

STS Term Master Checklist

RMBS Green Belém No.1



PRIME COLLATERALISED SECURITIES (PCS) EU SAS

30 April 2020

Analyst: Fazel Ahmed– +44 (0) 203 866 5004

This is the STS Term Master Checklist for STS Term Verifications.

This STS Term Checklist must be read together with the PCS Procedures Manual and the PCS Term Evidentiary Standards Manual. This document is based upon the materials received by PCS as at the date of this document. Any references in this document are to the prospectus unless otherwise stated.

PCS comments in this STS Term Master Checklist are based on PCS' interpretation of the STS Regulation (the "Regulation") informed by (a) the text of the Regulation itself, (b) the EBA guidelines and recommendations issued in accordance with Article 19(2) of the Regulation (the "EBA Guidelines") and (c) any relevant national competent authorities interpretation of the STS criteria to the extent known to PCS.

It is important that the reader of this checklist reviews and understands the disclaimer referred to on the following page.

30 April 2020

STS Disclaimer

Neither an STS Verification, nor a CRR Assessment, nor an LCR Assessment is a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities. None are investment advice whether generally or as defined under Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2004/39/EC) and none are a credit rating whether generally or as defined under the Credit Rating Agency Regulation (1060/2009/EC).

PCS UK and PCS EU are authorised respectively by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and the French *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* as third parties verifying STS compliance pursuant to article 28 of the Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 (the "**STS Regulation**").

Neither CRR Assessments or LCR Assessments are endorsed or regulated by any regulatory and/or supervisory authority nor, other than as set out above, are the PCS Association or either of its subsidiaries, PCS UK and PCS EU, regulated by any regulator and/or supervisory authority including the Belgian Financial Services and Markets Authority, the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority, the French *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* or the European Securities and Markets Authority.

By assessing the STS or CRR status of any securities or financing, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU express any views about the creditworthiness of these securities or financings or their suitability for any existing or potential investor or as to whether there will be a ready, liquid market for these securities or financings.

Equally, by completing (either positively or negatively) any STS or CRR status assessment of certain instruments, no statement of any kind is made as to the value or price of these instruments or the appropriateness of the interest rate they carry (if any).

In the provision of any STS Verification or CRR Assessment or LCR Assessment, PCS has based its decision on information provided directly and indirectly by the originator or sponsor of the relevant securitisation. Specifically, it has relied on statements made in the relevant prospectus or deal sheet, documentation and/or in certificates provided by, or on behalf of, the originator or sponsor in accordance with PCS' published procedures for the relevant PCS verification or assessment. You should make yourself familiar with these procedures to understand fully how any PCS service is completed. These can be found in the PCS website www.pcsmarket.org (the "**PCS Website**"). Neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU undertake their own direct verification of the underlying facts stated in the prospectus, deal sheet, documentation or certificates for the relevant instruments and the completion of any STS Verification or CRR Assessment or LCR Assessment is not a confirmation or implication that the information provided to it by or on behalf of the originator or sponsor is accurate or complete.

The PCS entities take reasonable measures to ensure the quality and accuracy of the information on the PCS Website. However, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU can be held liable in any way for the inaccuracy or incompleteness of any information that is available on or through the PCS Website. In addition, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU can in any way be held liable or responsible for the content of any other website linked to the PCS Website.

To understand the meaning and limitations of any STS Verification you must read the General Disclaimer that appears on the PCS Website.

When entering any of the "Transaction" sections of the PCS Website, you will be asked to declare that you are allowed to do so under the legislation of your country. The circulation and distribution of information regarding securitisation instruments (including securities) that is available on the PCS Website may be restricted in certain jurisdictions. Persons receiving any information or documents with respect to or in connection with instruments (including securities) available on the PCS Website are required to inform themselves of and to observe all applicable restrictions.

Prime Collateralised Securities (PCS) STS Verification

Individual(s) undertaking the assessment	Fazel Ahmed
Date of Verification	30 April 2020
The transaction to be verified (the “Transaction”)	RMBS Green Belém No.1
Issuer	RMBS Green Belém No.1
Originator	Unión de Créditos Inmobiliarios, S.A., acting through its Portuguese branch
Lead Manager(s)	Banco Santander, S.A.
Transaction Legal Counsel	Vieira de Almeida Sociedade de Advogados, SP R.L.
Rating Agencies	DBRS and Fitch
Stock Exchange	Euronext Lisbon – Sociedade Gestora de Mercados Regulamentados, S.A.
Closing Date	30 April 2020

PCS confirms that all checklist points have been verified as detailed in the associated comment box in the checklist below.

A summary of the checklist points by article is set out in the table on the next page together with a reference to summary headings of the respective article contents. To examine a specific article section from the list below in further detail, please click on the article description in the table below to be taken directly to the relevant section of the detailed checklist.

