observable and related to financial health.

***The trigger provided in the Transaction meets these requirements.***

#### 20.5.(b)

***The insolvency trigger is in the Transaction.***

#### 20.5.(c)

The Regulation refers to “unremedied breaches of contractual obligations by the seller, including the seller’s default”.

PCS notes that neither the Regulation nor the EBA Guidelines specify which contractual obligations are targeted. One can assume that this cannot possibly mean any seller contractual obligation since most financial institutions have millions of contractual obligations under tens of thousands of contracts. It is not conceivable that, in order to protect a securitisation, a transfer could be required resulting from a trivial breach of a totally unrelated contractual provision (e.g. to keep the walls painted on a leased property unconnected to the transaction).

PCS also notes that the Regulation clearly does not say “any breaches of contractual obligations”. Therefore, the Regulation must be aiming at an undefined sub-set of contractual obligations. In the absence of any indication in the Regulation or EBA Guidelines as to what this sub-set may be, PCS concludes until clarification may be provided that it is up to the originator to define which sub-set of obligations should trigger a possible perfection.

PCS does believe though that the Regulation must be interpreted in a purposive manner – as evidenced by the EBA Guidelines. Therefore, the sub-set of obligations selected by the originator cannot be capricious but should have some connection with the risks that would be run by investors if the seller should encounter a problem prior to perfection of the title.

***The unremedied breach trigger is in the Transaction.***

### EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on *background and rationale*

#### **True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))**

20. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(5) is to minimise legal risks related to unperfected transfers in the context of an assignment of the underlying exposures, by specifying a minimum set of events subsequent to closing that should trigger the perfection of the transfer of the underlying exposures.

22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:

(a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;

(b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.

### EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

#### **4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))**

##### ***Severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing***

13. For the purposes of Article 20(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the transaction documentation should identify, with regard to the trigger of ‘severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing’, credit quality thresholds that are objectively observable and related to the financial health of the seller.

##### ***Insolvency of the seller***

14. For the purposes of Article 20(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the trigger of ‘insolvency of the seller’ should refer, at least, to events of legal insolvency as defined in national legal frameworks.

5	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
	20.6. The seller shall provide representations and warranties that, to the best of its knowledge, the underlying exposures included in the securitisation are not encumbered or otherwise in a condition that can be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect.		
	STS criteria		
	5. The seller shall provide representations and warranties that, to the best of its knowledge, the underlying exposures included in the securitisation are not encumbered or otherwise in a condition that can be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect.		
	Article 43.3(b): requirements under 20.6 must be met “at the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	See Representations and Warranties:  22. the seller has good title to, and is the absolute unencumbered legal and beneficial owner of, all property, interests, rights and benefits agreed to be sold and/or assigned by the seller to the mortgages trustee under the mortgage sale agreement free and clear of all security interests, claims and equities;  See also Mortgage Sale Agreement SCHEDULE 1 REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES paragraph 7.1 The Sellers Title		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
21. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(6), which requires the seller to provide the representations and warranties confirming to the seller’s best knowledge that the transferred exposures are neither encumbered nor otherwise in a condition that could potentially adversely affect the enforceability of the transfer of title, is to ensure that the underlying exposures are not only beyond the reach not only of the seller but equally of its creditors, and to allocate the commercial risk of the encumbrance of the underlying exposures to the seller.			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

6	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	6. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria....	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.7 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See section - Overview of portfolio and servicing – Representations and warranties and Eligibility criteria	
	See section - Sale of the loans and their related security – Representations and warranties	
	See also the Amended and Restated Mortgage Sale Agreement, Schedule 1 Representations and Warranties and Schedule 4 Lending Criteria.	
	The EBA Guidelines clarify that “clear” does not mean easily readable or comprehended by a non-expert. In the Regulation a criterion is “clear” when a court or tribunal could determine whether, presumably in all cases, the criterion is met for each asset. In the Regulation, “clear” is about certainty of determination.	
	<b>PCS has read the Eligibility Criteria in the Prospectus/Amended and Restated Mortgage Sale Agreement). As they are mandatory, they meet the “predetermined” requirement. As they are in the Prospectus / Amended and Restated Mortgage Sale Agreement they meet the “documented” requirement. PCS has also concluded that they allow determination in each case and so meet the “clear” requirement.</b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale</b>	
	<b>Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))</b>	
	23. The objective of this criterion in Article 20(7) is to ensure that the selection and transfer of the underlying exposures in the securitisation is done in a manner which facilitates in a clear and consistent fashion the identification of which exposures are selected for/transferred into the securitisation, and to enable the investors to assess the credit risk of the asset pool prior to their investment decisions.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))</b>	
	<b>Clear eligibility criteria</b>	
	17. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the criteria should be understood to be ‘clear’ where compliance with them is possible to be determined by a court or tribunal, as a matter of law or fact or both.	



7	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.		
STS criteria		
7. Which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.7 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>See section, Sale of the loans and their related security – Introduction:</p> <p>it provides for the repurchase of mortgage accounts and related security which have loans (1) which (in limited circumstances) are subject to a product switch, (2) which are subject to a further advance, (3) (at the seller’s option) which cause the seller to be in breach of any of its representations and warranties in respect of the loans, (4) which are in arrears by three or more monthly payments, (5) which are second home loans, (6) which are credit impaired loans and; (7) which are deedstore loans and (8) which are non-compliant loans; and</p> <p>For further details:</p> <p>See section, Sale of the loans and their related – Repurchase of loans under a mortgage account.</p> <p>See Amended and Restated Mortgage Sale Agreement, Clause 8 WARRANTIES AND REPURCHASE BY THE SELLER.</p> <p>Indeed the EBA Guidelines set out seven devices to repurchase securitised assets which are not to be considered indicative of “active portfolio management”. To the extent that a transaction only contains some or all of those seven devices and does not provide any other form of repurchase, then the STS criterion will be met. If the transaction should contain a repurchase device that is not included in the EBA’s list, then an analysis will need to be conducted as to whether this additional device offends against the principles set out in the EBA Guidelines (15.a and b) as defining “active portfolio management”.</p> <p><b>PCS has reviewed all the repurchase devices set out in the Prospectus and these are acceptable within the context of the EBA final guidelines.</b></p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))		
24. Consistently with this objective, the active portfolio management of the exposures in the securitisation should be prohibited, given that it adds a layer of complexity and increases the agency risk arising in the securitisation by making the securitisation’s performance dependent on both the performance of the underlying exposures and the performance of the management of the transaction. The payments of STS securitisations should depend exclusively on the performance of the underlying exposures.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))		
Active portfolio management		
15. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, active portfolio management should be understood as portfolio management to which either of the following applies:		
(a) the portfolio management makes the performance of the securitisation dependent both on the performance of the underlying exposures and on the performance of the portfolio management of the securitisation, thereby preventing the investor from modelling the credit risk of the underlying exposures without considering the portfolio management strategy of the portfolio manager;		
(b) the portfolio management is performed for speculative purposes aiming to achieve better performance, increased yield, overall financial returns or other purely financial or economic benefit.		

16. The techniques of portfolio management that should not be considered active portfolio management include:

- (a) substitution or repurchase of underlying exposures due to the breach of representations or warranties;
- (b) substitution or repurchase of the underlying exposures that are subject to regulatory dispute or investigation to facilitate the resolution of the dispute or the end of the investigation;
- (c) replenishment of underlying exposures by adding underlying exposures as substitutes for amortised or defaulted exposures during the revolving period;
- (d) acquisition of new underlying exposures during the 'ramp up' period to line up the value of the underlying exposures with the value of the securitisation obligation;
- (e) repurchase of underlying exposures in the context of the exercise of clean-up call options, in accordance with Article 244(3)(g) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2401;
- (f) repurchase of defaulted exposures to facilitate the recovery and liquidation process with respect to those exposures;
- (g) repurchase of underlying exposures under the repurchase obligation in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

8	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.		
STS criteria		
8. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.7 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Refer to criterion 6 above. The transaction allows for sales to be made ongoing. The representations and eligibility criteria apply to each sale. This criterion is a future event criterion. In other words, it cannot be either met or failed at the outset of the transaction. But if, at a later stage, it is not met, then the Originator will need to inform ESMA and the STS status of the securitisation will be lost. Therefore, as a technical matter, this criterion is not applicable at the closing of a transaction. However, PCS will nevertheless look to see if there is a covenant on the part of the originator to comply in the future with this requirement whilst noting, at the same time, that the absence of any such covenant – although possibly unsettling for some investors – would not invalidate the STS status of the transaction at closing. <b>PCS has identified the existence of such a covenant in the Prospectus and the Amended and Restated Mortgage Sale Agreement.</b>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20.7)		
25. Revolving periods and other structural mechanisms resulting in the inclusion of exposures in the securitisation after the closing of the transaction may introduce the risk that exposures of lesser quality can be transferred into the pool. For this reason, it should be ensured that any exposure transferred into the securitisation after the closing meets the eligibility criteria, which are no less strict than those used to structure the initial pool of the securitisation.		
26. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:		
(a) the purpose of the requirement on the portfolio management, and the provision of examples of techniques which should not be regarded as active portfolio management: this criterion should be considered without prejudice to the existing requirements with respect to the similarity of the underwriting standards in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, which requires that all the underlying exposures in a securitisation be underwritten according to similar underwriting standards;		
(b) interpretation of the term ‘clear’ eligibility criteria;		
(c) clarification with respect to the eligibility criteria that need to be met with respect to the exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20.7)		
<i>Eligibility criteria to be met for exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction</i>		
18. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, ‘meeting the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures’ should be understood to mean eligibility criteria that comply with either of the following:		
(a) with regard to normal securitisations, they are no less strict than the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures at the closing of the transaction;		
(b) with regard to securitisations that issue multiple series of securities including master trusts, they are no less strict than the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures at the most recent issuance, with the results that the eligibility criteria may vary from closing to closing, with the agreement of securitisation parties and in accordance with the transaction documentation.		
19. Eligibility criteria to be applied to the underlying exposures in accordance with paragraph 18 should be specified in the transaction documentation and should refer to eligibility criteria applied at exposure level.		

9	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	9. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.8 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>The draft RTS on homogeneity can be fully met on the basis of:</p> <p>Origination – see section, The Loans – Origination channels, Underwriting;</p> <p>Servicing – see section, The Servicer – Servicing of Loans;</p> <p>Asset class – see section, The Loans;</p> <p>Homogeneity factor UK jurisdiction, see Representations and Warranties, 17.</p> <p>The definition of “homogeneity” in the Regulation is to be the subject of a Regulatory Technical Standard (“RTS”). Being set out in an RTS, rather than a guideline or recommendation issued by the EBA, the definition of “homogeneity” will be legally binding on all regulatory authorities.</p> <p>Although a final draft of such RTS has been formally adopted by the European Commission, PCS notes that such RTS has not yet come into force. It is not necessary, as a technical legal matter, for the RTS to come into force before STS securitisations are issued. In the absence of the RTS, market participants must turn to the text of the Regulation to interpret what “homogeneity” means.</p> <p>In interpreting the expression, PCS has based itself on the text of the Regulation, its knowledge of the intent of the legislators – including, crucially, the legislators’ belief that the STS Regulation was justified by the excellent performance of most “plain vanilla” European securitisations and the draft RTS adopted by the European Commission.</p> <p>Based on the above, it seems clear to PCS that the Regulation would not seek to exclude from the STS category securitisations that have performed extremely well and are universally considered “homogenous” by market participants. This does not exonerate any transaction from being analysed against this criterion but does set the background for such analysis.</p> <p>Turning, for guidance, to the draft RTS adopted by the European Commission, four elements require examination: (a) “similar underwriting standards”, (b) “similar servicing standards”, (c) “same asset class” and (d) “relevant risk factors”.</p> <p>Until the RTS is finally approved and following the guiding principles of the EBA, we note that “similar underwriting standards” must mean something like the same type of underwriting approach, looking at the same types of data points to calculate the same type of credit risk. It cannot mean “exactly the same underwriting criteria”, since this would make it impossible for any securitisation ever to have a “homogenous” pool.</p> <p><b><i>In the Transaction, the mortgage accounts were underwritten on a similar basis, they are being serviced by Bank of Scotland, they are a single asset class – mortgage loans / receivables to individuals – and, based on the EBA’s suggested approach, the mortgage loans and receivables are all originated in the same jurisdiction.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>PCS also takes great comfort from the fact that transactions containing pools with similar characteristics have always been considered to be “homogenous” by a wide consensus of market participants.</i></b></p>	

	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>
	<p><b>Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</b></p> <p>27. The criterion on the homogeneity as specified in the first subparagraph of Article 20(8) has been further clarified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.</p>
	<p><b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b></p>

10	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.		
STS criteria		
10. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.8 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Sale of the loans and their related security – Representations and warranties.		
23. each loan and its related security is valid, binding and enforceable in accordance with its terms and is non-cancellable:		
(i) except in relation to any term in any loan or in its related security, in each case which is not binding by virtue of the Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1994 as amended, extended or re-enacted from time to time or (as the case may be) the Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999 as amended, extended or re-enacted from time to time; and		
(ii) except in relation to any flexible loan drawing, delayed cashback, home cash reserve drawing and any other further advance, in each case which is not enforceable by virtue of the CCA as amended, extended or re-enacted from time to time;		
Above is repeated in the Amended and Restated Mortgage Sale Agreement, Schedule 1, Representation and Warranties – 1.18.		
See Amended and Restated Mortgage Sale Agreement, Schedule 1, Representations and Warranties:		
1.19 To the best of the Seller's knowledge, none of the terms in any Loan or in its Related Security is not binding by virtue of its either (a) being unfair within the meaning of the Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1994 or (as the case may be) the Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999 or (b) not being compliant with the terms of the Consumer Credit Act 1974. In Warranties 1.18 and 1.19, reference to any legislation shall be construed as a reference to that legislation as amended, extended or re-enacted from time to time.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))		
28. The objective of the criterion specified in the third sentence in the first subparagraph and in the second subparagraph of Article 20(8) is to ensure that the underlying exposures contain valid and binding obligations of the debtor/guarantor, including rights to payments or to any other income from assets supporting such payments that result in a periodic and well-defined stream of payments to the investors.		
30. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a clarification should be provided with respect to:		
(a) interpretation of the term ‘contractually binding and enforceable obligations’;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))		
Contractually binding and enforceable obligations		
20. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, ‘obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors’ should be understood to refer to all obligations contained in the contractual specification of the underlying exposures that are relevant to investors because they affect any obligations by the debtor and, where applicable, the guarantor to make payments or provide security.		

11	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.		
STS criteria		
11. With full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.8 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Material legal aspects of the loans.  English loans  Enforcement of mortgages  If a borrower defaults under an English loan, the English mortgage conditions provide that all monies under the English loan will become immediately due and payable. The seller or its successors or assigns would then be entitled to recover all outstanding principal, interest and fees under the covenant of the borrower contained in the English mortgage conditions to pay or repay those amounts. In addition, the seller or its successors or assigns may enforce its English mortgage.  Scottish loans  Enforcement of mortgages  If a borrower defaults under a Scottish loan, the Scottish mortgage conditions provide that all monies under that Scottish loan will become immediately due and payable. The seller or its successors or assignees would then be entitled to recover all outstanding principal, interest and fees under the obligation of the borrower contained in the Scottish mortgage conditions to pay or repay those amounts.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8)		
30. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a clarification should be provided with respect to: (a) interpretation of the term 'contractually binding and enforceable obligations';		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8)		
Contractually binding and enforceable obligations		
20. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, 'obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors' should be understood to refer to all obligations contained in the contractual specification of the underlying exposures that are relevant to investors because they affect any obligations by the debtor and, where applicable, the guarantor to make payments or provide security.		

12	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts, relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	12. The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.8 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See section, The Loans – Characteristics of the loan – Repayment Terms.</p> <p>The loans in the portfolio are one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a repayment loan where the borrower makes monthly payments of both interest and principal so that, when the loan matures, the full amount of the principal of the loan will have been repaid;</li> <li>• an interest-only loan where the borrower makes monthly payments of interest but not of principal so that, when the loan matures, the entire principal amount of the loan is still outstanding and is payable in one lump sum; or</li> <li>• a combination of both these options.</li> </ul> <p>In the case of either repayment loans or interest-only loans, the required monthly payment may alter from month to month for various reasons, including changes in interest rates.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<p><b>Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</b></p> <p>30 (b) a non-exhaustive list of examples of exposures types that should be considered to have defined periodic payment streams. The individual examples are without prejudice to applicable requirements, such as the requirement with respect to the defaulted exposures in accordance with Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and the requirement with respect to the residual value in accordance with Article 20(13) of that regulation.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<p><b>4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</b></p> <p><b>Exposures with periodic payment streams</b></p> <p>21. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures with defined periodic payment streams should include:</p> <p>(a) exposures payable in a single instalment in the case of revolving securitisation, as referred to in Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;</p> <p>(b) exposures related to credit card facilities;</p> <p>(c) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and where the principal is repaid at the maturity, including interest-only mortgages;</p> <p>(d) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and repayment of a portion of the principal, where either of the following conditions is met:</p> <p>(i) the remaining principal is repaid at the maturity;</p> <p>(ii) the repayment of the principal is dependent on the sale of assets securing the exposure, in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and paragraphs 47 to 49;</p> <p>(e) exposures with temporary payment holidays as contractually agreed between the debtor and the lender.</p>	



13	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts, relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	13. Relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.8 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	As 12 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</b> 30 (b) a non-exhaustive list of examples of exposures types that should be considered to have defined periodic payment streams. The individual examples are without prejudice to applicable requirements, such as the requirement with respect to the defaulted exposures in accordance with Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and the requirement with respect to the residual value in accordance with Article 20(13) of that regulation.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</b> <b>Exposures with periodic payment streams</b> 21. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures with defined periodic payment streams should include: (a) exposures payable in a single instalment in the case of revolving securitisation, as referred to in Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402; (b) exposures related to credit card facilities; (c) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and where the principal is repaid at the maturity, including interest-only mortgages; (d) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and repayment of a portion of the principal, where either of the following conditions is met: (i) the remaining principal is repaid at the maturity; (ii) the repayment of the principal is dependent on the sale of assets securing the exposure, in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and paragraphs 47 to 49; (e) exposures with temporary payment holidays as contractually agreed between the debtor and the lender.	

14	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
The underlying exposures shall not include transferable securities, as defined in Article 4(1), point 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council other than corporate bonds, provided that they are not listed on a trading venue.		
STS criteria		
14. The underlying exposures shall not include transferable securities, as defined in Article 4(1), point 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council other than corporate bonds, provided that they are not listed on a trading venue.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.8 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, The Loans and the section, Sale of the loans and their related security, Representations and Warranties. See also the ESMA STS Notification, STSS28.		
Only residential mortgage loans form part of the Master Trust portfolio		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))		
29. The objective of the criterion specified in the third subparagraph is that the underlying exposures do not include transferable securities, as they may add to the complexity of the transaction and of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

15	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
	20.9. The underlying exposures shall not include any securitisation position.		
	STS criteria		
	15. The underlying exposures shall not include any securitisation position.		
	Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.9 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	See section The Loans and the section - Sale of the loans and their related security, Representations and Warranties. See also the ESMA STS Notification, STSS28.		
	Only residential mortgage loans form part of the Master Trust portfolio		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
	No resecuritisation (Article 20(9)) 31. The objective of this criterion is to prohibit resecuritisation subject to derogations for certain cases or for resecuritisation as specified in Regulation (EU) 2017/2402. This is a lesson learnt from the financial crisis, when resecuritisations were structured into highly leveraged structures in which notes of lower credit quality could be re-packaged and credit enhanced, resulting in transactions whereby small changes in the credit performance of the underlying assets had severe impacts on the credit quality of the resecuritisation bonds. The modelling of the credit risk arising in these bonds proved very difficult, also due to high levels of correlations arising in the resulting structures. 32. The criterion is deemed sufficiently clear and does not require any further clarification.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

16	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	20.10. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	16. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.10 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See section Sale of the loans and their related security – Representations and warranties.	
	1. each loan was originated by Halifax or the seller in the ordinary course of business pursuant to underwriting standards that were no less stringent than those that the seller at the time of origination to similar loans that are not securitised and was originated and is denominated in pounds sterling (or was originated and is denominated in euro if the euro has been adopted as the lawful currency of the United Kingdom);	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))</b> 33. The objective of the criterion specified in the first subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to prevent cherry picking and to ensure that the exposures that are to be securitised do not belong to exposure types that are outside the ordinary business of the originator, i.e. types of exposures in which the originator or original lender may have less expertise and/or interest at stake. This criterion is focused on disclosure of changes to the underwriting standards and aims to help the investors assess the underwriting standards pursuant to which the exposures transferred into securitisation have been originated.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

17	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	20.10. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	17. Pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.10 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See section Sale of the loans and their related security – Representations and warranties.	
	1. each loan was originated by Halifax or the seller in the ordinary course of business pursuant to underwriting standards that were no less stringent than those that the seller at the time of origination to similar loans that are not securitised and was originated and is denominated in pounds sterling (or was originated and is denominated in euro if the euro has been adopted as the lawful currency of the United Kingdom);	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))</b>	
	37. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:	
	(a) the term 'similar exposures', with reference to requirements specified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;	
	(b) the term 'no less stringent underwriting standards': independently of the guidance provided in these guidelines, it is understood that, in the spirit of restricting the 'originate-to-distribute' model of underwriting, where similar exposures exist on the originator's balance sheet, the underwriting standards that have been applied to the securitised exposures should also have been applied to similar exposures that have not been securitised, i.e. the underwriting standards should have been applied not solely to securitised exposures;	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10))</b>	
	<b>No less stringent underwriting standards</b>	
	23. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underwriting standards applied to securitised exposures should be compared to the underwriting standards applied to similar exposures at the time of origination of the securitised exposures.	
	24. Compliance with this requirement should not require either the originator or the original lender to hold similar exposures on its balance sheet at the time of the selection of the securitised exposures or at the exact time of their securitisation, nor should it require that similar exposures were actually originated at the time of origination of the securitised exposures.	

18	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	The underwriting standards pursuant to which the underlying exposures are originated and any material changes from prior underwriting standards shall be fully disclosed to potential investors without undue delay.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	18. The underwriting standards pursuant to which the underlying exposures are originated and any material changes from prior underwriting standards shall be fully disclosed to potential investors without undue delay.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.10 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See section, The Loans – Changes to the underwriting policies and the lending criteria.	
	The seller's underwriting policies and lending criteria are subject to change within the seller's sole discretion. New loans, further advances, retention drawings, home cash reserve drawings and flexible drawings that are originated under lending criteria that are different from the criteria set out here may be sold to the mortgages trustee.	
	Any material changes from the seller's prior underwriting policies and lending criteria shall be disclosed without undue delay to the extent required under Article 20(10) of the Securitisation Regulation.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))</b>	
	37 (c) clarification of the requirement to disclose material changes from prior underwriting standards to potential investors without undue delay: the guidance clarifies that this requirement should be forward-looking only, referring to material changes to the underwriting standards after the closing of the securitisation. The guidance clarifies the interactions with the requirement for similarity of the underwriting standards set out in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, which requires that all the underlying exposures in securitisation be underwritten according to similar underwriting standards;	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10))</b>	
	<b>Disclosure of material changes from prior underwriting standards</b>	
	25. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, material changes to the underwriting standards that are required to be fully disclosed should be understood to be those material changes to the underwriting standards that are applied to the exposures that are transferred to, or assigned by, the SSPE after the closing of the securitisation in the context of portfolio management as referred to in paragraphs 15 and 16.	
	26. Changes to such underwriting standards should be deemed material where they refer to either of the following types of changes to the underwriting standards:	
	(a) changes which affect the requirement of the similarity of the underwriting standards further specified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;	
	(b) changes which materially affect the overall credit risk or expected average performance of the portfolio of underlying exposures without resulting in substantially different approaches to the assessment of the credit risk associated with the underlying exposures.	
	27. The disclosure of all changes to underwriting standards should include an explanation of the purpose of such changes.	
	28. With regard to trade receivables that are not originated in the form of a loan, reference to underwriting standards in Article 20(10) should be understood to refer to credit standards applied by the seller to short-term credit generally of the type giving rise to the securitised exposures and proposed to its customers in relation to the sales of its products and services.	

19	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
In the case of securitisations where the underlying exposures are residential loans, the pool of loans shall not include any loan that was marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable intermediaries, were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.		
STS criteria		
19. In the case of securitisations where the underlying exposures are residential loans, the pool of loans shall not include any loan that was marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable intermediaries, were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.10 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Other characteristics.		
The loans in the trust property do not include at the time of origination any loans that were marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable, intermediaries were made aware that the information provided by the loan applicant might not be verified by the seller for purposes of Article 20(10) of the Securitisation Regulation		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))		
34. The objective of the criterion specified in the second subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to prohibit the securitisation of self-certified mortgages for STS purposes, given the moral hazard that is inherent in granting such types of loans.		
37 (d) the scope of the criterion with respect to the specific types of residential loans as referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 20(10) and to the nature of the information that should be captured by this criterion;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.4 Underwriting standards, originator’s expertise (Article 20(10))		
Residential loans		
29. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the pool of underlying exposures should not include residential loans that were both marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or intermediaries were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.		
30. Residential loans that were underwritten but were not marketed on the premise that the loan applicant or intermediaries were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender, or become aware after the loan was underwritten, are not captured by this requirement.		
31. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the ‘information’ provided should be considered to be only relevant information. The relevance of the information should be based on whether the information is a relevant underwriting metric, such as information considered relevant for assessing the creditworthiness of a borrower, for assessing access to collateral and for reducing the risk of fraud.		
32. Relevant information for general non-income-generating residential mortgages should normally be considered to constitute income, and relevant information for income-generating residential mortgages should normally be considered to constitute rental income. Information that is not useful as an underwriting metric, such as mobile phone numbers, should not be considered relevant information.		

20	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
The assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness shall meet the requirements set out in Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU or, where applicable, equivalent requirements in third countries.		
STS criteria		
20. The assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness shall meet the requirements set out in Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU or, where applicable, equivalent requirements in third countries.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.10 must be met "as of the time of notification".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, The Loans.		
The assessment of a borrower's creditworthiness is conducted in accordance with the lending criteria and, where appropriate, shall meet the requirements set out in Article 8 of the Consumer Credit Directive or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of the Mortgage Credit Directive or, where applicable, equivalent requirements in third countries.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))		
35. The objective of the criterion specified in the third subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to ensure that the assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness is based on robust processes. It is expected that the application of this article will be limited in practice, given that the STS is limited to originators based in the EU, and the criterion is understood to cover only exposures originated by the EU originators to borrowers in non-EU countries.		
37 (e) clarification of the criterion with respect to the assessment of a borrower's creditworthiness based on equivalent requirements in third countries;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		



21	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	The originator or original lender shall have expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.	
	STS criteria	
	21. The originator or original lender shall have expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.10 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, Bank of Scotland plc. Prudentially regulated established since 2007.	
	See also the Drawdown Prospectus dated 7 October, 2019, section STATIC POOL DATA which shows the Permanent programme and the origination and sale of mortgages by Halifax and Bank of Scotland since 2002.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))</b>	
	36. The objective of the criterion specified in the fourth subparagraph of Article 20(10) is for the originator or original lender to have an established performance history of credit claims or receivables similar to those being securitised, and for an appropriately long period of time.	
	37 (f) identification of criteria on which the expertise of the originator or the original lender should be determined:	
	(i) when assessing if the originator or the original lender has the required expertise, some general principles should be set out against which the expertise should be assessed. The general principles have been designed to allow a robust qualitative assessment of the expertise. One of these principles is the regulatory authorisation: this is to allow for more flexibility in such qualitative assessments of the expertise if the originator or the original lender is a prudentially regulated institution which holds regulatory authorisations or permissions that are relevant with respect to origination of similar exposures. The regulatory authorisation in itself should, however, not be a guarantee that the originator or original lender has the required expertise;	
	(ii) irrespective of such general principles, specific criteria should be developed, based on specifying a minimum period for an entity to perform the business of originating similar exposures, compliance with which would enable the entity to be considered to have a sufficient expertise. Such expertise should be assessed at the group level, so that possible restructuring at the entity level would not automatically lead to non-compliance with the expertise criterion. It is not the intention of such specific criteria to form an impediment to the entry of new participants to the market. Such entities should also be eligible for compliance with the expertise criterion, as long as their management body and senior staff with managerial responsibility for origination of similar exposures, have sufficient experience over a minimum specified period.	
	38. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10))</b>	
	<b>Similar exposures</b>	
	22. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures should be considered to be similar when one of the following conditions is met:	
	(a) the exposures belong to one of the following asset categories referred to in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402:	
	(i) residential loans secured with one or several mortgages on residential immovable property, or residential loans fully guaranteed by an eligible protection provider among those referred to in Article 201(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 qualifying for credit quality step 2 or above as set out in Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2 of that regulation;	
	(ii) commercial loans secured with one or several mortgages on commercial immovable property or other commercial premises;	
	(iii) credit facilities provided to individuals for personal, family or household consumption purposes;	
	(iv) auto loans and leases;	

(v) credit card receivables;

(vi) trade receivables;

(b) the exposures fall under the asset category of credit facilities provided to micro-, small-, medium-sized and other types of enterprises and corporates including loans and leases, as referred to in Article 2(d) of the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, as underlying exposures of a certain type of obligor;

(c) where they do not belong to any of the asset categories referred to in points (a) and (b) of this paragraph and as referred to in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous for the purposes of Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures share similar characteristics with respect to the type of obligor, ranking of security rights, type of immovable property and/or jurisdiction.

*Criteria for determining the expertise of the originator or original lender*

34. For the purposes of determining whether an originator or original lender has expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised in accordance with Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, both of the following should apply:

(a) the members of the management body of the originator or original lender and the senior staff, other than the members of the management body, responsible for managing the originating of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised should have adequate knowledge and skills in the origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised;

(b) any of the following principles on the quality of the expertise should be taken into account:

(i) the role and duties of the members of the management body and the senior staff and the required capabilities should be adequate;

(ii) the experience of the members of the management body and the senior staff gained in previous positions, education and training should be sufficient;

(iii) the involvement of the members of the management body and the senior staff within the governance structure of the function of originating the exposures should be appropriate;

(iv) in the case of a prudentially regulated entity, the regulatory authorisations or permissions held by the entity should be deemed relevant to origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.

35. An originator or original lender should be deemed to have the required expertise when either of the following applies:

(a) the business of the entity, or of the consolidated group to which the entity belongs for accounting or prudential purposes, has included the originating of exposures similar to those securitised, for at least five years;

(b) where the requirement referred to in point (a) is not met, the originator or original lender should be deemed to have the required expertise where they comply with both of the following:

(i) at least two of the members of the management body have relevant professional experience in the origination of exposures similar to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years;

(ii) senior staff, other than members of the management body, who are responsible for managing the entity's originating of exposures similar to those securitised, have relevant professional experience in the origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years.