Article	Summary of article contents	Checklist Points	
Article 20 – Simplicity			
20(1)	True sale	1, 2	✓
20(2)	Severe clawback (part 1)	2	✓
20(3)	Severe clawback (part 2)	2	✓
20(4)	True sale with intermediate steps	3	✓
20(5)	Assignment perfection	4	✓
20(6)	Encumbrances to enforceability of true sale	5	✓
20(7)	Eligibility criteria and active portfolio management	6 - 8	✓
20(8)	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities	9 - 14	✓
20(9)	No securitisation positions	15	✓
20(10)	Origination, underwriting standards and expertise, unverified home loans	16 - 21	✓
20(11)	No undue delay after selection, no exposures in default and to credit-impaired or insolvent debtors/quarantors, portion of restructured debtors, adverse credit history, higher pool risk	22 - 30	✓
20(12)	At least one payment made	31	✓
20(13)	No predominant dependence on the sale of asset	32	✓
Article 21 – Standardisation			
21(1)	Risk retention	33	✓
21(2)	Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks, disclosure, no further derivatives, hedging derivatives according to common standards	34 - 39	✓
21(3)	Referenced interest payments	40	✓
21(4)	Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice: no cash trap, sequential amortisation, no automatic liquidation	41 - 44	✓
21(5)	Non-sequential priority of payments	45	✓
21(6)	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of revolving period	46 - 50	✓
21(7)	Duties, responsibilities and replacement of transaction parties	51 - 53	✓
21(8)	Expertise of the servicer	54, 55	✓
21(9)	Remedies and actions by Servicer related to delinquency and default of debtor, priorities of payments, triggers for changes, obligation to report	56 - 61	✓
21(10)	Resolution of investor conflicts and fiduciary party responsibilities and duties	62, 63	✓
Articles 22 and 7 – Transparency			
22(1)	Historical asset data	64 - 66	✓
22(2)	AUP/asset verification	67, 68	✓
22(3)	Liability cashflow model	69, 70	✓
22(4)	Environmental performance of asset	71	✓
22(5)	Responsibility for article 7 and information disclosure before pricing and 15 days after closing	72 - 75	✓
7(1)	Transparency requirements: availability of reports, documentation, underlying loan data	76 - 101	✓
7(2)	Transparency requirements: designation of responsible entity, securitisation repository	102, 103	✓

1	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.	
	STS criteria	
	1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section "Mortgage Sale Agreement" – "<i>Effectiveness of the Assignment</i>"</p> <p>The assignment of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio by the Originator to the Issuer in accordance with the terms of the Mortgage Sale Agreement on the Closing Date will be effective to transfer the full, unencumbered benefit of and right, title and interest (present and future) to the Mortgage Asset Portfolio to the Issuer and will not require any further act, condition or thing to be done in connection therewith to enable the Issuer to require payment of the receivables arising thereunder or enforce such right in court, other than the notification, on or prior to the Closing Date, of the assignment of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio to the Issuer to all the Borrowers who have signed Mortgage Loan Agreements that require such notification, the registration of the assignment of any related Mortgage to the Issuer at a Real Estate Registry Office, any formalities that need to be fulfilled in relation to other existing security and the delivery to the relevant Borrower or Borrowers of a Notification Event Notice. The Originator warranted in the Mortgage Sale Agreement to, on or prior to the Closing Date, notify by registered mail with acknowledgement of receipt, the assignment of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio to the Issuer to all the Borrowers who have signed Mortgage Loan Agreements that require such notification.</p> <p>And also the section, "SELECTED ASPECTS OF LAWS OF THE PORTUGUESE REPUBLIC, AND CERTAIN SPANISH LAWS RELATING TO INSOLVENCY, RELEVANT TO THE MORTGAGE ASSETS AND THE TRANSFER OF THE MORTGAGE ASSETS"</p> <p>"RISK FACTORS" - Effects of UCI S.A. E.F.C. and the Originator Insolvency on the Assignment of Mortgage Asset Portfolio.</p> <p>The Originator and Servicer is a Portuguese branch of UCI S.A. E.F.C., a Spanish entity.</p> <p>In particular, it is stated that: "<i>In the event of the Originator becoming insolvent and insolvency proceedings are initiated in Portugal, the Mortgage Sale Agreement, and the sale of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio conducted pursuant to it, will not be affected and therefore will neither be terminated, nor will such Mortgage Asset Portfolio form part of the Originator's insolvent estate, save if a liquidator appointed to the Originator or any of the Originator's creditors produces evidence that the sale of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio under the Mortgage Sale Agreement was prejudicial to the insolvency estate and that the Originator and the Issuer have entered into and executed such agreement in bad faith, i.e., with the intention of defrauding creditors.</i>".</p> <p>In the event of UCI S.A. E.F.C becoming insolvent and insolvency proceedings are initiated in Spain, the above Portuguese rules could be considered applicable under Article 16 of Regulation (EU) 2015/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on insolvency proceedings ("EU Insolvency Regulation"). However, in case that Spanish rules relating to the voidness, voidability or unenforceability of legal acts are considered applicable, there is the risk that the sale of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio may be challenged on a wider scope of situations, including situations where there was no intention of defrauding creditors. See the description of the scenarios in which the sale of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio may be challenged if the Spanish rules relating to the voidness, voidability or unenforceability of legal acts detrimental to the general body of creditors are considered to be applicable in "Selected aspects of laws of the Portuguese Republic, and certain Spanish laws relating to insolvency, relevant to the Mortgage Assets and the transfer of the Mortgage Assets".</p> <p>See also the Section "Selected aspects of laws of the Portuguese Republic, and certain Spanish laws relating to insolvency, relevant to the Mortgage Assets and the transfer of the Mortgage Assets", Insolvency of UCI S.A. E.F.C.</p>	

See also the statement on the Centre of main interests of the Originator: “Centre of main interests - UCI S.A. E.F.C. has its centre of main interests (as this term is used in Article 3(1) of the EU Insolvency Regulation in the Spanish jurisdiction. UCI Portugal is an establishment of UCI S.A. E.F.C located outside the Spanish jurisdiction (an establishment being any place of operations where a company carries out a non-transitory economic activity with human means and goods as defined in Article 2(10) of the EU Insolvency Regulation).”.

“True sale” is not a legal concept but a rating agency creation.

The essence of a “true sale” is that the property in the securitised assets has legally moved from the originator/seller to the SSPE in such a way that the SSPE’s ownership will be recognised as a matter of law, including and especially in the case of the insolvency of the originator/seller. In a “true sale” the insolvency officer and creditors of the insolvent originator/seller are not able to satisfy the claims of the originator/seller’s creditor out of the proceeds of the securitised assets. Following a “true sale” there is no legal device by which the assets can automatically revert to the originator/seller’s ownership. Such automatic reversion is associated with security interests and anathema to a “true sale”.