36. For the purposes of demonstrating the number of years of professional experience, the relevant expertise should be disclosed in sufficient detail and in accordance with the applicable confidentiality requirements to permit investors to carry out their obligations under Article 5(3)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

22	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:		
STS criteria		
22. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay...		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>See section The Loans, third paragraph.</p> <p>The seller selects the loans for transfer into the portfolio, and any loans to be substituted into the portfolio, using an internally developed system containing defined data on each of the qualifying loans in the seller's overall portfolio of loans available for selection. This system allows the setting of exclusion criteria among others corresponding to relevant representations and warranties that the seller makes in the mortgage sale agreement in relation to the loans (see "Sale of the loans and their related security – Representations and warranties" below), for instance, the representation and warranty relating to a maximum outstanding principal balance of £500,000. Once the criteria have been determined, the system identifies all loans owned by the seller that are consistent with the criteria. From this subset, loans are selected at random until the target balance for new loans has been reached or the subset has been exhausted. After a pool of new loans is selected in this way, the constituent loans are monitored so that they continue to comply with the relevant criteria on the date of transfer.</p> <p>See also section, The Loans Portfolio – Other Characteristics:</p> <p>The loans in the trust property have been transferred into the trust after selection for inclusion in the portfolio without undue delay for purposes of Article 20(11) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

23	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:		
STS criteria		
23. And shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013...		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, The Loans		
Other characteristics		
The loans in the trust property do not include: (A) at the time of origination any loans that were marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable, intermediaries were made aware that the information provided by the loan applicant might not be verified by the seller for purposes of Article 20(10) of the Securitisation Regulation or (B) at the time of selection for inclusion in the portfolio any exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 for purposes of Article 20(11) of the Securitisation Regulation.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))		
39. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(11) is to ensure that STS securitisations are not characterised by underlying exposures whose credit risk has already been affected by certain negative events such as disputes with credit-impaired debtors or guarantors, debt restructuring processes or default events as identified by the EU prudential regulation. Risk analysis and due diligence assessments by investors become more complex whenever the securitisation includes exposures subject to certain ongoing negative credit risk developments. For the same reasons, STS securitisations should not include underlying exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have an adverse credit history. In addition, significant risk of default normally rises as rating grades or other scores are assigned that indicate highly speculative credit quality and high likelihood of default, i.e. the possibility that the debtor or guarantor is not able to meet its obligations becomes a real possibility. Such exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors should therefore also not be eligible for STS purposes.		
40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:		
(a) Interpretation of the term 'exposures in default': given the differences in interpretation of the term 'default', the interpretation of this criterion should refer to additional guidance on this term provided in the existing delegated regulations and guidelines developed by the EBA, while taking into account the limitation of scope of that additional guidance to certain types of institutions;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))		
Exposures in default		
37. For the purposes of the first subparagraph of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the exposures in default should be interpreted in the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) 575/2013, as further specified by the Delegated Regulation on the materiality threshold for credit obligations past due developed in accordance with Article 178 of that Regulation, and by the EBA Guidelines on the application of the definition of default developed in accordance with Article 178(7) of that regulation.		
38. Where an originator or original lender is not an institution and is therefore not subject to Regulation (EU) 575/2013, the originator or original lender should comply with the guidance provided in the previous paragraph to the extent that such application is not deemed to be unduly burdensome. In that case, the originator or original lender should apply the established processes and the information obtained from debtors on origination of the exposures, information obtained from the originator in the course of its servicing of the exposures or in the course of its risk management procedure or information notified to the originator by a third party.		

24	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:		
STS criteria		
24. Or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>See section, Sale of the loans and their related security – Representations and Warranties:</p> <p>12. the loan is not a second home loan or a credit impaired loan;</p> <p>See Glossary:</p> <p>credit impaired loan : a loan which, so far as the seller is aware, having made all reasonable enquiries, is a loan to a borrower who is a (i) "credit-impaired obligor " as described in Article 13(2)(j) of the Commission Delegated Regulation supplementing Regulation (EU) 575/2013 with regard to the Liquidity Coverage Requirement for Credit Institutions of 10 October 2014 (or, if different, the equivalent provisions in any such enacted version of such Commission Delegated Regulation) and or (ii) a "credit-impaired debtor" as described in Article 20(11) of the Securitisation Regulation, and in each case in accordance with any official guidance issued in relation thereto</p> <p>The note below applies to points from 24 to 30.</p> <p>Although the text of the STS Regulation is quite vague, the EBA guidelines on defining "credit impaired" debtors are very helpful.</p> <p>For PCS, the key points of the EBA guidelines on this issue are:</p> <p>a. First that the three listed conditions of credit impaired status (set out in article 20.11 (a) to (c) of the Regulation) amount to a full definition of what it means to be "credit impaired". So that it is not necessary to reflect at what the term "credit impaired" could mean above and beyond those three items.</p> <p>b. Secondly, in relation to entries in a credit registry, the EBA is very clear that the criterion should not be interpreted as excluding debtors with any entry on a credit registry. Providing further guidance, the example given in the EBA Guidelines of a credit registry entry that would not be indicative of a "credit impaired" debtor is the example of a failure to pay that can "reasonably be ignored" for the purposes of credit assessment.</p> <p>Therefore, the criterion, to be met, does not require the elimination from the pool of all debtors with any negative entry in a credit registry but only those whose entries it would not be reasonable to ignore for the purposes of credit assessments.</p> <p>Absent any further clarification from the EBA or a national competent authority regarding what it is reasonable to ignore, a judgement would still be necessary in cases where the originator does include in the pool some debtors with some negative entries in a credit registry.</p> <p>In making this judgement, PCS takes comfort from the intent of the legislators – including, crucially, the legislators' belief that the STS Regulation was justified by the excellent performance of most "plain vanilla" European securitisation. It is clear to PCS that the "credit impaired" prohibition is driven by the desire of legislators to exclude from the STS category deals generally coming under the definition of "sub-prime". Therefore, it is unreasonable to refuse STS status to a transaction considered by universal consensus to be a "prime/plain vanilla" transaction with no "sub-prime" aspects. Indeed, this approach seems to be the rationale behind the EBA Guidelines on this matter.</p> <p>To determine whether this requirement is met, PCS has discussed this matter with the Seller and uses its knowledge of the market and market stakeholders as well as the explicit statements made in the prospectus and transaction documentation.</p> <p>c. Thirdly, the EBA Guidelines on guaranteed obligations make it clear that the criterion is met so long as either the debtor or the guarantor are not "credit impaired".</p>		

## **EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on *background and rationale***

### **No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))**

39. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(11) is to ensure that STS securitisations are not characterised by underlying exposures whose credit risk has already been affected by certain negative events such as disputes with credit-impaired debtors or guarantors, debt-restructuring processes or default events as identified by the EU prudential regulation. Risk analysis and due diligence assessments by investors become more complex whenever the securitisation includes exposures subject to certain ongoing negative credit risk developments. For the same reasons, STS securitisations should not include underlying exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have an adverse credit history. In addition, significant risk of default normally rises as rating grades or other scores are assigned that indicate highly speculative credit quality and high likelihood of default, i.e. the possibility that the debtor or guarantor is not able to meet its obligations becomes a real possibility. Such exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors should therefore also not be eligible for STS purposes.

40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:

(b) Interpretation of the term 'exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor': the interpretation should also take into account the interpretation provided in recital 26 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, according to which the circumstances specified in points (a) to (c) of Article 24(9) of that regulation are understood as specific situations of credit-impairedness to which exposures in the STS securitisation may not be exposed. Consequently, other possible circumstances of credit-impairedness that are not captured in points (a) to (c) should be outside the scope of this requirement. Moreover, taking into account the role of the guarantor as a risk bearer, it should be clarified that the requirement to exclude 'exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor' is not meant to exclude (i) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor when it has a guarantor that is not credit impaired; or (ii) exposures to a non-credit-impaired debtor when there is a credit-impaired guarantor;

(c) Interpretation of the term 'to the best knowledge of': the interpretation should follow the wording of recital 26 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, according to which an originator or original lender is not required to take all legally possible steps to determine the debtor's credit status but is only required to take those steps that the originator/original lender usually takes within its activities in terms of origination, servicing, risk management and use of information that is received from third parties. This should not require the originator or original lender to check publicly available information, or to check entries in at least one credit registry where an originator or original lender does not conduct such checks within its regular activities in terms of origination, servicing, risk management and use of information received from third parties, but rather relies, for example, on other information that may include credit assessments provided by third parties. Such clarification is important because corporates that are not subject to EU financial sector regulation and that are acting as sellers with respect to STS securitisation may not always check entries in credit registries and, in line with the best knowledge standard, should not be obliged to perform additional checks at origination of any exposure for the purposes of later fulfilling this criterion in terms of any credit-impaired debtors or guarantors;

## **EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines**

### **4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))**

#### ***Exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor***

39. For the purposes of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the circumstances specified in points (a) to (c) of that paragraph should be understood as definitions of credit-impairedness. Other possible circumstances of credit-impairedness that are not captured in points (a) to (c) should be considered to be excluded from this requirement.

40. The prohibition of the selection and transfer to SSPE of underlying exposures 'to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor' as referred to in Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be understood as the requirement that, at the time of selection, there should be a recourse for the full securitised exposure amount to at least one non-credit-impaired party, irrespective of whether that party is a debtor or a guarantor. Therefore, the underlying exposures should not include either of the following:

- (a) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor, when there is no guarantor for the full securitised exposure amount;
- (b) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor who has a credit-impaired guarantor.

#### ***To the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge***

41. For the purposes of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the 'best knowledge' standard should be considered to be fulfilled on the basis of information obtained only from any of the following combinations of sources and circumstances:

- (a) debtors on origination of the exposures;
- (b) the originator in the course of its servicing of the exposures or in the course of its risk management procedures;
- (c) notifications to the originator by a third party;
- (d) publicly available information or information on any entries in one or more credit registries of persons with adverse credit history at the time of origination of an underlying exposure, only to the extent that this information had already been taken into account in the context of (a), (b) and (c), and in accordance with the applicable regulatory and supervisory requirements, including with respect to sound credit granting criteria as specified in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402. This is with the exception of trade receivables that are not originated in the form of a loan, with respect to which credit-granting criteria do not need to be met.

25	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
	20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:		
	(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.		
	STS criteria		
	25.(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.		
	Article 43.3(a): requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	See point 24 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

26	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and</li> <li>(ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;</li> </ul> <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	26. Or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 24 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</b>	
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(d) Interpretation of the criterion with respect to the debtors and guarantors found on the credit registry: it is important to interpret this requirement in a narrow sense to ensure that the existence of a debtor or guarantor on the credit registry of persons with adverse credit history should not automatically exclude the exposure to that debtor/guarantor from compliance with this criterion. It is understood that this criterion should relate only to debtors and guarantors that are, at the time of origination of the exposure, considered entities with adverse credit history. Existence on a credit registry at the time of origination of the exposure for reasons that can be reasonably ignored for the purposes of the credit risk assessment (for example due to missed payments which have been resolved in the next two payment periods) should not be captured by this requirement. Therefore, this criterion should not automatically exclude from the STS framework exposures to all entities that are on the credit registries, taking into account that this would unintentionally exclude a significant number of entities given that different practices exist across EU jurisdictions with respect to entry requirements of such credit registries, and the fact that credit registries in some jurisdictions may contain both positive and negative information about the clients;</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</b>	
	<b><i>Exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have undergone a debt-restructuring process</i></b>	
	<p>42. For the purposes of Article 20(11)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the requirement to exclude exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors who have undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to their non-performing exposures should be understood to refer to both the restructured exposures of the respective debtor or guarantor and those of its exposures that were not themselves subject to restructuring. For the purposes of this Article, restructured exposures which meet the conditions of points (i) and (ii) of that Article should not result in a debtor or guarantor becoming designated as credit-impaired.</p>	



27	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and</li> <li>(ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;</li> </ul> <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	27. (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 24 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

28	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and</li> <li>(ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;</li> </ul> <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	28. (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 24 above and not applicable as there are no receivables from restructured obligors assigned.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

29	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and</li> <li>(ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;</li> </ul> <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	29. (b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender;	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 24 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<p><b>No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</b></p> <p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(d) Interpretation of the criterion with respect to the debtors and guarantors found on the credit registry: it is important to interpret this requirement in a narrow sense to ensure that the existence of a debtor or guarantor on the credit registry of persons with adverse credit history should not automatically exclude the exposure to that debtor/guarantor from compliance with this criterion. It is understood that this criterion should relate only to debtors and guarantors that are, at the time of origination of the exposure, considered entities with adverse credit history. Existence on a credit registry at the time of origination of the exposure for reasons that can be reasonably ignored for the purposes of the credit risk assessment (for example due to missed payments which have been resolved in the next two payment periods) should not be captured by this requirement. Therefore, this criterion should not automatically exclude from the STS framework exposures to all entities that are on the credit registries, taking into account that this would unintentionally exclude a significant number of entities given that different practices exist across EU jurisdictions with respect to entry requirements of such credit registries, and the fact that credit registries in some jurisdictions may contain both positive and negative information about the clients;</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

#### **4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))**

##### ***Credit registry***

43. The requirement referred to in Article 20(11)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be limited to exposures to debtors or guarantors to which both of the following requirements apply at the time of origination of the underlying exposure:

- (a) the debtor or guarantor is explicitly flagged in a credit registry as an entity with adverse credit history due to negative status or negative information stored in the credit registry;
- (b) the debtor or guarantor is on the credit registry for reasons that are relevant to the purposes of the credit risk assessment.

30	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.</p> <p>or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <p>(i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and</p> <p>(ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;</p> <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender;</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	30. (c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.11 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 24 above	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</b>	
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(e) Interpretation of the term 'significantly higher risk of contractually agreed payments not being made for comparable exposures': the term should be interpreted with a similar meaning to the requirement aiming to prevent adverse selection of assets referred to in Article 6(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, and further specified in the Article 16(2) of the Delegated Regulation specifying in greater detail the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, given that in both cases the requirement (i) aims to prevent adverse selection of underlying exposures and (ii) relates to the comparison of the credit quality of exposures transferred to the SSPE and comparable exposures that remain on the originator's balance sheet. To facilitate the interpretation, a list is given of examples of how to achieve compliance with the requirement.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</b>	
	<b><i>Risk of contractually agreed payments not being made being significantly higher than for comparable exposures</i></b>	
	44. For the purposes of Article 20(11)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the exposures should not be considered to have a 'credit assessment of a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised' when the following conditions apply:	

- |  |  |
|--|--|
|  | <p>(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;</p> <p>(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.</p> <p>45. The requirement in the previous paragraph should be considered to have been met where either of the following applies:</p> <p>(a) the underlying exposures do not include exposures that are classified as doubtful, impaired, non-performing or classified to the similar effect under the relevant accounting principles;</p> <p>(b) the underlying exposures do not include exposures whose credit quality, based on credit ratings or other credit quality thresholds, significantly differs from the credit quality of comparable exposures that the originator originates in the course of its standard lending operations and credit risk strategy.</p> |
|--|--|

31	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	20.12. The debtors shall at the time of transfer of the exposures, have made at least one payment, except in the case of revolving securitisations backed by exposures payable in a single instalment or having a maturity of less than one year, including without limitation monthly payments on revolving credits.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	31. The debtors shall at the time of transfer of the exposures, have made at least one payment, except in the case of revolving securitisations backed by exposures payable in a single instalment or having a maturity of less than one year, including without limitation monthly payments on revolving credits.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.12 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See section, Sale of the loans and their related security -Representations and Warranties: 10. at least two monthly payments have been made in respect of each loan;	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>At least one payment made (Article 20(12))</b>	
	41. STS securitisations should minimise the extent to which investors are required to analyse and assess fraud and operational risk. At least one payment should therefore be made by each underlying borrower at the time of transfer, since this reduces the likelihood of the loan being subject to fraud or operational issues, unless in the case of revolving securitisations in which the distribution of securitised exposures is subject to constant changes because the securitisation relates to exposures payable in a single instalment or with an initial legal maturity of an exposure of below one year.	
	42. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, its scope and the types of payments referred to therein should be further clarified.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>4.6 At least one payment made (Article 20(12))</b>	
	<b>Scope of the criterion</b>	
	46. For the purposes of Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, further advances in terms of an exposure to a certain borrower should not be deemed to trigger a new ‘at least one payment’ requirement with respect to such an exposure.	
	<b>At least one payment</b>	
	47. For the purposes of Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the payment referred to in the requirement according to which ‘at least one payment’ should have been made at the time of transfer should be a rental, principal or interest payment or any other kind of payment.	

32	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity</b>	
	<p>20.13. The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions shall not have been structured to depend predominantly on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures. This shall not prevent such assets from being subsequently rolled-over or refinanced.</p> <p>The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions whose underlying exposures are secured by assets the value of which is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation by the seller of the assets securing the underlying exposures or by another third party shall not be considered to depend on the sale of assets securing those underlying exposures.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	32. The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions shall not have been structured to depend predominantly on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 20.13 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".	
	Verified?	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See section, The Loans.</p> <p>Characteristics of the loans</p> <p>Repayment terms</p> <p>The loans in the portfolio are one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a repayment loan where the borrower makes monthly payments of both interest and principal so that, when the loan matures, the full amount of the principal of the loan will have been repaid;</li> <li>• an interest-only loan where the borrower makes monthly payments of interest but not of principal so that, when the loan matures, the entire principal amount of the loan is still outstanding and is payable in one lump sum; or</li> <li>• a combination of both these options.</li> </ul> <p><b>Although there was some uncertainty over the status of interest-only mortgages, this has been definitively cleared up by the EBA Guidelines specific statement that this criterion was not designed to capture these products.</b></p> <p><b>Accordingly, none of the assets in the pool display any predominant reliance on the sale of the assets.</b></p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	



#### **No predominant dependence on the sale of assets (Article 20(13))**

43. Dependence of the repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures increases the liquidity risks, market risks and maturity transformation risks to which the securitisation is exposed. It also makes the credit risk of the securitisation more difficult for investors to model and assess.

44. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that the repayment of the principal balance of exposures at the contract maturity – and therefore repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions – is not intended to be predominantly reliant on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures, unless the value of the assets is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation.

45. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:

(a) the term 'predominant dependence' on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures should be further interpreted:

(i) when assessing whether the repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions is or is not predominantly dependent on the sale of assets, the following three aspects should be taken into account: (i) the principal balance at contract maturity of underlying exposures that depend on the sale of assets securing those underlying exposures to repay the balance; (ii) the distribution of maturities of such exposures across the life of the transaction, which aims to reduce the risk of correlated defaults due to idiosyncratic shocks; and (iii) the granularity of the pool of exposures, which aims to promote sufficient distribution in sale dates and other characteristics that may affect the sale of the underlying exposures.

(i) no types of securitisations should be excluded ex ante from the compliance with this criterion and from the STS securitisation as long as they meet all the requirements specified in the guidance. For example, this criterion does not aim to exclude leasing transactions and interest-only residential mortgages from STS securitisation, provided they comply with the guidance provided and all other applicable STS requirements. However, it is expected that commercial real estate transactions, or securitisations where the assets are commodities (e.g. oil, grain, gold), or bonds whose maturity dates fall after the maturity date of the securitisation, would not meet these requirements, as in all these cases it is expected that the repayment is predominantly reliant on the sale of the assets, that other possible ways to repay the securitisation positions are substantially limited, and that the granularity of the portfolio is low.

46. With respect to the exemption provided in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, it should be ensured that the entity providing the guarantee or the repurchase obligation of the assets securing the underlying exposures is not an empty-shell or defaulted entity, so that it has sufficient loss absorbency to exercise the guarantee of the repurchase of the assets.

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

#### **4.7 No Predominant dependence on the sale of assets**

##### ***Predominant dependence on the sale of assets***

48. For the purposes of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, transactions where all of the following conditions apply, at the time of origination of the securitisation in cases of amortising securitisation or during the revolving period in cases of revolving securitisation, should be considered not predominantly dependent on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures, and therefore allowed:

(a) the contractually agreed outstanding principal balance, at contract maturity of the underlying exposures that depend on the sale of the assets securing those underlying exposures to repay the principal balance, corresponds to no more than 50% of the total initial exposure value of all securitisation positions of the securitisation;

(b) the maturities of the underlying exposures referred to in point (a) are not subject to material concentrations and are sufficiently distributed across the life of the transaction;

(c) the aggregate exposure value of all the underlying exposures referred to in point (a) to a single obligor does not exceed 2% of the aggregate exposure value of all underlying exposures in the securitisation.

49. Where there are no underlying exposures in the securitisation that depend on the sale of assets to repay their outstanding principal balance at contract maturity, the requirements in paragraph 48 should not apply.

##### ***Exemption provided in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402***

50. The exemption referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 with regard to the repayment of holders of securitisation positions whose underlying exposures are secured by assets, the value of which is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation of either the assets securing the underlying exposures or of the underlying exposures themselves by another third party or parties, the seller or the third parties should meet both of the following conditions:

(a) they are not insolvent;

(b) there is no reason to believe that the entity would not be able to meet its obligations under the guarantee or the repurchase obligation.

20.14. EBA, in close cooperation with ESMA and EIOPA, shall develop draft regulatory standards further specifying which underlying exposures referred to in paragraph 8 are deemed to be homogeneous. EBA shall submit those draft regulatory standards to the Commission by 18 July 2018.

The Commission is empowered to supplement this Regulation by adopting the regulatory technical standards referred to in this paragraph in accordance with the procedure laid down in Articles 10 to 14 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.

33	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	21.1. The originator, sponsor or original lender shall satisfy the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	33.The originator, sponsor or original lender shall satisfy the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6.	
	<b>Article 43.3(a):</b> requirements under 21.1 must be met “at the time of <i>issuance</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See section, Securitisation Regulation Requirements.</p> <p>The seller confirms that it in its capacity as originator, will retain on an on-going basis a material net economic interest in the securitisation of not less than 5 per cent. as required by Article 6(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 (the Securitisation Regulation) (which does not take into account any national measures). The seller initially intends to satisfy the Securitisation Regulation requirements by maintaining a seller share in the master trust in an amount at least equal to 5 per cent. of the aggregate outstanding principal balance of all loans in the portfolio, and the seller will not hedge, sell or otherwise mitigate such risk unless it is permitted to do so under the Securitisation Regulation. Any change to the manner in which such interest is held will be notified to noteholders</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Risk retention (Article 21(1))</b>	
	47. The main objective of the risk retention criterion is to ensure an alignment between the originators’/sponsors’/original lenders’ and investors’ interests, and to avoid application of the originate-to-distribute model in securitisation.	
	48. The content of the criterion is deemed sufficiently clear that no further guidance in addition to that provided by the Delegated Regulation further specifying the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is considered necessary.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

34	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	34. The interest rate...risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated.	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.2 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See the section, Risk Factors: You may be subject to interest rate risks on certain series of notes that are denominated in sterling.	
	See the section, Risk Factors: Risks associated with the Funding 2 swap.	
	See the section, THE SWAP AGREEMENTS - The issuing entity interest rate swaps and the Funding 2 Swaps.	
	See the section, General Credit Structure – (c) Hedging.	
	Clearly and explicitly, “appropriate” hedging does not require “perfect” hedging. This is confirmed by the EBA Guidelines which require the hedges to cover a “major share” of the risk from an “economic perspective”. However, the definition of “appropriate” hedging or a “major share” of the risk will always contain an element of subjectivity and must be analysed on a case by case basis.	
	The fact that the Regulation was crafted by the legislators to recognise existing high quality European securitisations rather than raise the bar to a level not previously encountered, together with the common-sense approach of the EBA, leads to the conclusion that transactions considered adequately hedged by common investor and rating agency consensus should be held to meet this criterion.	
	This still requires an analysis of the matter. Since PCS is not a quantitative analysis provider or a credit rating agency, our verification is based on a second-hand analysis which focuses on:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A statement in the Prospectus or other document setting out the boundary conditions of the hedging. This should state in effect how far the hedging stretches and under what scenario's it will break. For example, if interbank rates rise above X%. This will provide a common-sense feel for whether, at first glance, the hedging is reasonable.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Risk Factors section of the prospectus to check that no statements refer to the risks of “unhedged positions”. This is based on the legal requirement to disclose any relevant information to investors. If the originator or its advisers believed that the hedging in a transaction was unusually light, this should be disclosed in the Risk Section.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The “pre-sale” report from a recognised credit rating agency (if used) so as to identify any issues with hedging. Again, rating agencies as credit specialists should highlight in their analysis any substantial and unusual hedging risks.</li> </ul>	
	<b><i>In the case of the Transaction, the analysis is straightforward. SVR mortgages are hedged. The part of the mortgage pool that is fixed rate is fully hedged (with no caps and for the full nominal amount) and “tracker mortgages” are where the rate is able to follow (roughly) the rate in the reference rate of the notes.</i></b>	
	<b><i>A similar approach has been taken to any currency hedging – full swaps with no caps for the full nominal amount</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</b>	
	49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.	
	50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.	
	51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate	

or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.

52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:

- (a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;
- (b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;
- (c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.

#### **EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines**

##### **5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))**

###### ***Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks***

51. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 in order for the interest-rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation to be considered 'appropriately mitigated', it should be sufficient that a hedge or mitigation is in place, on condition that it is not unusually limited with the effect that it covers a major share of the respective interest-rate or currency risks under relevant scenarios, understood from an economic perspective. Such a mitigation may also be in the form of derivatives or other mitigating measures including reserve funds, over collateralisation, excess spread or other measures.

52. Where the appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks is carried out through derivatives, all of the following requirements should apply:

- (a) the derivatives should be used only for genuine hedging of asset and liability mismatches of interest rates and currencies, and should not be used for speculative purposes;
- (b) the derivatives should be based on commonly accepted documentation, including International Swaps or Derivatives Association (ISDA) or similar established national documentation standards;
- (c) the derivative documentation should provide, in the event of the loss of sufficient creditworthiness of the counterparty below a certain level, measured either on the basis of the credit rating or otherwise, that the counterparty is subject to collateralisation requirements or makes a reasonable effort for its replacement or guarantee by another counterparty.

53. Where the mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks referred to in Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is carried out not through derivatives but by other risk-mitigating measures, those measures should be designed to be sufficiently robust. When such risk-mitigating measures are used to mitigate multiple risks at the same time, the disclosure required by Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should include an explanation of how the measures hedge the interest-rate risks and currency risks on one hand, and other risks on the other hand.

35	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	35. Currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated.	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.2 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See the section, Risk Factors: You may be subject to exchange rate risks and interest rate risks on any series of notes that are denominated in a currency other than sterling	
	See the section, Risk Factors: Risks associated with the Funding 2 swap	
	See the section, THE SWAP AGREEMENTS - The issuing entity currency swaps and the Funding 2 Swaps	
	See the section, General Credit Structure – (c) Hedging	
	See the comment under 34 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale</b>	
	<b>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))</b>	
	49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.	
	50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.	
	51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.	
	52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:	
	(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;	
	(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;	
	(c) clarification of the term ‘common standards in international finance’.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))</b>	
	<b>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks</b>	
	51. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 in order for the interest-rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation to be considered ‘appropriately mitigated’, it should be sufficient that a hedge or mitigation is in place, on condition that it is not unusually limited with the effect that it covers a major share of the respective interest-rate or currency risks under relevant scenarios, understood from an economic perspective. Such a mitigation may also be in the form of derivatives or other mitigating measures including reserve funds, over collateralisation, excess spread or other measures.	
	52. Where the appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks is carried out through derivatives, all of the following requirements should apply:	
	(a) the derivatives should be used only for genuine hedging of asset and liability mismatches of interest rates and currencies, and should not be used for speculative purposes;	

(b) the derivatives should be based on commonly accepted documentation, including International Swaps or Derivatives Association (ISDA) or similar established national documentation standards;

(c) the derivative documentation should provide, in the event of the loss of sufficient creditworthiness of the counterparty below a certain level, measured either on the basis of the credit rating or otherwise, that the counterparty is subject to collateralisation requirements or makes a reasonable effort for its replacement or guarantee by another counterparty.

53. Where the mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks referred to in Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is carried out not through derivatives but by other risk-mitigating measures, those measures should be designed to be sufficiently robust. When such risk-mitigating measures are used to mitigate multiple risks at the same time, the disclosure required by Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should include an explanation of how the measures hedge the interest-rate risks and currency risks on one hand, and other risks on the other hand.

54. The measures referred to in paragraphs 52 and 53, as well as the reasoning supporting the appropriateness of the mitigation of the interest-rate and currency risks through the life of the transaction, should be disclosed.

36	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	36. Any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.2 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	Verified?	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See section, The swap agreement.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<p><b>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</b></p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term ‘common standards in international finance’.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<p><b>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</b></p> <p>54. The measures referred to in paragraphs 52 and 53, as well as the reasoning supporting the appropriateness of the mitigation of the interest-rate and currency risks through the life of the transaction, should be disclosed.</p>	



37	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.2 [...] Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives.		
Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.		
STS criteria		
37. Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and...		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.2 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, The master intercompany loan agreement.		
Representations and agreements		
• it will not carry on any business or engage in any activity whatsoever which is not incidental to or necessary in connection with any of the activities in which the transaction documents provide or envisage that Funding 2 will engage;		
See section, Terms and Conditions of the Notes.		
3.5 Restrictions on Activities		
carry on any business other than as described in the Base Prospectus (as revised, supplemented and/or amended from time to time) relating to the issue of the Notes, the advancing of Loan Tranches under the Master Intercompany Loan Agreement to Funding 2 and the related activities described therein or as contemplated in the Transaction Documents relating to the issue of the Notes and the advancing of Loan Tranches under the Master Intercompany Loan Agreement to Funding 2;		
Under the terms and conditions of the intercompany loan (for Funding 1) (see clauses 14.6, 14.9 and 14.11 of the master intercompany loan agreement) and of the notes (for the Issuing entity) (see conditions 3.1 and 3.5 of the terms and conditions of the notes), each of Funding 2 and Issuing entity has undertaken not to enter into any transactions other than those contemplated in a defined set of Transaction Documents.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))		
49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.		
50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.		
51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.		
52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:		
(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;		
(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;		
(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

#### **5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))**

##### ***Derivatives***

55. For the purpose of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures in the pool of underlying exposures that merely contain a derivative component exclusively serving the purpose of directly hedging the interest-rate or currency risk of the respective underlying exposure itself, which are not themselves derivatives, should not be understood to be prohibited.

38	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	<p>21.2 [...] Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives.</p> <p>Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	38. ...Shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives.	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.2 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See section, “The Loans” and the section, “Sale of the loans and their related security, Representations and Warranties”. See also the ESMA STS Notification, STSS28.</p> <p><i>Only residential mortgage loans form part of the Master Trust portfolio.</i></p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale</b>	
	<b>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</b>	
	<p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term ‘common standards in international finance’.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</b>	
	<b>Derivatives</b>	
	55. For the purpose of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures in the pool of underlying exposures that merely contain a derivative component exclusively serving the purpose of directly hedging the interest-rate or currency risk of the respective underlying exposure itself, which are not themselves derivatives, should not be understood to be prohibited.	

39	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	<p>21.2 [...] Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives.</p> <p>Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	39. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.2 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See Glossary:</p> <p>Funding 2 Swap Agreements and Issuer Entity Swap Agreements.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</b>	
	<p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term ‘common standards in international finance’.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</b>	
	<b>Common standards in international finance</b>	
	56. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, common standards in international finance should include ISDA or similar established national documentation standards.	

40	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.3. Any referenced interest payments under the securitisation assets and liabilities shall be based on generally used market interest rates, or generally used sectoral rates reflective of the cost of funds, and shall not reference complex formulae or derivatives.		
STS criteria		
40. Any referenced interest payments under the securitisation assets and liabilities shall be based on generally used market interest rates, or generally used sectoral rates reflective of the cost of funds and shall not reference complex formulae or derivatives.		
Article 43.3(a): requirements under 21.3 must be met "at the time of <i>issuance</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
As for Assets: See – The loans, Characteristics of the Loans, Types of loans and interest rate setting. As for liabilities: Final Terms dated 25 November, 2016: GENERAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO THE NOTES (9) Interest basis. The master intercompany loan agreement – Payments of Interest. See section, Funding 2 Z Loan Agreements – Interest. See section, Issuing entity subordinated loan agreements – Interest.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Referenced interest payments (Article 21 (3))		
53. The objective of this criterion is to prevent securitisations from making reference to interest rates that cannot be observed in the commonly accepted market practice. The credit risk and cash flow analysis that investors must be able to carry out should not involve atypical, complex or complicated rates or variables that cannot be modelled on the basis of market experience and practice.		
54. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:		
(a) the scope of the criterion (by specifying the common types and examples of interest rates captured by this criterion);		
(b) the term 'complex formulae or derivatives'.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.2 Referenced interest payments (Article 21 (3))		
Referenced rates		
57. For the purposes of Article 21(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, interest rates that should be considered to be an adequate reference basis for referenced interest payments should include all of the following:		
(a) interbank rates including the Libor, Euribor and other recognised benchmarks;		
(b) rates set by monetary policy authorities, including FED funds rates and central banks' discount rates;		
(c) sectoral rates reflective of a lender's cost of funds, including standard variable rates and internal interest rates that directly reflect the market costs of funding of a bank or a subset of institutions, to the extent that sufficient data are provided to investors to allow them to assess the relation of the sectoral rates to other market rates.		
Complex formulae or derivatives		
58. For the purposes of Article 21(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, a formula should be considered to be complex when it meets the definition of an exotic instrument by the Global Association of Risk Professionals (GARP), which is a financial asset or instrument with features that make it more complex than simpler, plain vanilla, products. A complex formula or derivative should not be deemed to exist in the case of the mere use of interest-rate caps or floors.		