This is clearly stated in the wording of the Regulation (20.1). The expression “transfer to the same effect” indicates that, as long as the conditions in the preceding paragraph are met, the Regulation does not seek to limit the type of legal devices which can be used to effect such transfer of title.

The issue of “true sale” is separate from the issue of “clawback”. “Clawback” refers to legal processes through which, in the insolvency of the seller of an asset, an insolvency officer is entitled to reverse the sale – even in cases where a “true sale” has taken place.

All European jurisdictions, to PCS’ knowledge, have rules allowing for clawbacks. Clawbacks are usually rules to avoid a company heading towards insolvency from “defrauding” its existing creditors either by selling assets at very low prices (to friends and relations) or unfairly preferring certain creditors over others.

The Regulation (20.1) therefore does not require STS “true sales” to be clawback-proof since this would mean that no European securitisation could ever be STS. It does require the sale not to be subject to “severe clawback”. The Regulation does not define “severe clawback” but gives an example (20.2) where a clawback happens for no reasons.

The Regulation (20.3) also explicitly excludes from the definition of “severe clawback” the traditional European basis for such devices which all come under the general category of “preferences”.

PCS further notes that the examples (20.2 and 20.3) refer to the insolvency law of a jurisdiction and therefore believes that clawback risk is to be assessed on a jurisdictional basis rather than on a transactional basis.

Finally, PCS does not believe and nor is there any evidence that the legislators or regulatory authorities are seeking to craft a higher standard than that which has been used for decades by the market and was the basis for the legislative text.

Based on the above considerations, PCS believes that transfers from jurisdiction meeting the following criteria – absent any other indications – shall not fall within the definition of “severe clawback”:

- Clawback requires an unfair preference “defrauding” creditors
- Clawback puts the burden of proof on the insolvency officer or creditors – in other words it cannot be automatic nor require the purchaser to prove their innocence

Since “severe clawback” is a jurisdictional concept, in analysing this issue PCS will therefore first seek to determine the Originator’s jurisdiction for the purposes of insolvency law. This would be its centre of main interest (“COMI”) or its “home member state”.

The second step would be to determine whether the relevant COMI and/or “home member state” contains severe claw back provisions in its insolvency legislation.

Although the determination of a COMI can be a technically fraught analysis of international conflicts of law, PCS notes that in the vast majority of securitisations there is no real issue as the COMI is self-evident.

In the case of the Transaction, however, the Originator, Unión de Créditos Inmobiliarios, S.A. is a Spanish credit institution acting through its Portuguese branch, and its “home member state” is the Kingdom of Spain. As stated in the Prospectus, the COMI is also the Kingdom of Spain. However, also Portuguese law may become relevant in an insolvency scenario and become applicable to claw back. Therefore, the laws of both jurisdictions have to be considered when analysing the possible effects of an insolvency of the Originator. In this Transactions,

Legal Opinions of both Portuguese and Spanish laws have been provided, and sufficient comfort is reached that the transfer would not be subject in either case to a “severe clawback”. Further, the legal opinion of Portuguese law from Vieira de Almeida & Associados confirms that the assignment of the Mortgage Assets under the Mortgage Sale Agreement constitutes a valid and enforceable true sale of receivables made by the Originator to the Issuer and it is not capable of being declared void or re-characterised from a legal viewpoint, and neither any insolvency official, nor the Borrower or any other creditor of the Originator, namely if in the context of its insolvency, is able to set aside such transfer unless it can provide evidence as to the fact that the assignment was made in bad faith.

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on *background and rationale*

True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))

16. The criterion specified in Article 20(1) aims to ensure that the underlying exposures are beyond the reach of, and are effectively ring-fenced and segregated from, the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, enabling an effective recourse to the ultimate claims for the underlying exposures.

22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:

- (a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;
- (b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))

True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect

10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:

- (a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;
- (b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;
- (c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks

11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.

12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.

2	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.	
	STS criteria	
	2. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 1 above. Legal Opinions of both Portuguese and Spanish laws have been provided, and sufficient comfort is reached that the transfer would not be subject to a "severe clawback" both if Spanish or Portuguese insolvency proceedings are opened in respect of the Originator.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p>16. The criterion specified in Article 20(1) aims to ensure that the underlying exposures are beyond the reach of, and are effectively ring-fenced and segregated from, the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, enabling an effective recourse to the ultimate claims for the underlying exposures.</p> <p>22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;</p> <p>(b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect</p> <p>10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:</p> <p>(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;</p> <p>(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;</p> <p>(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.</p> <p>11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.</p> <p>12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.</p>	

Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.2. For the purpose of paragraph 1, any of the following shall constitute severe clawback provisions: (a) provisions which allow the liquidator of the seller to invalidate the sale of the underlying exposures solely on the basis that it was concluded within a certain period before the declaration of the seller's insolvency; (b) provisions where the SSPE can only prevent the invalidation referred to in point (a) if it can prove that it was not aware of the insolvency of the seller at the time of sale.		
STS criteria		
Verified?	Yes	
PCS Comment		
Neither provision applies. Legal opinions opine suitably.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6)) 17. The criterion in Article 20(2) is designed to ensure the enforceability of the transfer of legal title in the event of the seller's insolvency. More specifically, if the underlying exposures sold to the SSPE could be reclaimed for the sole reason that their transfer was effected within a certain period before the seller's insolvency, or if the SSPE could prevent the reclaim only by proving that it was unaware of the seller's insolvency at the time of transfer, such clauses would expose investors to a high risk that the underlying exposures would not effectively back their contractual claims. For this reason, Article 20(2) specifies that such clauses constitute severe clawback provisions, which may not be contained in STS securitisation.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6)) <i>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect</i> 10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided: (a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale; (b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework; (c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks. 11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same. 12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.		

Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
20.3. For the purpose of paragraph 1, clawback provisions in national insolvency laws that allow the liquidator or a court to invalidate the sale of underlying exposures in case of fraudulent transfers, unfair prejudice to creditors or of transfers intended to improperly favour particular creditors over others, shall not constitute severe clawback provisions.		
STS criteria		
Verified?		Yes
PCS Comment		
See comment to point 1 above. Both the Republic of Portugal and the Kingdom of Spain do not have severe clawback provisions.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
18. Whereas, pursuant to Article 20(2), contractual terms and conditions attached to the transfer of title that expose investors to a high risk that the securitised assets will be reclaimed in the event of the seller's insolvency should not be permissible in STS securitisations, such prohibition should not include the statutory provisions granting the right to a liquidator or a court to invalidate the transfer of title with the aim of preventing or combating fraud, as referred to in Article 20(3).		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))		
<i>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect</i>		
10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:		
(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;		
(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;		
(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.		
11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.		
12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.		

3	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.4. Where the seller is not the original lender, the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect of the underlying exposures to the seller, whether that true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect is direct or through one or more intermediate steps, shall meet the requirements set out in paragraphs 1 to 3.	
	STS criteria	
	3. Where the seller is not the original lender, the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect of the underlying exposures to the seller, whether that true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect is direct or through one or more intermediate steps, shall meet the requirements set out in paragraphs 1 to 3.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “Mortgage Sale Agreement” - <i>“Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets”</i></p> <p>“a) Eligible Mortgage Loans</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans arising under each Mortgage Asset Agreement are Eligible Mortgage Loans (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) in that they:</p> <p>(i) were originated in the ordinary course of business by UCI Portugal pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those UCI Portugal applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio, and UCI Portugal was, at the time of the origination of each Mortgage Loan, a branch of a financial institution, allowed to perform this activity under Decree-Law 298/92, of 31 December;</p> <p>(iv) have always been maintained on the balance sheet of the Originator since origination until the Closing Date;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	19. Article 20(4) specifies that, where the transfer of title occurs not directly between the seller and the SSPE but through one or more intermediary steps involving further parties, the requirements relating to the true sale, assignment or other transfer with the same legal effect, apply at each step.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p><i>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect</i></p> <p>10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:</p> <p>(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller’s insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;</p> <p>(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;</p> <p>(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.</p> <p>11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.</p> <p>12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.</p>	

4	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.5. Where the transfer of the underlying exposures is performed by means of an assignment and perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction, the triggers to affect such perfection shall, at least include the following events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing; (b) insolvency of the seller; and (c) unremedied breaches of contractual obligations by the seller, including the seller's default. 	
	STS criteria	
	<p>4. Where the transfer of the underlying exposures is performed by means of an assignment and perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction, the triggers to effect such perfection shall, at least include the following events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing; (b) insolvency of the seller; and (c) unremedied breaches of contractual obligations by the seller, including the seller's default. 	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Criterion 4 requires two steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To determine whether the transfer of the assets is by means of an unperfected assignment; and - If it is, whether the transaction contains the requisite triggers. <p>Notification is not required to perfect the transfer:</p> <p>See section "Mortgage Sale Agreement" – "Effectiveness of the Assignment"</p> <p>The assignment of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio by the Originator to the Issuer in accordance with the terms of the Mortgage Sale Agreement on the Closing Date will be effective to transfer the full, unencumbered benefit of and right, title and interest (present and future) to the Mortgage Asset Portfolio to the Issuer and will not require any further act, condition or thing to be done in connection therewith to enable the Issuer to require payment of the receivables arising thereunder or enforce such right in court, other than the notification, on or prior to the Closing Date, of the assignment of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio to the Issuer to all the Borrowers who have signed Mortgage Loan Agreements that require such notification, the registration of the assignment of any related Mortgage to the Issuer at a Real Estate Registry Office, any formalities that need to be fulfilled in relation to other existing security and the delivery to the relevant Borrower or Borrowers of a Notification Event Notice. The Originator warranted in the Mortgage Sale Agreement to, on or prior to the Closing Date, notify by registered mail with acknowledgement of receipt, the assignment of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio to the Issuer to all the Borrowers who have signed Mortgage Loan Agreements that require such notification.</p> <p>And also the section, "SELECTED ASPECTS OF LAWS OF THE PORTUGUESE REPUBLIC, AND CERTAIN SPANISH LAWS RELATING TO INSOLVENCY, RELEVANT TO THE MORTGAGE ASSETS AND THE TRANSFER OF THE MORTGAGE ASSETS"</p> <p>See in particular the sub-section "<i>Assignment of credits - b) Assignment Formalities</i>"</p> <p>"To perfect an assignment of mortgage loans and ancillary mortgage rights which are capable of registration at a public registry against third parties, the assignment must be followed by the corresponding registration (as described in the paragraph below) of the transfer of such mortgage loans and ancillary mortgage rights in the relevant Real Estate Registry Office. The Portuguese real estate registration legal framework allows for the registration of the assignment of any Mortgage Asset at any Portuguese Real Estate Registry Office. The registration of the transfer of the mortgage loans requires the payment of a fee for each mortgage loan of approximately €250 (two hundred and fifty euros).</p>	

This means that in the event of insolvency of the assignor prior to registration of the assignment of credits where the assignment of credits becomes effective between the parties upon execution of the relevant assignment agreement, the credits will not form part of the insolvent estate of the assignor (subject to subset c) "Assignment and Insolvency" below), even if the assignee may have to claim its entitlement to the assigned credits before a competent court. However, the assignment of any security over real estate in Portugal is only effective against third parties acting in good faith further to registration of such assignment with the competent registry by or on behalf of the assignee. The Issuer is entitled under the Securitisation Law to carry out such registration."

See the "OVERVIEW OF CERTAIN TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS", Mortgage Sale Agreement - Mortgage Assets Notification Event

b) the occurrence of: (i) an Insolvency Event in respect of the Originator and/or UCI S.A. E.F.C.; or (ii) severe deterioration in the credit quality standard of the Originator where, if so determined by the Originator, as at any date, its Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio ("CET1 Ratio") falls below 5% (five per cent.) and it is not remedied within 6 (six) calendar months; or (iii) material breach of contractual obligations by the Originator where such breach remains unremedied for a period of 60 (sixty) days following the Originator becoming aware of such breach, provided that for (ii) and (iii) the Issuer may request and rely upon a noteholders' resolution by the Noteholders of the Most Senior Class of Notes then outstanding deciding if a certain event qualifies as the occurrence of (ii) or (iii) for the purpose of corresponding to a Notification Event;

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on *background and rationale*

True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))

20. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(5) is to minimise legal risks related to unperfected transfers in the context of an assignment of the underlying exposures, by specifying a minimum set of events subsequent to closing that should trigger the perfection of the transfer of the underlying exposures.

22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:

(a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;

(b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))

Severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing

13. For the purposes of Article 20(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the transaction documentation should identify, with regard to the trigger of 'severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing', credit quality thresholds that are objectively observable and related to the financial health of the seller.

Insolvency of the seller

14. For the purposes of Article 20(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the trigger of 'insolvency of the seller' should refer, at least, to events of legal insolvency as defined in national legal frameworks.

5	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.6. The seller shall provide representations and warranties that, to the best of its knowledge, the underlying exposures included in the securitisation are not encumbered or otherwise in a condition that can be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect.	
	STS criteria	
	5. The seller shall provide representations and warranties that, to the best of its knowledge, the underlying exposures included in the securitisation are not encumbered or otherwise in a condition that can be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" - <i>Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</i></p> <p>"(xi) are not subject, either totally or partially, to any lien, assignment, charge or pledge to any third parties or are otherwise in a condition that could be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the sale to the Issuer"</p> <p>In addition:</p> <p>(viii) are not the subject of any dispute, right of set-off, counterclaim, defence or claim existing or pending against UCI Portugal;</p> <p>(ix) may be freely sold and transferred by way of assignment under the laws of the Portuguese Republic in particular, the Securitisation Law;</p> <p>(x) are freely assignable without restriction pursuant to the terms of the relevant Mortgage Loan Agreement;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	21. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(6), which requires the seller to provide the representations and warranties confirming to the seller's best knowledge that the transferred exposures are neither encumbered nor otherwise in a condition that could potentially adversely affect the enforceability of the transfer of title, is to ensure that the underlying exposures are not only beyond the reach not only of the seller but equally of its creditors, and to allocate the commercial risk of the encumbrance of the underlying exposures to the seller.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

6	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	6. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria....	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" -Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</p> <p>Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</p> <p>"The Originator will make certain representations and warranties in respect of the Mortgage Assets included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio as at the Portfolio Calculation Date including statements to the following effect which together constitute the "Eligibility Criteria":</p> <p>The EBA Guidelines clarify that "clear" does not mean easily readable or comprehended by a non-expert. In the Regulation a criterion is "clear" when a court or tribunal could determine whether, presumably in all cases, the criterion is met for each asset. In the Regulation, "clear" is about certainty of determination.</p> <p>PCS has read the Eligibility Criteria in the Prospectus. As they are mandatory, they meet the "predetermined" requirement. As they are in the Prospectus they meet the "documented" requirement. PCS has also concluded that they allow determination in each case and so meet the "clear" requirement.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	<p>Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))</p> <p>23. The objective of this criterion in Article 20(7) is to ensure that the selection and transfer of the underlying exposures in the securitisation is done in a manner which facilitates in a clear and consistent fashion the identification of which exposures are selected for/transferred into the securitisation, and to enable the investors to assess the credit risk of the asset pool prior to their investment decisions.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))</p> <p>Clear eligibility criteria</p> <p>17. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the criteria should be understood to be 'clear' where compliance with them is possible to be determined by a court or tribunal, as a matter of law or fact or both.</p>	

7	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.	
	STS criteria	
	7. Which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “Mortgage Sale Agreement” - Breach of Mortgage Asset Warranties</p> <p>The Originator's ability to repurchase Mortgage Assets does not constitute active portfolio management within the meaning of Article 20(7) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p><u>Consideration for re-assignment</u></p> <p>The consideration payable by the Originator or a Third Party Purchaser, as the case may be, in relation to the re-assignment of a relevant Mortgage Asset will be an amount equal to the aggregate of: (a) the Principal Outstanding Balance of the relevant Mortgage Asset as at the date of the re-assignment of such Mortgage Asset plus accrued interest outstanding as of the date of re-assignment, (b) an amount equal to all other amounts due in respect of the relevant Mortgage Asset and its related Mortgage Asset Agreement, and (c) the costs and expenses of the Issuer properly incurred in relation to such re-assignment, or, as applicable, the aggregate of the foregoing amounts which would have subsisted but for the breach of the relevant Mortgage Asset Warranty, after deducting an amount equal to any interest not yet accrued but paid in advance to the Issuer (which amount paid in advance the Issuer shall keep).</p> <p>See section, “Mortgage Servicing Agreement”</p> <p>Disposal of Defaulted Mortgage Assets</p> <p>The Servicer may, on behalf of the Issuer and in accordance with the Securitisation Law, sell or otherwise transfer or dispose of Mortgage Assets that have been classified as Defaulted Mortgage Assets at a price calculated based on market price as at the relevant time and as the Servicer may deem to correspond to the best servicing of the Mortgage Assets in question.</p> <p>Indeed, the EBA Guidelines set out seven devices to repurchase securitised assets which are not to be considered indicative of “active portfolio management”. To the extent that a transaction only contains some or all of those seven devices and does not provide any other form of repurchase, then the STS criterion will be met. If the transaction should contain a repurchase device that is not included in the EBA's list, then an analysis will need to be conducted as to whether this additional device offends against the principles set out in the EBA Guidelines (15.a and b) as defining “active portfolio management”.</p> <p>PCS has reviewed all the repurchase devices set out in the Prospectus and these are acceptable within the context of the EBA final guidelines.</p> <p>PCS also notes that there is an explicit affirmative statement in the Prospectus to the effect that no active management of the assets backing the Transaction applies.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	<p>Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))</p> <p>24. Consistently with this objective, the active portfolio management of the exposures in the securitisation should be prohibited, given that it adds a layer of complexity and increases the agency risk arising in the securitisation by making the securitisation's performance dependent on both the performance of the underlying exposures and the performance of the management of the transaction. The payments of STS securitisations should depend exclusively on the performance of the underlying exposures.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))