41	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered: (a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;		
STS criteria		
41. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered: (a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures; [...]		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.4 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Funding 2 post-enforcement priority of payments.  See Cashflow: Distribution of Funding 2 principal receipts and Funding 2 revenue receipts following master intercompany loan acceleration.  See Cashflow: Distribution of issuing entity principal receipts and issuing entity revenue receipts following note acceleration and master intercompany loan acceleration.  <i>There is no cash trapping.</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Requirements in case of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4)) 55. The objective of this criterion is to prevent investors from being subjected to unexpected repayment profiles and to provide appropriate legal comfort regarding their enforceability, for instances where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered. 56. STS securitisations should be such that the required investor’s risk analysis and due diligence do not have to factor in complex structures of the payment priority that are difficult to model, nor should the investor be exposed to complex changes in such structures throughout the life of the transaction. Therefore, it should be ensured that junior noteholders do not have inappropriate payment preference over senior noteholders that are due and payable. 57. In addition, taking into account that market risk on the underlying collateral constitutes an element of complexity in the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by investors, the objective is also to ensure that the performance of STS securitisations does not rely, due to contractual triggers, on the automatic liquidation at market price of the underlying collateral. 58. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the scope and operational functioning of conditions specified under letters (a), (b) and (d) of Article 21(4) should be specified further.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4)) <i>Exceptional circumstances</i> 59. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, a list of ‘exceptional circumstances’ should, to the extent possible, be included in the transaction documentation. 60. Given the nature of ‘exceptional circumstances’ and in order to allow some flexibility with respect to potential unusual circumstances requiring that cash be trapped in the SSPE in the best interests of investors, where a list of ‘exceptional circumstances’ is included in the transaction documentation in accordance with paragraph 59, such a list should be non-exhaustive.		

***Amount trapped in the SSPE in the best interests of investors***

61. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the amount of cash to be considered as trapped in the SSPE should be that agreed by the trustee or other representative of the investors who is legally required to act in the best interests of the investors, or by the investors in accordance with the voting provisions set out in the transaction documentation.

62. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, it should be permissible to trap the cash in the SSPE in the form of a reserve fund for future use, as long as the use of the reserve fund is exclusively limited to the purposes set out in Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 or to orderly repayment to the investors.

42	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	42. Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position; [...]	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.4 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>Funding 2 post-enforcement priority of payments.</p> <p>See Cashflow: Distribution of Funding 2 principal receipts and Funding 2 revenue receipts following master intercompany loan acceleration.</p> <p>See Cashflow: Distribution of issuing entity principal receipts and issuing entity revenue receipts following note acceleration and master intercompany loan acceleration.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<p><b>Requirements in case of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</b></p> <p>55. The objective of this criterion is to prevent investors from being subjected to unexpected repayment profiles and to provide appropriate legal comfort regarding their enforceability, for instances where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered.</p> <p>56. STS securitisations should be such that the required investor's risk analysis and due diligence do not have to factor in complex structures of the payment priority that are difficult to model, nor should the investor be exposed to complex changes in such structures throughout the life of the transaction. Therefore, it should be ensured that junior noteholders do not have inappropriate payment preference over senior noteholders that are due and payable.</p> <p>57. In addition, taking into account that market risk on the underlying collateral constitutes an element of complexity in the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by investors, the objective is also to ensure that the performance of STS securitisations does not rely, due to contractual triggers, on the automatic liquidation at market price of the underlying collateral.</p> <p>58. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the scope and operational functioning of conditions specified under letters (a), (b) and (d) of Article 21(4) should be specified further.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<p><b>5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</b></p> <p><b>Repayment</b></p> <p>63. The requirements in Article 21(4)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be understood as covering only the repayment of the principal, without covering the repayment of interest.</p> <p>64. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, non-sequential payments of principal in a situation where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered should be prohibited. Where there is no enforcement or acceleration event, principal receipts could be allowed for replenishment purposes pursuant to Article 20(12)) of that Regulation.</p>	



43	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p> <p>(c) Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and</p>		
STS criteria		
43. Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.4 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>Funding 2 post-enforcement priority of payments.</p> <p>See Cashflow: Distribution of Funding 2 principal receipts and Funding 2 revenue receipts following master intercompany loan acceleration.</p> <p>See Cashflow: Distribution of issuing entity principal receipts and issuing entity revenue receipts following note acceleration and master intercompany loan acceleration.</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

44	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p> <p>(c) Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and</p> <p>(d) No provisions shall require automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	44. No provisions shall require automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.4 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	Verified?	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>Amended and Restated Funding 2 Deed of Charge.</p> <p>4.8 Securitisation Regulation (Article 21.4(d))</p> <p>Each of the parties acknowledges that no provision of this Deed shall require upon default of the Master Issuer the automatic liquidation of the Funding 2 Charged Property.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<p><b>5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</b></p> <p><b><i>Liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value</i></b></p> <p>65. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(d) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the investors’ decision to liquidate the underlying exposures at market value should not be considered to constitute an automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.</p>	

45	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.5. Transactions which feature non-sequential priority of payments shall include triggers relating to the performance of the underlying exposures resulting in the priority of payments reverting to sequential payments in order of seniority. Such performance-related triggers shall include at least the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a pre-determined threshold.		
STS criteria		
45. Transactions which feature non-sequential priority of payments shall include triggers relating to the performance of the underlying exposures resulting in the priority of payments reverting to sequential payments in order of seniority. Such performance-related triggers shall include at least the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a pre-determined threshold.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.5 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Summary of Funding 2 priority of payments: Funding 2 pre-enforcement revenue priority of payments (prior to service of a master intercompany loan acceleration notice) Funding 2 pre-enforcement principal priority of payments (before a trigger event and before master intercompany loan acceleration or acceleration of all notes) Summary of issuing entity priority of payments Issuing entity pre-enforcement revenue priority of payments Issuing entity pre-enforcement principal priority of payments <b>The first step in analysing this criterion is to determine whether the transaction features non-sequential priorities of payment in relation to the amortisation of the Notes.</b> <b>The transaction does not feature non-sequential payments.</b> <b><i>This criterion is therefore met.</i></b>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Non-sequential priority of payments (Article 21(5)) 59. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that non-sequential (pro rata) amortisation should be used only in conjunction with clearly specified contractual triggers that determine the switch of the amortisation scheme to a sequential priority, safeguarding the transaction from the possibility that credit enhancement is too quickly amortised as the credit quality of the transaction deteriorates, thereby exposing senior investors to a decreasing amount of credit enhancement. 60. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a non-exhaustive list of examples of performance-related triggers that may be included is provided in the guidance.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.4 Non-sequential priority of payments (Article 21(5)) Performance-related triggers 66. For the purposes of Article 21(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the triggers related to the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures may include the following: (a) with regard to underlying exposures for which a regulatory expected loss (EL) can be determined in accordance with Regulation (EU) 575/2013 or other relevant EU regulation, cumulative losses that are higher than a certain percentage of the regulatory one-year EL on the underlying exposures and the weighted average life of the transaction; (b) cumulative non-matured defaults that are higher than a certain percentage of the sum of the outstanding nominal amount of tranche held by the investors and the tranches that are subordinated to them; (c) the weighted average credit quality in the portfolio decreasing below a given pre-specified level or the concentration of exposures in high credit risk (probability of default) buckets increasing above a pre-specified level.		

46	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:		
STS criteria		
46. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.6 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>See section Sale of the loans and their related security – Sale of loans and their related security to the mortgages trustee on the sale date.</p> <p>The sale of new loans and their related security to the mortgages trustee will in all cases be subject to conditions precedent, including the following conditions (which may be varied or waived by the mortgages trustee where it has received written confirmation from the rating agencies that such variation or waiver will not cause the ratings of the outstanding Funding 1 notes and/or of the outstanding notes to be reduced, withdrawn or qualified), being satisfied on the relevant sale date (sale date):</p> <p>Including the last bullet point which states:</p> <p>no trigger event has occurred on or before the relevant sale date.</p> <p><b>Almost all master trusts, including the Permanent Master Trust has the ability to purchase new assets.</b></p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21 (6))		
<p>61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.</p> <p>62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.5 Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21 (6))		
Insolvency-related event with regard to the servicer		
<p>67. For the purposes of Article 21(6)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should lead to both of the following:</p> <p>(a) it should enable the replacement of the servicer in order to ensure continuation of the servicing;</p> <p>(b) it should trigger the termination of the revolving period.</p>		

47	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;		
STS criteria		
47. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.6 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Sale of the loans and their related security – Sale of loans and their related security to the mortgages trustee on the sale date.  The sale of new loans and their related security to the mortgages trustee will in all cases be subject to conditions precedent, including the following conditions (which may be varied or waived by the mortgages trustee where it has received written confirmation from the rating agencies that such variation or waiver will not cause the ratings of the outstanding Funding 1 notes and/or of the outstanding notes to be reduced, withdrawn or qualified), being satisfied on the relevant sale date (sale date):  4 <sup>th</sup> bullet point  as at the relevant sale date, the aggregate outstanding principal balance of loans in the mortgages trust, in respect of which the aggregate amount in arrears is more than three times the monthly payment then due, is less than five per cent. of the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the loans in the mortgages trust unless the rating agencies have confirmed that the then current ratings of the notes or of any Funding 1 notes will not be reduced, withdrawn or qualified;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))		
61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.  62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

48	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer;		
STS criteria		
48. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer;		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.6 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, The Mortgage Trust:  trigger event means an asset trigger event and/or a non-asset trigger event.  A non-asset trigger event will occur, on a calculation date, if:  (a) an insolvency event occurs in relation to the seller on or before that calculation date;  (b) the seller's role as servicer under the servicing agreement is terminated and a new servicer is not appointed within 30 days; or		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))  61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.  62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.5 Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6)) <i>Insolvency-related event with regard to the servicer</i>  67. For the purposes of Article 21(6)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should lead to both of the following: (a) it should enable the replacement of the servicer in order to ensure continuation of the servicing; (b) it should trigger the termination of the revolving period.		

49	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event);	
	STS criteria	
	49. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event);	
	Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.6 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".	Yes
	Verified?	
	PCS Comment	
	See section, The Mortgage Trust: trigger event means an asset trigger event and/or a non-asset trigger event.  An asset trigger event will occur when principal losses on loans in the portfolio (after application of Funding 1 available principal receipts or, as the case may be, Funding 2 available principal receipts to meet deficiencies in Funding 1 available revenue receipts or in Funding 2 available revenue receipts, respectively, or to fund the Funding 1 liquidity reserve fund or the Funding 2 liquidity reserve fund, respectively) reach a level causing an amount to be debited to the principal deficiency sub-ledger in relation to the term AAA advance of any Funding 1 issuing entity or to the AAA principal deficiency sub-ledger of Funding 2, unless such debit is made when: (a) (i) in the case of principal deficiency sub-ledger in relation to the term AAA advance of a Funding 1 issuing entity, the aggregate principal amount outstanding of each of the term AA advances, the term A advances and the term BBB advances of the Funding 1 issuing entity is equal to zero or (ii) in the case of the AAA principal deficiency sub-ledger of Funding 2, the aggregate principal amount outstanding of each of the AA loan tranches, the A loan tranches, the BBB loan tranches and the BB loan tranches is equal to zero; and (b) (i) in the case of principal deficiency sub-ledger in relation to the term AAA advance of a Funding 1 issuing entity, the sum of the amount standing to the credit of Funding 1 general reserve ledger and the Funding 1 revenue ledger together with amounts determined and due to be credited to the Funding 1 revenue ledger prior to the immediately following Funding 1 interest payment date after such debit is made, is greater than the amount necessary to eliminate the debit balance on the principal deficiency ledger in relation to the term AAA advance of the Funding 1 issuing entity and pay amounts ranking in priority to such item under the Funding 1 pre enforcement revenue priority of payments on the immediately following Funding 1 interest payment date after such debit is made or (ii) in the case of the AAA principal deficiency sub-ledger of Funding 2, the sum of the amount standing to the credit of Funding 2 general reserve ledger and the Funding 2 revenue ledger together with amounts determined and due to be credited to the Funding 2 revenue ledger prior to the immediately following Funding 2 interest payment date after such debit is made, is greater than the amount necessary to pay the items in paragraphs (A) to (E) of the Funding 2 pre-enforcement revenue priority of payments on the immediately following Funding 2 interest payment date after such debit is made. For more information on the Funding 2 principal deficiency ledger, see "Credit structure – Funding 2 principal deficiency ledger".	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))	
	61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.  62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

50	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event); (d) a failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures that meet the pre-determined credit quality (trigger for termination of the revolving period).		
STS criteria		
50. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (d) a failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures that meet the pre-determined credit quality (trigger for termination of the revolving period).		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.6 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, The Mortgage Trust:  trigger event means an asset trigger event and/or a non-asset trigger event.  A non-asset trigger event will occur, on a calculation date, if:  (d) on any calculation date, the aggregate outstanding principal balance of loans comprising the trust property is less than the required loan balance amount specified in the most recent final terms or drawdown prospectus or is less than the amount as may be required to be maintained as a result of any new Funding 1 issuing entities providing new term advances to Funding 1 and/or the issuing entity advancing new loan tranches to Funding 2 which Funding 1 and/or Funding 2, as the case may be, uses to pay to the seller and/or Funding 1 or Funding 2, as the case may be, for an increase in its share of the trust property and/or to pay the seller for the sale of new loans to the mortgages trustee.  See also Master Sale Agreement clause 4.1.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))		
61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.  62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		



51	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	<p>21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:</p> <p>(a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	<p>51. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:</p> <p>(a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;</p>	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.7 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>The servicing agreement – Powers and Undertaking by the Servicer</p> <p>See section, The note trustee, the issuing entity security trustee and the Funding 2 security trustee:</p> <p>Pursuant to the issuing entity trust deed, the note trustee is required to take certain actions as described in “Description of the issuing entity trust deed” and “Terms and conditions of the notes” below. Pursuant to the issuing entity trust deed and the issuing entity deed of charge, the issuing entity security trustee is required to take certain actions as described in “Security for the issuing entity’s obligations – Appointment, powers, responsibilities and liabilities of the issuing entity security trustee” and “Terms and conditions of the notes” below</p> <p>The Funding 2 deed of charge sets out the terms under which the Funding 2 security trustee is appointed and the indemnification of the Funding 2 security trustee. Pursuant to the Funding 2 deed of charge, the Funding 2 security trustee is required to take certain actions as described under “Security for Funding 2’s obligations” below. Provisions for the removal of the Funding 2 security trustee are described in “Security for Funding 2’s obligations – Retirement and removal” below</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<p><b>Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))</b></p> <p>63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction.</p> <p>64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

52	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:  (a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers; (b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and		
STS criteria		
52. (b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.7 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Servicing Agreement - Removal or resignation of the servicer.  Under the terms of the servicing agreement, following a servicer termination event the Funding 1 security trustee and the Funding 2 security trustee shall use their reasonable endeavours to appoint a substitute servicer approved by the mortgages trustee, Funding 1 and Funding 2 that has experience of administering mortgages of and standard securities over residential property in the UK, is willing to enter into an agreement substantially on the same terms as the relevant provisions of the servicing agreement and whose appointment does not adversely affect the then current ratings (if any) of the notes unless otherwise agreed by an extraordinary resolution of the holders of the relevant series and class of notes.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))		
63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction. 64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

53	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify: (a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers; (b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and (c) provisions that ensure the replacement of derivative counterparties, liquidity providers and the account bank in the case of their default, insolvency, and other specified events, where applicable.		
STS criteria		
53. (c) provisions that ensure the replacement of derivative counterparties, liquidity providers and the account bank in the case of their default, insolvency, and other specified events, where applicable.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.7 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See Triggers Tables. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Accounts Banks</li><li>- Swap Counterparty rating triggers,</li></ul> <p>Clause 6.2 of the Cash Management Agreement provides that if “the Funding 2 Swap is terminated, then the Cash Manager (on behalf of Funding 2 and the Funding 2 Security Trustee) shall purchase a new hedge against the possible variance between (i) the Mortgages Trustee Variable Base Rate payable on the Variable Rate Loans, the fixed rates of interest payable on the Fixed Rate Loans and the Tracker Swap Rate and (ii) a LIBOR-based rate for three-month sterling deposits...”.</p> <p>Clause 4.3 of the Master Issuer Cash Management Agreement provides that the Master Issuer “shall purchase a replacement hedge... in respect of such Series and Class of Notes, against, as appropriate...” fluctuations in basis rate and currency risk.</p> <p>See also the sections of the Prospectus “The swap agreements” -“The Funding 2 swaps” (“In the event that a Funding 2 swap is terminated prior to the service of a master intercompany loan acceleration notice or the latest occurring final repayment date of any loan tranche outstanding under the master intercompany loan agreement, Funding 2 shall enter into a replacement Funding 2 swap...”) and “The issuing entity currency swaps” (“In the event that any such issuing entity swap is terminated prior to the service of a note acceleration notice or the final redemption of the relevant series of notes, the issuing entity cash manager (on behalf of the issuing entity and the issuing entity security trustee) shall procure the purchase of a replacement currency swap...”).</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))		
63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction. 64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

54	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	21.8. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised and shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.		
	STS criteria		
	54. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised		
	Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.8 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	See section, The Servicer.		
	Halifax has been engaged in the servicing of residential mortgage loans originated by it since its founding in 1853. Bank of Scotland assumed the servicing function on the reorganisation date.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8))		
	65. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that all the conditions are in place for the proper functioning of the servicing function, taking into account the crucial importance of servicing in securitisation and the central nature of this function within any securitisation transaction.		
	66. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:		
(a) criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer;			
(b) criteria for determining well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls of the servicer.			
67. The criteria for the expertise of the servicer should correspond to those for the expertise of the originator or the original lender. Newly established entities should be allowed to perform the tasks of servicing, as long as the back-up servicer has the appropriate experience. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			
5.8 Expertise of the servicer (Article 21 (8))			
Criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer			
68. For the purposes of determining whether a servicer has expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised in accordance with Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, both of the following should apply:			
(a) the members of the management body of the servicer and the senior staff, other than members of the management body, responsible for servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised should have adequate knowledge and skills in the servicing of exposures similar to those securitised;			
(b) any of the following principles on the quality of the expertise should be taken into account in the determination of the expertise:			
(i) the role and duties of the members of the management body and the senior staff and the required capabilities should be adequate;			
(ii) the experience of the members of the management body and the senior staff gained in previous positions, education and training should be sufficient;			
(iii) the involvement of the members of the management body and the senior staff within the governance structure of the function of servicing the exposures should be appropriate;			
(iv) in the case of a prudentially regulated entity, the regulatory authorisations or permissions held by the entity should be deemed relevant to the servicing of similar exposures to those securitised.			
69. A servicer should be deemed to have the required expertise where either of the following applies:			
(a) the business of the entity, or of the consolidated group, to which the entity belongs, for accounting or prudential purposes, has included the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, for at least five years;			

(b) where the requirement referred to in point (a) is not met, the servicer should be deemed to have the required expertise where they comply with both of the following:

- (i) at least two of the members of its management body have relevant professional experience in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at personal level, of at least five years;
- (ii) senior staff, other than members of the management body, who are responsible for managing the entity's servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, have relevant professional experience in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years;
- (iii) the servicing function of the entity is backed by the back-up servicer compliant with point (a).

70. For the purpose of demonstrating the number of years of professional experience, the relevant expertise should be disclosed in sufficient detail and in accordance with the applicable confidentiality requirements to permit investors to carry out their obligations under Article 5(3)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

*Exposures of similar nature*

71. For the purposes of Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, interpretation of the term 'exposures of similar nature' should follow the interpretation provided in paragraph 23 above.

55	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.8. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised and shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.		
STS criteria		
55. And shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.8 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Bank of Scotland plc.  PRA and FCA regulated.  The EBA Guidelines specify that the servicer should be considered to meet this criterion if it is a prudentially regulated financial institution		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8))		
65. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that all the conditions are in place for the proper functioning of the servicing function, taking into account the crucial importance of servicing in securitisation and the central nature of this function within any securitisation transaction.		
66. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:		
(a) criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer;		
(b) criteria for determining well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls of the servicer.		
67. The criteria for the expertise of the servicer should correspond to those for the expertise of the originator or the original lender. Newly established entities should be allowed to perform the tasks of servicing, as long as the back-up servicer has the appropriate experience. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8))		
Well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls		
72. For the purposes of Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the servicer should be considered to have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to servicing of exposures’ where either of the following conditions is met:		
(a) The servicer is an entity that is subject to prudential and capital regulation and supervision in the Union and such regulatory authorisations or permissions are deemed relevant to the servicing;		
(b) The servicer is an entity that is not subject to prudential and capital regulation and supervision in the Union, and a proof of existence of well-documented and adequate policies and risk management controls is provided that also includes a proof of adherence to good market practices and reporting capabilities. The proof should be substantiated by an appropriate third-party review, such as by a credit rating agency or external auditor.		

56	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.9. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.		
STS criteria		
56. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.9 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, The Loans.		
Payment holidays		
The seller offers payment holidays, which are defined in accordance with the servicer's servicing policies and procedures, during which a borrower may suspend mortgage payments.		
Debt Restructuring, Forgiveness, and Forbearance		
Debt restructuring, forgiveness and forbearance are defined in accordance with the servicer's servicing policies and procedures.		
Arrears and default procedures		
Delinquency and default of debtors, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies are defined in accordance with the servicer's servicing policies and procedures.		
The Servicing Agreement states that the Loans will be serviced in accordance with the Seller's Policy (clause 5.2). The Seller's Policy is defined in the Master Definitions and Construction Schedule as "the originating, underwriting, administration, arrears and enforcement policy applied by the Seller from time to time to loans and the security for their repayment which are beneficially owned solely by the Seller".		
The Servicing Agreement also provides for the Servicer to comply with the "Enforcement procedures" (Clause 5.2(b)). The Enforcement Procedures are defined as "the procedures for the enforcement of Mortgages undertaken by the Servicer from time to time in accordance with the Seller's Policy".		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))		
68. Investors should be in a position to know, when they receive the transaction documentation, what procedures and remedies are planned in the event that adverse credit events affect the underlying exposures of the securitisation. Transparency of remedies and procedures, in this respect, allows investors to model the credit risk of the underlying exposures with less uncertainty. In addition, clear, timely and transparent information on the characteristics of the waterfall determining the payment priorities is necessary for the investor to correctly price the securitisation position.		
69. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the terms 'in clear and consistent terms' and 'clearly specify' should be further clarified.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.7 Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))		
Clear and consistent terms		
For the purposes of Article 21(9) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, to 'set out clear and consistent terms' and to 'clearly specify' should be understood as requiring that the same precise terms are used throughout the transaction documentation in order to facilitate the work of investors.		

57	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.9. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.		
STS criteria		
57. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.9 must be met "as of the time of <b>notification</b> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See 56 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))		
68. Investors should be in a position to know, when they receive the transaction documentation, what procedures and remedies are planned in the event that adverse credit events affect the underlying exposures of the securitisation. Transparency of remedies and procedures, in this respect, allows investors to model the credit risk of the underlying exposures with less uncertainty. In addition, clear, timely and transparent information on the characteristics of the waterfall determining the payment priorities is necessary for the investor to correctly price the securitisation position.		
69. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the terms ‘in clear and consistent terms’ and ‘clearly specify’ should be further clarified.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.7 Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))		
Clear and consistent terms		
For the purposes of Article 21(9) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, to ‘set out clear and consistent terms’ and to ‘clearly specify’ should be understood as requiring that the same precise terms are used throughout the transaction documentation in order to facilitate the work of investors.		



58	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	21.9...The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	58. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment,	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.9 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See the Amended and Restated Funding Deed of Charge:</p> <p>Schedule 4. Funding 2 Priority of Payments</p> <p>Part 1 Funding 2 Pre-Enforcement Revenue Priority of Payments</p> <p>Part 2 Funding 2 Principal Priorities of Payments</p> <p>Part 3 Funding 2 Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments</p> <p>Part 4 Funding 2 Yield Reserve Fund Priority of Payments</p> <p>See AMENDED AND RESTATED MASTER ISSUER CASH MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT.</p> <p>Schedule 2. CASH MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF LEDGERS</p> <p>Clause 3. Priority of Payments for Master Issuer Revenue Receipts</p> <p>Clause 4. Priority of Payments for Master Issuer Principal Receipts</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

59	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.		
	STS criteria		
	59. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment.		
	Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.9 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	Terms and conditions of the notes – Condition 9 (Events of Default); See Triggers Tables – Non Rating Trigger Events and Asset Trigger Event		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

60	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation</b>	
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	60. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the obligation to report such events.	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 21.9 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.	
	<b>Verified?</b>	Yes
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>Priorities of Payments and relevant triggers are set out in the Mortgages Trust Deed, the Funding 2 Deed of Charge, the Master Issuer Deed of Charge, the Master Issuer Cash Management Agreement, the Master Issuer Intercompany Loan and the Terms and Conditions of the Notes. The base prospectus also includes a summary of these under the sections entitled "Cashflows" and "Credit Structure" and confirmation that any relevant changes will be disclosed under the section entitled "Cashflows— Disclosure of modifications to the priorities of payments" and pursuant to Clause 4.8 of the Funding 2 Deed of Charge.</p> <p>See section, Cashflow - Disclosure of modifications to the priorities of payments.</p> <p>Any events which trigger change in the priorities of payments and any change to priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the term advances or the notes shall be disclosed without undue delay to the extent required under Article 21(9) of the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>See also Clause 4.8 of the Funding 2 Deed of Charge:</p> <p>“The Master Issuer shall disclose, and the Cash Manager shall take all steps to assist the Master Issuer to disclose, any events which trigger a change in the Mortgages Trust Priority of Payments, the Funding 2 Priority of Payments and the Master Issuer 2 Priority of Payments and any change to the Mortgages Trust Priority of Payments, the Funding 2 Priority of Payments and the Master Issuer 2 Priority of Payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the Term Advances or the Notes without undue delay to the extent required under Article 21(9) of the Securitisation Regulation.”</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

61	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.		
	STS criteria		
	61. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.		
	Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.9 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	See section, Cashflows		
	Disclosure of modifications to the priorities of payments		
	Any events which trigger change in the priorities of payments and any change to priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the term advances or the notes shall be disclosed without undue delay to the extent required under Article 21(9) of the Securitisation Regulation.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

62	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
21.10. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.		
STS criteria		
62. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.10 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>Terms and Conditions of the Notes (and Note Trust Deed) and Overview of rights of noteholders:</p> <p>(a) the method for calling meetings; as for method Condition 11.1</p> <p>(b) the maximum timeframe for setting up a meeting: see Overview of rights of noteholders – Noteholder meeting provisions</p> <p>(c) the required quorum: Condition 11.1 and 11.2</p> <p>(d) the minimum threshold of votes to validate such a decision, with clear differentiation between the minimum thresholds for each type of decision Condition 11.1 and 11.2 and Master Issuer Trust Deed, definition of Extraordinary resolution, Condition 11.1</p> <p>(e) where applicable, a location for the meetings which should be in the EU: see Overview of rights of noteholders – Location</p> <p>See also Terms and Conditions of the Notes, Condition 2.2, Conflict between the classes of Notes .</p> <p>Although the wording of the Regulation as to what constitutes the “facilitation of timely resolution of conflicts” is very vague, the EBA Guidelines have helpfully set out the five minimum requirements that the documents should contain to meet this criterion.</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors		
70. The objective of this criterion is to help ensure clarity for securitisation noteholders of their rights and ability to control and enforce on the underlying credit claims or receivables. This should make the decision-making process more effective, for instance in circumstances where enforcement rights on the underlying assets are being exercised.		
71. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term ‘clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’ should be further interpreted.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.8 Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors (Article 20 (10))		
Clear provisions facilitating the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors		
73. For the purposes of Article 21(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, provisions of the transaction documentation that ‘facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’, should include provisions with respect to all of the following:		
(a) the method for calling meetings or arranging conference calls;		
(b) the maximum timeframe for setting up a meeting or conference call;		
(c) the required quorum;		
(d) the minimum threshold of votes to validate such a decision, with clear differentiation between the minimum thresholds for each type of decision;		
(e) where applicable, a location for the meetings which should be in the Union.		
74. For the purposes of Article 21(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where mandatory statutory provisions exist in the applicable jurisdiction that set out how conflicts between investors have to be resolved, the transaction documentation may refer to these provisions.		

63	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	21.10. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.		
	STS criteria		
	63. and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.		
	Article 43.3(b): requirements under 21.10 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	See section, Security for Funding 2's obligations and Security for the issuing entity's obligations.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors (Article 20 (10))			
70. The objective of this criterion is to help ensure clarity for securitisation noteholders of their rights and ability to control and enforce on the underlying credit claims or receivables. This should make the decision-making process more effective, for instance in circumstances where enforcement rights on the underlying assets are being exercised.			
71. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term ‘clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’ should be further interpreted.			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

64	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.		
STS criteria		
64. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised,		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.1 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See Drawdown Prospectus dated 7 October, 2019, section, STATISTICAL INFORMATION ON THE PORTFOLIO.  Delinquency and loss experience on loans originated by Halifax or by Bank of Scotland under the "Halifax" brand (including loans in the portfolio)...  See Drawdown Prospectus dated 7 October, 2019, STATIC POOL DATA		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))		
72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.		
73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:		
(a) its application to external data;		
(b) the term 'substantially similar exposures'.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))		
Data		
75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.		
Substantially similar exposures		
76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term 'substantially similar exposures' should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:		
(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;		
(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.		
77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.		

65	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.		
STS criteria		
65. and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.1 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
The historical information provided as indicated in criterion 64.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))		
72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.		
73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:		
(a) its application to external data;		
(b) the term ‘substantially similar exposures’.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))		
Data		
75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.		
Substantially similar exposures		
76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term ‘substantially similar exposures’ should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:		
(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;		
(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.		
77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.		



66	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.		
	STS criteria		
	66. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.		
	Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.1 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	The historical information provided as indicated in criterion 64 provides information for a minimum of 5 years.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.		
	73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:		
	(a) its application to external data;		
	(b) the term 'substantially similar exposures'.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))			
Data			
75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.			
Substantially similar exposures			
76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term 'substantially similar exposures' should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:			
(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;			
(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.			
77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.			

67	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.2. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification <del>prior to issuance</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party, including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.		
STS criteria		
67. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification prior to issuance of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party,		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.2 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”. Article 43.4: For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: (a) in Article 22(2), ‘prior to issuance’ shall be deemed to read ‘prior to notification under Article 27(1)’; [...]		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>See section, Listing and General Information.</p> <p>Verification of data</p> <p>Prior to the issuance of any notes, the seller may cause a sample of the loans included in the portfolio (including the data disclosed in the applicable final terms in respect of the loans as at the relevant cut-off date) to be subject to external verification by one or more appropriate and independent third parties (such as a review of a representative sample of loans based on agreed upon procedures and/or a verification of the stratification tables set out in the applicable final terms) for the purposes of Article 22(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. [The seller has reviewed the reports of such independent third parties and is of the opinion that there were no significant adverse findings in such reports.</p> <p>See Form of Final Terms.</p> <p>[Verification of data</p> <p>The seller has caused a sample of the relevant loans to be verified by one or more appropriate and independent third parties. This independent third party has also performed agreed upon procedures in order to verify that the stratification tables disclosed in respect of the relevant loans are accurate. The seller has reviewed the reports of such independent third parties and is of the opinion that there were no significant adverse findings in such reports]</p> <p>See the Drawdown Prospectus dated 7 October, 2019.</p> <p>Verification of data</p> <p>The seller has caused a representative sample of the relevant loans (including the data disclosed in respect of those loans) to be the subject of external verification by an appropriate and independent third party. This verification will extend to both compliance with certain lending criteria and verification of certain data as set out herein is accurate. The seller has reviewed the reports of such independent third parties and is of the opinion that there were no significant adverse findings in such reports</p> <p><b>PCS is not an auditing firm, nor has it or has it sought access to the underlying information which was the basis of the AUP. However, it has read the AUP with the aim of determining whether, on its face, it appears to cover the items required by the criterion.</b></p> <p><b><i>Based solely on the words of the AUP and without any additional due diligence or interaction with the auditing firm responsible for the AUP or sight of the instructions to such firm, PCS has concluded that the AUP appears to meet the requirements of the criterion.</i></b></p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		

**Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))**

74. The objective of the criterion is to provide a level of assurance that the data on and reporting of the underlying credit claims or receivables is accurate and that the underlying exposures meet the eligibility criteria, by ensuring checks on the data to be disclosed to the investors by an external entity not affected by a potential conflict of interest within the transaction.

75. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:

- (a) requirements on the sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification;
- (b) requirements on the party executing the verification;
- (c) scope of the verification;
- (d) requirement on the confirmation of the verification.

**EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines**

**6.2 Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))**

***Sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification***

78. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures that should be subject to verification prior to the issuance should be a representative sample of the provisional portfolio from which the securitised pool is extracted and which is in a reasonably final form before issuance.

***Party executing the verification***

79. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an appropriate and independent party should be deemed to be a party that meets both of the following conditions:

- (a) it has the experience and capability to carry out the verification;
- (b) it is none of the following:
  - (i) a credit rating agency;
  - (ii) a third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;
  - (iii) an entity affiliated to the originator.