Active portfolio management

15. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, active portfolio management should be understood as portfolio management to which either of the following applies:

- (a) the portfolio management makes the performance of the securitisation dependent both on the performance of the underlying exposures and on the performance of the portfolio management of the securitisation, thereby preventing the investor from modelling the credit risk of the underlying exposures without considering the portfolio management strategy of the portfolio manager;
- (b) the portfolio management is performed for speculative purposes aiming to achieve better performance, increased yield, overall financial returns or other purely financial or economic benefit.

16. The techniques of portfolio management that should not be considered active portfolio management include:

- (a) substitution or repurchase of underlying exposures due to the breach of representations or warranties;
- (b) substitution or repurchase of the underlying exposures that are subject to regulatory dispute or investigation to facilitate the resolution of the dispute or the end of the investigation;
- (c) replenishment of underlying exposures by adding underlying exposures as substitutes for amortised or defaulted exposures during the revolving period;
- (d) acquisition of new underlying exposures during the 'ramp up' period to line up the value of the underlying exposures with the value of the securitisation obligation;
- (e) repurchase of underlying exposures in the context of the exercise of clean-up call options, in accordance with Article 244(3)(g) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2401;
- (f) repurchase of defaulted exposures to facilitate the recovery and liquidation process with respect to those exposures;
- (g) repurchase of underlying exposures under the repurchase obligation in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

8	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.	
	STS criteria	
	8. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>The transaction is not revolving.</p> <p>See section “Mortgage Sale Agreement” -</p> <p>Pursuant to the Mortgage Sale Agreement, the Originator may, instead of repurchasing a Mortgage Asset from the Issuer or indemnifying the Issuer, require the Issuer to accept in consideration for the re-assignment or indemnity payment, the assignment of Substitute Mortgage Assets such that the aggregate of the Principal Outstanding Balance of such Substitute Mortgage Assets will be no less than the consideration or indemnity payment in cash that would have been payable by the Originator to the Issuer.</p> <p>In addition to meeting the Eligibility Criteria outlined above, such further Mortgage Assets will be required to meet certain additional Criteria for Substitute Mortgage Assets as described in the Mortgage Sale Agreement and set out below.</p> <p>Each Substitute Mortgage Asset assigned by the Originator to the Issuer at any time from the Closing Date to the Final Legal Maturity Date must satisfy each of the following conditions (the “Criteria for Substitute Mortgage Assets”):</p> <p>This criterion is a future event criterion. In other words, it cannot be either met or failed at the outset of the transaction. But if, at a later stage, it is not met, then the originator will need to inform ESMA and the STS status of the securitisation will be lost.</p> <p>Therefore, as a technical matter, this criterion is not applicable at the closing of a transaction. However, PCS will nevertheless look to see if there is a covenant on the part of the originator to comply in the future with this requirement whilst noting, at the same time, that the absence of any such covenant – although possibly unsettling for some investors - would not invalidate the STS status of the transaction at closing.</p> <p>PCS has identified the existence of such a covenant in the Prospectus.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	<p>Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20.7)</p> <p>25. Revolving periods and other structural mechanisms resulting in the inclusion of exposures in the securitisation after the closing of the transaction may introduce the risk that exposures of lesser quality can be transferred into the pool. For this reason, it should be ensured that any exposure transferred into the securitisation after the closing meets the eligibility criteria, which are no less strict than those used to structure the initial pool of the securitisation.</p> <p>26. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) the purpose of the requirement on the portfolio management, and the provision of examples of techniques which should not be regarded as active portfolio management: this criterion should be considered without prejudice to the existing requirements with respect to the similarity of the underwriting standards in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, which requires that all the underlying exposures in a securitisation be underwritten according to similar underwriting standards;</p>	

	<p>(b) interpretation of the term 'clear' eligibility criteria;</p> <p>(c) clarification with respect to the eligibility criteria that need to be met with respect to the exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20.7)</p> <p><i>Eligibility criteria to be met for exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction</i></p> <p>18. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, 'meeting the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures' should be understood to mean eligibility criteria that comply with either of the following:</p> <p>(a) with regard to normal securitisations, they are no less strict than the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures at the closing of the transaction;</p> <p>(b) with regard to securitisations that issue multiple series of securities including master trusts, they are no less strict than the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures at the most recent issuance, with the results that the eligibility criteria may vary from closing to closing, with the agreement of securitisation parties and in accordance with the transaction documentation.</p> <p>19. Eligibility criteria to be applied to the underlying exposures in accordance with paragraph 18 should be specified in the transaction documentation and should refer to eligibility criteria applied at exposure level.</p>