***Scope of the verification***

80. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the verification to be carried out based on the representative sample, applying a confidence level of at least 95%, should include both of the following:

- (a) verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the provisional portfolio with the eligibility criteria that are able to be tested prior to issuance;
- (b) verification of the fact that the data disclosed to investors in any formal offering document in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.

***Confirmation of the verification***

81. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, confirmation that this verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found should be disclosed.

68	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.2. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification <del>prior to issuance</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party, including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.		
STS criteria		
68. Including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.2 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”. Article 43.4: For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: (a) in Article 22(2), ‘prior to issuance’ shall be deemed to read ‘prior to notification under Article 27(1)’; [...]		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Listing and General Information.		
Verification of data		
Prior to the issuance of any notes, the seller may cause a sample of the loans included in the portfolio (including the data disclosed in the applicable final terms in respect of the loans as at the relevant cut-off date) to be subject to external verification by one or more appropriate and independent third parties (such as a review of a representative sample of loans based on agreed upon procedures and/or a verification of the stratification tables set out in the applicable final terms) for the purposes of Article 22(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. [The seller has reviewed the reports of such independent third parties and is of the opinion that there were no significant adverse findings in such reports.]		
See Form of Final Terms		
[Verification of data		
The seller has caused a sample of the relevant loans to be verified by one or more appropriate and independent third parties. This independent third party has also performed agreed upon procedures in order to verify that the stratification tables disclosed in respect of the relevant loans are accurate. The seller has reviewed the reports of such independent third parties and is of the opinion that there were no significant adverse findings in such reports]		
See the Drawdown Prospectus dated 7 October, 2019.		
Verification of data		
The seller has caused a representative sample of the relevant loans (including the data disclosed in respect of those loans) to be the subject of external verification by an appropriate and independent third party. This verification will extend to both compliance with certain lending criteria and verification of certain data as set out herein is accurate. The seller has reviewed the reports of such independent third parties and is of the opinion that there were no significant adverse findings in such reports		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))		
74. The objective of the criterion is to provide a level of assurance that the data on and reporting of the underlying credit claims or receivables is accurate and that the underlying exposures meet the eligibility criteria, by ensuring checks on the data to be disclosed to the investors by an external entity not affected by a potential conflict of interest within the transaction.		
75. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:		
(a) requirements on the sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification;		
(b) requirements on the party executing the verification:		

- (c) scope of the verification;
- (d) requirement on the confirmation of the verification.

#### EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

### 6.2 Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))

#### **Sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification**

78. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures that should be subject to verification prior to the issuance should be a representative sample of the provisional portfolio from which the securitised pool is extracted and which is in a reasonably final form before issuance.

#### **Party executing the verification**

79. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an appropriate and independent party should be deemed to be a party that meets both of the following conditions:

- (a) it has the experience and capability to carry out the verification;
- (b) it is none of the following:
  - (i) a credit rating agency;
  - (ii) a third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;
  - (iii) an entity affiliated to the originator.

#### **Scope of the verification**

80. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the verification to be carried out based on the representative sample, applying a confidence level of at least 95%, should include both of the following:

- (a) verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the provisional portfolio with the eligibility criteria that are able to be tested prior to issuance;
- (b) verification of the fact that the data disclosed to investors in any formal offering document in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.

#### **Confirmation of the verification**

81. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, confirmation that this verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found should be disclosed.

69	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.3. The originator or the sponsor shall, before the pricing of the securitisation, make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE, and shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.		
STS criteria		
69. The originator or the sponsor shall, <del>before the pricing of the securitisation</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> , make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE, and shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.3 must be met “as of the time of notification”. Article 43.4: For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: [...] (b) in Article 22(3), ‘before the pricing of the securitisation’ shall be deemed to read ‘prior to notification under Article 27(1)’.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Listing and General Information.		
Liability cashflow model		
As long as any series and class of notes remains outstanding, a cashflow model will be made available to investors, either directly or indirectly through one or more entities who provide such cash flow models to investors generally.		
PCS is not a modelling firm nor has any modelling expertise. Therefore, it will not verify the model's accuracy or perform any due diligence whatsoever on the model. However, it will seek to satisfy itself indirectly as to the likelihood of the model's accuracy by requesting details of the individuals (if employed by the originator) or the firms (if the model is outsourced) responsible for the model. PCS will then assess whether, in its sole opinion, the model was put together by persons or firms with a reputation and a track-record in such models.		
See also the ESMA STS Notification, STSS60		
Having seen the model, read a statement in the prospectus and the STS Notification that the model will be made available in accordance with the requirements of the criteria and assessed the firm responsible for the model, PCS is prepared to verify this criterion.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
Liability cashflow model (Article 22(3))		
76. The objective of this criterion is to assist investors in their ability to appropriately model the cash flow waterfall of the securitisation on the liability side of the SSPE.		
77. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:		
(a) interpretation of the term ‘precise’ representation of the contractual relationships;		
(b) implications when the model is provided by third parties.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
Liability cash flow model (Article 22(3))		
Precise representation of the contractual relationship		
82. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the representation of the contractual relationships between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing among the originator, sponsor, investors, other parties and the SSPE should be considered to have been done ‘precisely’ where it is done accurately and with an amount of detail sufficient to allow investors to		

model payment obligations of the SSPE and to price the securitisation accordingly. This may include algorithms that permit investors to model a range of different scenarios that will affect cash flows, such as different prepayment or default rates.

**Third parties**

83. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the liability cash flow model is developed by third parties, the originator or sponsor should remain responsible for making the information available to potential investors.

70	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.3. The originator or the sponsor shall, <del>before the pricing of the securitisation</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> , make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE, and shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.		
STS criteria		
70. And shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.3 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”. Article 43.4: For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: [...] (b) in Article 22(3), ‘before the pricing of the securitisation’ shall be deemed to read ‘prior to notification under Article 27(1)’.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Listing and General Information.  Liability cashflow model  As long as any series and class of notes remains outstanding, a cashflow model will be made available to investors, either directly or indirectly through one or more entities who provide such cash flow models to investors generally.  See also the ESMA STS Notification, STSS60  <b>PCS notes the existence of such covenant in the Prospectus.</b>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
Liability cashflow model (Article 22(3)) 76. The objective of this criterion is to assist investors in their ability to appropriately model the cash flow waterfall of the securitisation on the liability side of the SSPE. 77. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified: (a) interpretation of the term ‘precise’ representation of the contractual relationships; (b) implications when the model is provided by third parties.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
Liability cash flow model (Article 22(3)) <b>Precise representation of the contractual relationship</b> 82. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the representation of the contractual relationships between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing among the originator, sponsor, investors, other parties and the SSPE should be considered to have been done ‘precisely’ where it is done accurately and with an amount of detail sufficient to allow investors to model payment obligations of the SSPE and to price the securitisation accordingly. This may include algorithms that permit investors to model a range of different scenarios that will affect cash flows, such as different prepayment or default rates. <b>Third parties</b> 83. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the liability cash flow model is developed by third parties, the originator or sponsor should remain responsible for making the information available to potential investors.		



71	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</b>	
	22.4. In case of a securitisation where the underlying exposures are residential loans or car loans or leases, the originator and sponsor shall publish the available information related to the environmental performance of the assets financed by such residential loans or car loans or leases, as part of the information disclosed pursuant to point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1).	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	71. In case of a securitisation where the underlying exposures are residential loans or car loans or leases, the originator and sponsor shall publish the available information related to the environmental performance of the assets financed by such residential loans or car loans or leases, as part of the information disclosed pursuant to point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1).	
	<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 22.4 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".	
		Yes
	<b>Verified?</b>	
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>See Drawdown Prospectus dated 7 October, 2019.</p> <p>SECURITISATION REGULATION</p> <p>Environmental performance</p> <p>The administrative records of the seller do not contain any information related to the environmental performance of the property securing the loans.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>Environmental performance of assets (Article 22(4))</b>	
	78. It should be clarified that this is a requirement of disclosure about the energy efficiency of the assets when this information is available to the originator, sponsor or SSPE, rather than a requirement for a minimum energy efficiency of the assets.	
	79. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term 'available information related to the environmental performance' should be further clarified.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	
	<b>Environmental performance of assets (Article 22(4))</b>	
	<b><i>Available information related to the environmental performance</i></b>	
	84. This requirement should be applicable only if the information on the energy performance certificates for the assets financed by the underlying exposures is available to the originator, sponsor or the SSPE and captured in its internal database or IT systems. Where information is available only for a proportion of the underlying exposures, the requirement should apply only in respect of the proportion of the underlying exposures for which information is available.	

72	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.5.. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors <del>before pricing</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> . The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.		
STS criteria		
72. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation.		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.5 must be met "as of the time of <i>notification</i> ".		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Securitisation Regulation requirements.  The issuing entity, for the purposes of Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, has been designated as the entity responsible for compliance with the requirements of Article 7 and will either fulfill such requirements itself or shall procure that such requirements are complied with on its behalf. In relation to any Notes which are awarded STS status, Bank of Scotland as the sponsor and the originator is responsible for compliance with Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation.  See AMENDED AND RESTATED FUNDING 2 DEED OF CHARGE.  4.7            Securitisation Regulation (Article 7 requirements)  (a)            The Seller acknowledges and agrees with the Master Issuer, Funding 2 and the Mortgages Trustee that it will be responsible for compliance with the requirements of Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
Compliance with transparency requirements		
80. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that investors have access to the data that are relevant for them to carry out the necessary risk and due diligence analysis with respect to the investment decision.		
81. The criterion is deemed sufficiently clear and not requiring any further clarification.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

73	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>before pricing prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>before pricing prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> . The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.		
STS criteria		
73. The information required by point (a) the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors <del>before pricing</del> <b>before pricing prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> upon request		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.5 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”. Article 43.4: For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: [...] (c)[...](i) in the second sentence ‘before pricing’ shall be deemed to read ‘prior to notification under Article 27(1)’		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Listing and general information.  Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations  The issuing entity will procure the publication of:  (b) prior to the pricing of any series of notes issued after 1 January 2019 in relation to STS securitisations, information in relation to the loans in the mortgages trust in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

74	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors <del>before pricing prior to notification under Article 27(1)</del> upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to notification under Article 27(1)</del> . The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.		
STS criteria		
74. . The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to notification under Article 27(1)</del> .		
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.5 must be met “as of the time of <b>notification</b> ”. Article 43.4: For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: [...] (c)[...] (ii) ‘before pricing at least in draft or initial form’ shall be deemed to read ‘prior to notification under Article 27(1)’.		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Listing and General Information.  Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations  (d) copies of the documents, (in draft form, if applicable) prior to the pricing of any series of notes issued after 1 January 2019 (and in final form, if applicable, at the latest 15 days after the closing of any series of notes), required to be published in accordance with Articles 7(1)(b) and, where applicable, (d) of the Securitisation Regulation and, in relation to STS securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation  by means of a securitisation repository or (where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10 of the Securitisation Regulation) by means of a website (expected to be <a href="https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063">https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063</a> ) which conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, or any other website which may be notified by the issuing entity from time to time provided that such replacement or additional website conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. For the avoidance of doubt, this website and the contents thereof do not form part of this base prospectus.  The issuing entity will make the information referred to above available to the holders of any of the notes, relevant competent authorities and, upon request, to potential investors in the notes. Any documents provided in draft form are subject to amendment and completion without notice.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

75	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors <del>before pricing</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> . The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.		
STS criteria		
75. The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.		
<b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 22.5 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”. <b>Article 43.4:</b> For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: [...]		Yes
(iii) the requirements set out in the fourth sentence <b>shall not apply</b> .		
PCS' interpretation is that the timing of 15 days after closing does not apply, but this requirement is to be complied with by the date of notification.		
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations		
The issuing entity will procure the publication of:		
(d) copies of the documents, (in draft form, if applicable) prior to the pricing of any series of notes issued after 1 January 2019 (and in final form, if applicable, at the latest 15 days after the closing of any series of notes), required to be published in accordance with Articles 7(1)(b) and, where applicable, (d) of the Securitisation Regulation and, in relation to STS securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation.		
PCS notes the existence of such covenant in the Prospectus.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

76	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:		
(a) information on the underlying exposures on a quarterly basis, or, in the case of ABCP, information on the underlying receivables or credit claims on a monthly basis;		
STS criteria		
76. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:		
(a) information on the underlying exposures on a quarterly basis,		
Article 22.5. [...] The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors <del>before pricing</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> upon request. [...]		Yes
Article 43.3(b): requirements under 22.5 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i> ”.		
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations		
The issuing entity will procure the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation		
(a) <b>simultaneously, at least, each quarter and within one month of the relevant Funding 2 interest payment date, ongoing information in relation to the loans in the mortgages trust and the transaction in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and (e) of the Securitisation Regulation (subject to Article 43(8) of the Securitisation Regulation and any published guidance of the relevant regulatory or competition authorities) and, in relation to STS securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation;</b>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

77	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</b>	
	<p>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:</p> <p>(b) all underlying documentation that is essential for the understanding of the transaction, including but not limited to, where applicable, the following documents:</p> <p>(i) the final offering document or the prospectus together with the closing transaction documents, excluding legal opinions;</p>	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	<p>77. All underlying documentation that is essential for the understanding of the transaction, including but not limited to, where applicable, the following documents:</p> <p>(i) the final offering document or the prospectus together with the closing transaction documents, excluding legal opinions;</p>	
	<p><b>Article 22.5.</b> [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to notification under Article 27(1).</del> [...]</p> <p><b>Article 43.3(b):</b> requirements under 22.5 must be met “as of the time of <i>notification</i>”.</p> <p>See <b>Article 43.4:</b> For the purposes of point (b) of paragraph 3, the following shall apply: [...] (c) in Article 22(5) [...] ‘before pricing at least in draft or initial form’ shall be deemed to read ‘<u>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</u>’</p> <p><b>Verified?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	<p>Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations</p> <p>The issuing entity will procure the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation:</p> <p>(d) copies of the documents, (in draft form, if applicable) prior to the pricing of any series of notes issued after 1 January 2019 (and in final form, if applicable, at the latest 15 days after the closing of any series of notes), required to be published in accordance with Articles 7(1)(b) and, where applicable, (d) of the Securitisation Regulation and, in relation to STS securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>by means of a securitisation repository or (where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10 of the Securitisation Regulation) by means of a website (expected to be <a href="https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063">https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063</a>) which conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, or any other website which may be notified by the issuing entity from time to time provided that such replacement or additional website conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. For the avoidance of doubt, this website and the contents thereof do not form part of this base prospectus.</p>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

78	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</b>	
	(ii) for traditional securitisation the asset sale agreement, assignment, novation or transfer agreement and any relevant declaration of trust;	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	78. For traditional securitisation the asset sale agreement, assignment, novation or transfer agreement and any relevant declaration of trust;	
	<b>Article 22.5.</b> [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b> [...]	Yes
	<b>Verified?</b>	
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 77 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	



79	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<i>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</i>	
	(iii) the derivatives and guarantees agreements as well as any relevant documents on collateralisation arrangements where the exposures being securitised remain exposures of the originator;	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	79. The derivatives and guarantees agreements as well as any relevant documents on collateralisation arrangements where the exposures being securitised remain exposures of the originator;	
	<b>Article 22.5.</b> [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b> [...]	Yes
	<b>Verified?</b>	
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 77 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

80	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</b>	
	7.1(b) [...] (iv) the servicing, back-up servicing, administration and cash management agreements;	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	80. The servicing, back-up servicing, administration and cash management agreements;	
	<b>Article 22.5.</b> [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b> [...]	Yes
	<b>Verified?</b>	
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 77 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

81	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	<b>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</b>	
	7.1(b) [...] (v) the trust deed, security deed, agency agreement, account bank agreement, guaranteed investment contract, incorporated terms or mastertrust framework or master definitions agreement or such legal documentation with equivalent legal value;	
	<b>STS criteria</b>	
	81. The trust deed, security deed, agency agreement, account bank agreement, guaranteed investment contract, incorporated terms or master trust framework or master definitions agreement or such legal documentation with equivalent legal value;	
	<b>Article 22.5.</b> [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b> [...]	Yes
	<b>Verified?</b>	
	<b>PCS Comment</b>	
	See point 77 above.	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>	
	<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>	

82	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
<b>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</b>		
7.1(b) [...] (vi) any relevant inter-creditor agreements, derivatives documentation, subordinated loan agreements, start-up loan agreements and liquidity facility agreements;		
<b>STS criteria</b>		
82. Any relevant inter-creditor agreements, derivatives documentation, subordinated loan agreements, start-up loan agreements and liquidity facility agreements;		
<b>Article 22.5.</b> [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b> [...]		Yes
<b>Verified?</b>		
<b>PCS Comment</b>		
See point 77 above.		
<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>		
<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>		

83	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	7.1(b) [...] That underlying documentation shall include a detailed description of the priority of payments of the securitisation;		
	STS criteria		
	83. That underlying documentation shall include a detailed description of the priority of payments of the securitisation;		
	Article 22.5. [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to</del> notification under Article 27(1). [...]	Yes	
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	See the Amended and Restated Funding Deed of Charge: Schedule 4 Funding 2 Priority of Payments Part 1    Funding 2 Pre-Enforcement Revenue Priority of Payments Part 2    Funding 2 Principal Priorities of Payments Part 3    Funding 2 Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments Part 4    Funding 2 Yield Reserve Fund Priority of Payments See AMENDED AND RESTATED MASTER ISSUER CASH MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT Schedule 2. CASH MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF LEDGERS Clause 3. Priority of Payments for Master Issuer Revenue Receipts Clause 4. Priority of Payments for Master Issuer Principal Receipts		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

84	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1 (c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:		
(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;		
STS criteria		
84. Where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:		
(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;		
Article 22.5. [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to notification under Article 27(1).</del> [...]		Not applicable to transactions with prospectus under Prospectus Directive
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Not applicable.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

85	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1 (c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:		
(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;		
(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;		
STS criteria		
85. (ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;		
Article 22.5. [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to notification under Article 27(1).</del> [...]		Not applicable to transactions with prospectus under Prospectus Directive
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Not applicable.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

86	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1 (c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:		
(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;		
(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;		
(iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;		
STS criteria		
86. (iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;		
Article 22.5. [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to notification under Article 27(1). [...]		Not applicable to transactions with prospectus under Prospectus Directive
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Not applicable.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		



87	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
<p>; 7.1 (c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:</p> <p>(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;</p> <p>(iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;</p> <p><b>(iv) a list of all triggers and events referred to in the documents provided in accordance with point (b) that could have a material impact on the performance of the securitisation position;</b></p>		
STS criteria		
87. (iv) a list of all triggers and events referred to in the documents provided in accordance with point (b) that could have a material impact on the performance of the securitisation position;		
Article 22.5. [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form</del> <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b> [...]		Not applicable to transactions with prospectus under Prospectus Directive
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
Not applicable.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

88	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(d) in the case of STS securitisations, the STS notification referred to in Article 27;		
	STS criteria		
	88. In the case of STS securitisations, the STS notification referred to in Article 27;		
	Article 22.5. [...] The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available <del>before pricing at least in draft or initial form prior to</del> notification under Article 27(1). [...]	Yes	
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	9. LEGAL AND REGULATORY RISKS RELATING TO THE STRUCTURE AND THE NOTES		
	Simple, Transparent and Standardised Securitisations (STS)		
.... The seller, in its capacity as originator for the purposes of the Securitisation Regulation, may procure an STS notification (an STS notification) to be submitted to ESMA in accordance with Article 27 of the Securitisation Regulation, and to the FCA, that the requirements of Articles 19 to 22 of the Securitisation Regulation (the STS requirements) have been satisfied with respect to the issuance of a series of notes. The originator is aware of its obligations in respect of, and the process for, a submission of an STS notification. In the event that the originator makes an STS notification with respect to a series of notes, no assurance can be given that such series of notes meeting the STS requirements applicable at the time of such STS notification will remain compliant because the STS requirements may change over time.....			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

89	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]		
(e) quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following:		
STS criteria		
89. Quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following:		
Quarterly investor reports are post-closing requirements: due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
The issuing entity will procure (by the servicer pursuant to the terms of the servicing agreement, and by the issuing entity cash manager pursuant to the terms of the issuing entity cash management agreement) the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation:		
(a) simultaneously, at least each quarter and within one month of the relevant Funding 2 interest payment date, ongoing information in relation to the loans in the mortgages trust and the transaction in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and (e) of the Securitisation Regulation (subject to Article 43(8) of the Securitisation Regulation and any published guidance of the relevant regulatory or competition authorities) and, in relation to STS securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

90	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]		
(e) quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following:		
(i) all materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of underlying exposures; [...]		
STS criteria		
90. All materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of underlying exposures;		
Quarterly investor reports are post-closing requirements: due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See 89 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

91	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
<p>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]</p> <p>(e) quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following: [...]</p> <p><b>(ii) information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties</b>, and, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;</p>		
STS criteria		
91. Information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties,		
Quarterly investor reports are post-closing requirements: due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See 89 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

92	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]		
(e) quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following: [...]		
(ii) information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties, <b>and, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;</b> [...]		
STS criteria		
92. And, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;		
Quarterly investor reports are post-closing requirements: due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See 89 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

93	<div>Legislative text</div> <div>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</div> <div>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]</div> <div>(e) quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following: [...]</div> <div>(iii) information about the risk retained, including information on which of the modalities provided for in Article 6(3) has been applied, in accordance with Article 6. [...]</div> <div>STS criteria</div> <div>93. Information about the risk retained, including information on which of the modalities provided for in Article 6(3) has been applied, in accordance with Article 6.</div> <div>Quarterly investor reports are post-closing requirements: due prior to notification under Article 27(1).</div> <div>Verified?</div> <div>PCS Comment</div> <div>See 89 above.</div> <div>Certain Regulatory Requirements</div> <div>Securitisation Regulation requirements</div> <div>Retention statement</div> <div>The seller in its capacity as the originator, will:</div> <div>(i) The seller in its capacity as the originator, will (i) retain, on an on-going basis, a material net economic interest of not less than 5 per cent. in the nominal value of the securitised exposures as required by the text of Article 6 of the Securitisation Regulation (which does not take into account any relevant national measures), by retaining a seller share of no less than 5 per cent in the mortgages trust in accordance with Article 6(3)(b) of the Securitisation Regulations;</div> <div>(ii) at all relevant times comply with the requirements of Article 7(1)(e)(iii) of the Securitisation Regulation by confirming in the investor reports the risk retention of the seller as contemplated by Article 6(1) of the Securitisation Regulation;</div> <div>(iii) not change the manner in which it retains such material net economic interest, except to the extent permitted by the Securitisation Regulation; and</div> <div>(iv) not hedge, sell or otherwise enter into any credit risk mitigation, short position or any other credit risk hedge with respect to its retained material net economic interest, except to the extent permitted by the Securitisation Regulation.</div> <div>Any change to the manner in which such interest is held will be notified to noteholders in accordance with the conditions and requirements of the Securitisation Regulation</div> <div>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale</div> <div>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</div>	<div>BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</div>
	<div>Yes</div>	

94	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]		
(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation; [...]		
STS criteria		
94. Any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;		
Provision of inside information is a post-closing requirement to be performed “without delay”: due prior to notification under Article 27(1).		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See section, Listing and General Information.  Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations  The issuing entity will procure (by the servicer pursuant to the terms of the servicing agreement, and by the issuing entity cash manager pursuant to the terms of the issuing entity cash management agreement) the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation:  (c) without delay, any information required to be reported pursuant to Articles 7(1)(f) or 7(1)(g) (as applicable) of the Securitisation Regulation;		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		



95	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
<p>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]</p> <p>(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;</p> <p><b>(g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:</b></p> <p><b>(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach; [...]</b></p>		
STS criteria		
<p>95. (g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:</p> <p>(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;</p>		
Provision of information on significant events is a post-closing requirement to be performed "without delay": due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>See section, Listing and General Information.</p> <p>Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations</p> <p>The issuing entity will procure (by the servicer pursuant to the terms of the servicing agreement, and by the issuing entity cash manager pursuant to the terms of the issuing entity cash management agreement) the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation:</p> <p>(c) without delay, any information required to be reported pursuant to Articles 7(1)(f) or 7(1)(g) (as applicable) of the Securitisation Regulation;</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

96	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]		
(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;		
(g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:		
(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;		
(ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation; [...]		
STS criteria		
96. (ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;		
Provision of information on significant events is a post-closing requirement to be performed "without delay": due prior to notification under Article 27(1).		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See 95 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

97	<div>Legislative text</div> <div>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</div> <div>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]</div> <div>(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;</div> <div>(g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:</div> <div>(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;</div> <div>(ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;</div> <div>(iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation; [...]</div> <div>STS criteria</div> <div>97. (iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;</div> <div>Provision of information on significant events is a post-closing requirement to be performed "without delay": due prior to notification under Article 27(1).</div> <div>Verified?</div> <div>PCS Comment</div> <div>See 95 above.</div> <div>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale</div> <div></div> <div>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</div> <div></div>	<div>BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</div>
----	---	--------------------------------------

98	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]		
(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;		
(g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:		
(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;		
(ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;		
(iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;		
(iv) in the case of STS securitisations, where the securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions; [...]		
STS criteria		
98. (iv) in the case of STS securitisations, where the securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions;		
Provision of information on significant events is a post-closing requirement to be performed "without delay": due prior to notification under Article 27(1).		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See 95 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

99	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
<p>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors: [...]</p> <p>(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;</p> <p><b>(g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:</b></p> <p>(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;</p> <p>(ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;</p> <p>(iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;</p> <p>(iv) in the case of STS securitisations, where the securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions;</p> <p><b>(v) any material amendment to transaction documents.</b></p>		
STS criteria		
99. (v) any material amendment to transaction documents.		
Provision of information on significant events is a post-closing requirement to be performed "without delay": due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
See 95 above.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

100	Legislative text		<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	7.1 [...] The information described in points (a) and (e) of the first subparagraph shall be made available simultaneously each quarter at the latest one month after the due date for the payment of interest [...ABCP provisions]		
	STS criteria		
	100. The information described in points (a) and (e) of the first subparagraph shall be made available simultaneously each quarter at the latest one month after the due date for the payment of interest [...ABCP provisions]		
	Provision of information pursuant to points (a) and (e) on an ongoing basis is a post-closing requirement to be performed quarterly: due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>	Yes	
	Verified?		
	PCS Comment		
	Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations		
	The issuing entity will procure the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation:		
(a) simultaneously, at least, each quarter and within one month of the relevant Funding 2 interest payment date, ongoing information in relation to the loans in the mortgages trust and the transaction in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and (e) of the Securitisation Regulation (subject to Article 43(8) of the Securitisation Regulation and any published guidance of the relevant regulatory or competition authorities) and, in relation to STS securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation;			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

101	<b>Legislative text</b>	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
<b>Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency</b>		
Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 596/2014, the information described in points (f) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall be made available without delay		
When complying with this paragraph, the originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall comply with national and Union law governing the protection of confidentiality of information and the processing of personal data in order to avoid potential breaches of such law as well as any confidentiality obligation relating to customer, original lender or debtor information, unless such confidential information is anonymised or aggregated.		
In particular, with regard to the information referred to in point (b) the originator, sponsor and SSPE may provide a summary of the concerned documentation.		
Competent authorities referred to in Article 29 shall be able to request the provision of such confidential information to them in order to fulfil their duties under this Regulation.		
<b>STS criteria</b>		
101. Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 596/2014, the information described in points (f) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall be made available without delay		
Provision of inside information or information on significant events are a post-closing requirement to be performed “without delay”: due <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1).</b>	Yes	
<b>Verified?</b>		
<b>PCS Comment</b>		
See section, Listing and General Information.		
Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations		
The issuing entity will procure the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation:		
(c) without delay, any information required to be reported pursuant to Articles 7(1)(f) or 7(1)(g) (as applicable) of the Securitisation Regulation;		
<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i></b>		
<b>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</b>		

102	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
<p>7.2 The entity designated in accordance with the first subparagraph shall make the information for a securitisation transaction available by means of a securitisation repository.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>The obligations referred to in the second and fourth subparagraphs shall not apply to securitisations where no prospectus has to be drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10, the entity designated to fulfil the requirements set out in paragraph 1 of this Article shall make the information available by means of a website that:</p> <p>(a) includes a well-functioning data quality control system;</p> <p>(b) is subject to appropriate governance standards and to maintenance and operation of an adequate organisational structure that ensures the continuity and orderly functioning of the website;</p> <p>(c) is subject to appropriate systems, controls and procedures that identify all relevant sources of operational risk;</p> <p>(d) includes systems that ensure the protection and integrity of the information received and the prompt recording of the information; and</p> <p>(e) makes it possible to keep record of the information for at least five years after the maturity date of the securitisation.</p>		
STS criteria		
<p>102. Where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10, the entity designated to fulfil the requirements set out in paragraph 1 of this Article shall make the information available by means of a website that:</p> <p>(a) includes a well-functioning data quality control system;</p> <p>(b) is subject to appropriate governance standards and to maintenance and operation of an adequate organisational structure that ensures the continuity and orderly functioning of the website;</p> <p>(c) is subject to appropriate systems, controls and procedures that identify all relevant sources of operational risk;</p> <p>(d) includes systems that ensure the protection and integrity of the information received and the prompt recording of the information; and</p> <p>(e) makes it possible to keep record of the information for at least five years after the maturity date of the securitisation</p>		
Any piece of information to be provided pursuant to paragraph 1 of Article 7 is to be provided prior to notification under Article 27(1).		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations</p> <p>The issuing entity will procure the publication of the following Information, which shall be made available to investors, potential investors and the relevant competent authorities in accordance with Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation:</p> <p>by means of a securitisation repository or (where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10 of the Securitisation Regulation) by means of a website (expected to be <a href="https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063">https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063</a>) which conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, or any other website which may be notified by the issuing entity from time to time provided that such replacement or additional website conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. For the avoidance of doubt, this website and the contents thereof do not form part of this base prospectus</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		



103	Legislative text	<a href="#">BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS</a>
Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
7.2 The entity responsible for reporting the information, and the securitisation repository where the information is made available shall be indicated in the documentation regarding the securitisation.		
STS criteria		
103. The entity responsible for reporting the information, and the securitisation repository where the information is made available shall be indicated in the documentation regarding the securitisation.		
Information to be provided pursuant to paragraph 1 of Article 7 are to be provided <b>prior to notification under Article 27(1)</b> . Therefore, prior to such notification, also the documentation shall be amended to indicate the entity responsible and the securitisation repository		Yes
Verified?		
PCS Comment		
<p>Certain Regulatory Requirements</p> <p>For the purposes of Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, the issuing entity has been designated as the entity responsible for compliance with the requirements of Article 7 and will either fulfil such requirements itself or shall procure that such requirements are complied with on its behalf. See “Listing and general information—Investor reports and information”.</p> <p>See section, Listing and general information- Investor reports and information.</p> <p>Sub section, Reporting under the Securitisation Regulations</p> <p>...by means of a securitisation repository or (where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10 of the Securitisation Regulation) by means of a website (expected to be <a href="https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063">https://editor.eurowdw.eu/ecb/info?edcode=RMBMUK000209100520063</a>) which conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, or any other website which may be notified by the issuing entity from time to time provided that such replacement or additional website conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. For the avoidance of doubt, this website and the contents thereof do not form part of this base prospectus.</p>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

## Definitions:

**“AUP”**: the agreed upon procedures through which an external firm verifies certain aspects of the asset pool.

**“COMI”**: centre of main interest – broadly, the legal jurisdiction where the insolvency of the seller of assets will be primarily determined.

**“Issuer Notification”**: the notification provided by the originator or sponsor pursuant to article 27 of the STS Regulation.

**“Jurisdiction List”**: the list of jurisdictions where it has been determined that severe clawback provisions do not apply.

**“Legal Opinion”**: an opinion signed by a law firm qualified in the relevant jurisdiction and acting for the originator or the arranger where the law firm sets out the reasons why, in its opinion and subject to customary assumptions and qualifications, the assets are transferred in such a way as to meet the STS Criterion for “true sale” or the same type of opinion for prior sales together with an opinion on the enforceability of the underlying assets.

**“Marketing Documents”**: Documents prepared by or on behalf of the originator and used in the marketing of the transaction with potential investors.

**“Model”**: a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE.

**“Prospectus/Deal Sheet”**: the prospectus, or for a deal where no prospectus needs to be drawn up, the deal sheet envisaged by article 7.1(c) of the STS Regulation.

**“Transaction Document”**: a document entered into in relation to the transaction binding on one or more parties connected to the transaction.