9	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>9. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type.</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “Characteristics of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio” – “Other characteristics”</p> <p>The Mortgage Assets are homogeneous for the purposes of Article 20(8) of the Securitisation Regulation, on the basis that all the Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio: (i) have been underwritten by the Originator in accordance with similar underwriting standards applying similar approaches with respect to the assessment of a potential Borrower's credit risk; (ii) are entered into substantially on the terms of similar standard documentation for residential mortgage loans; (iii) are serviced by the Servicer pursuant to the Mortgage Servicing Agreement in accordance with the same servicing procedures with respect to monitoring, collections and administration of cash receivables generated from the loans; and (iv) form one asset category, namely residential mortgage loans on residential immovable property located in Portugal only.</p> <p>The definition of “homogeneity” in the Regulation is also the subject of a Regulatory Technical Standard (“RTS”). Being set out in an RTS, rather than a guideline or recommendation issued by the EBA, the definition of “homogeneity” will be legally binding on all regulatory authorities. Such RTS has been formally adopted by the European Commission on 28 May 2019.</p> <p>In interpreting the expression, PCS has based itself on the text of the Regulation, its knowledge of the intent of the legislators – including, crucially, the legislators belief that the STS Regulation was justified by the excellent performance of most “plain vanilla” European securitisations and the draft RTS adopted by the European Commission.</p> <p>Based on the above, it seems clear to PCS that the Regulation would not seek to exclude from the STS category securitisations that have performed extremely well and are universally considered “homogenous” by market participants. This does not exonerate any transaction from being analysed against this criterion but does set the background for such analysis.</p> <p>Turning, for guidance, to the RTS adopted by the European Commission, in principle, four elements require examination: (a) “similar underwriting standards”, (b) “similar servicing standards”, (c) “same asset class” and (d) “relevant risk factors”. Consumer loans are though considered sufficiently homogeneous and do not need to meet also a specific homogeneity factor.</p> <p>Following the guiding principles of the EBA, we note that “similar underwriting standards” must mean something like the same type of underwriting approach, looking at the same types of data points to calculate the same type of credit risk. It cannot mean “exactly the same underwriting criteria”, since this would make it impossible for any securitisation ever to have a “homogenous” pool.</p> <p><i>In the Transaction, the loans were underwritten on a similar basis, they are being serviced by the Servicers on the same platform, they are a single asset class – Residential Home Loans – and, based on the EBA’s suggested approach, the properties, on which the home loans are granted, are all located in Portugal.</i></p> <p><i>PCS also takes great comfort from the fact that transactions containing pools with similar characteristics have always been considered to be “homogeneous” by a wide consensus of market participants.</i></p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))	
	<p>27. The criterion on the homogeneity as specified in the first subparagraph of Article 20(8) has been further clarified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

10	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.	
	STS criteria	
	10. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" – <i>"Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets"</i></p> <p>"b) Eligible Mortgage Asset Agreements</p> <p>Each Mortgage Asset Agreement is an Eligible Mortgage Asset Agreement (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement), which:</p> <p>(iii) has been duly executed by the relevant Borrower or Borrowers and constitutes the legal, valid, binding and enforceable obligations of the relevant Borrower or Borrowers;"</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</p> <p>28. The objective of the criterion specified in the third sentence in the first subparagraph and in the second subparagraph of Article 20(8) is to ensure that the underlying exposures contain valid and binding obligations of the debtor/guarantor, including rights to payments or to any other income from assets supporting such payments that result in a periodic and well-defined stream of payments to the investors.</p> <p>30. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a clarification should be provided with respect to:</p> <p>(a) interpretation of the term 'contractually binding and enforceable obligations';</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</p> <p><i>Contractually binding and enforceable obligations</i></p> <p>20. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, 'obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors' should be understood to refer to all obligations contained in the contractual specification of the underlying exposures that are relevant to investors because they affect any obligations by the debtor and, where applicable, the guarantor to make payments or provide security.</p>	

11	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.	
	STS criteria	
	11. With full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, Mortgage Sale Agreement - Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</p> <p>a) Eligible Mortgage Loans</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans arising under each Mortgage Asset Agreement are Eligible Mortgage Loans (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) in that they:</p> <p>(xxiii) the Originator has full recourse to the Borrower and any guarantor of the Borrower under the relevant Mortgage Loans;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and ration	
	<p>Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8</p> <p>30. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a clarification should be provided with respect to:</p> <p>(a) interpretation of the term 'contractually binding and enforceable obligations;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8</p> <p>Contractually binding and enforceable obligations</p> <p>20. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, 'obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors' should be understood to refer to all obligations contained in the contractual specification of the underlying exposures that are relevant to investors because they affect any obligations by the debtor and, where applicable, the guarantor to make payments or provide security.</p>	

12	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts, relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	STS criteria	
	12. The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, Mortgage Sale Agreement - Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</p> <p>a) Eligible Mortgage Loans</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans arising under each Mortgage Asset Agreement are Eligible Mortgage Loans (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) in that they:</p> <p>(xvii) have monthly instalments;</p> <p>See also the section, “CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MORTGAGE ASSETS”</p> <p>Mortgage Assets</p> <p>Each Mortgage Asset is a first lien mortgage loan secured by a mortgage over a residential property in Portugal.</p> <p>Mortgage Loans are fully amortised with monthly instalments, mainly due on the first 3 (three) days of each month. Such monthly instalments in respect of floating rate mortgage loans are generally of constant amounts, which are reset annually to reflect the applicable floating interest rate. No Mortgage Loans allow the capitalisation of interest.</p> <p>The Mortgages</p> <p>The interest rate in respect of each Mortgage Loan comprised in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio is either:</p> <p>a) a variable rate of interest indexed to EURIBOR 6M;</p> <p>b) a fixed rate of interest set for an initial period at the end of which the relevant interest rate is converted to a variable interest rate indexed to EURIBOR 6M;</p> <p>c) a fixed rate.</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans comprised in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio are amortising loans with instalments of both principal and interest.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))</p> <p>30 (b) a non-exhaustive list of examples of exposures types that should be considered to have defined periodic payment streams. The individual examples are without prejudice to applicable requirements, such as the requirement with respect to the defaulted exposures in accordance with Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and the requirement with respect to the residual value in accordance with Article 20(13) of that regulation.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))

Exposures with periodic payment streams

21. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures with defined periodic payment streams should include:

- (a) exposures payable in a single instalment in the case of revolving securitisation, as referred to in Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;
- (b) exposures related to credit card facilities;
- (c) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and where the principal is repaid at the maturity, including interest-only mortgages;
- (d) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and repayment of a portion of the principal, where either of the following conditions is met:
 - (i) the remaining principal is repaid at the maturity;
 - (ii) the repayment of the principal is dependent on the sale of assets securing the exposure, in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and paragraphs 47 to 49;
- (e) exposures with temporary payment holidays as contractually agreed between the debtor and the lender.

13	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts, relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	STS criteria	
	13. Relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See criterion 12 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))	
	30 (b) a non-exhaustive list of examples of exposures types that should be considered to have defined periodic payment streams. The individual examples are without prejudice to applicable requirements, such as the requirement with respect to the defaulted exposures in accordance with Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and the requirement with respect to the residual value in accordance with Article 20(13) of that regulation.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))	
	Exposures with periodic payment streams	
	21. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures with defined periodic payment streams should include:	
	(a) exposures payable in a single instalment in the case of revolving securitisation, as referred to in Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;	
	(b) exposures related to credit card facilities;	
	(c) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and where the principal is repaid at the maturity, including interest-only mortgages;	
	(d) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and repayment of a portion of the principal, where either of the following conditions is met:	
	(i) the remaining principal is repaid at the maturity;	
	(ii) the repayment of the principal is dependent on the sale of assets securing the exposure, in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and paragraphs 47 to 49;	
	(e) exposures with temporary payment holidays as contractually agreed between the debtor and the lender.	

14	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underlying exposures shall not include transferable securities, as defined in Article 4(1), point 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council other than corporate bonds, provided that they are not listed on a trading venue.	
	STS criteria	
	14. The underlying exposures shall not include transferable securities, as defined in Article 4(1), point 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council other than corporate bonds, provided that they are not listed on a trading venue.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, " Mortgage Sale Agreement " which states: "The Portfolio does not contain transferable securities as defined in point (44) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU, derivative instruments or securitisation positions."	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8)) 29. The objective of the criterion specified in the third subparagraph is that the underlying exposures do not include transferable securities, as they may add to the complexity of the transaction and of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

15	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
	20.9. The underlying exposures shall not include any securitisation position.		
	STS criteria		
	15. The underlying exposures shall not include any securitisation position.		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" which states: "The Portfolio does not contain transferable securities as defined in point (44) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU, derivative instruments or securitisation positions."		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	No resecuritisation (Article 20(9)) 31. The objective of this criterion is to prohibit resecuritisation subject to derogations for certain cases or for resecuritisation as specified in Regulation (EU) 2017/2402. This is a lesson learnt from the financial crisis, when resecuritisations were structured into highly leveraged structures in which notes of lower credit quality could be re-packaged and credit enhanced, resulting in transactions whereby small changes in the credit performance of the underlying assets had severe impacts on the credit quality of the resecuritisation bonds. The modelling of the credit risk arising in these bonds proved very difficult, also due to high levels of correlations arising in the resulting structures. 32. The criterion is deemed sufficiently clear and does not require any further clarification.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

16	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.10. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.	
	STS criteria	
	16. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" – <i>"Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets"</i></p> <p>"a) Eligible Mortgage Loans</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans arising under each Mortgage Asset Agreement are Eligible Mortgage Loans (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) in that they:</p> <p>(i) were originated in the ordinary course of business by UCI Portugal pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those UCI Portugal applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio, and UCI Portugal was, at the time of the origination of each Mortgage Loan, a branch of a financial institution, allowed to perform this activity under Decree-Law 298/92, of 31 December;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))</p> <p>33. The objective of the criterion specified in the first subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to prevent cherry picking and to ensure that the exposures that are to be securitised do not belong to exposure types that are outside the ordinary business of the originator, i.e. types of exposures in which the originator or original lender may have less expertise and/or interest at stake. This criterion is focused on disclosure of changes to the underwriting standards and aims to help the investors assess the underwriting standards pursuant to which the exposures transferred into securitisation have been originated.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

17	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.10. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>17. Pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" – <i>"Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets"</i></p> <p>"a) Eligible Mortgage Loans</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans arising under each Mortgage Asset Agreement are Eligible Mortgage Loans (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) in that they:</p> <p>(i) were originated in the ordinary course of business by UCI Portugal pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those UCI Portugal applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio, and UCI Portugal was, at the time of the origination of each Mortgage Loan, a branch of a financial institution, allowed to perform this activity under Decree-Law 298/92, of 31 December;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))</p> <p>37. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) the term 'similar exposures', with reference to requirements specified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;</p> <p>(b) the term 'no less stringent underwriting standards': independently of the guidance provided in these guidelines, it is understood that, in the spirit of restricting the 'originate-to-distribute' model of underwriting, where similar exposures exist on the originator's balance sheet, the underwriting standards that have been applied to the securitised exposures should also have been applied to similar exposures that have not been securitised, i.e. the underwriting standards should have been applied not solely to securitised exposures;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10))</p> <p>No less stringent underwriting standards</p> <p>23. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underwriting standards applied to securitised exposures should be compared to the underwriting standards applied to similar exposures at the time of origination of the securitised exposures.</p> <p>24. Compliance with this requirement should not require either the originator or the original lender to hold similar exposures on its balance sheet at the time of the selection of the securitised exposures or at the exact time of their securitisation, nor should it require that similar exposures were actually originated at the time of origination of the securitised exposures.</p>	

18	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underwriting standards pursuant to which the underlying exposures are originated and any material changes from prior underwriting standards shall be fully disclosed to potential investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	18. The underwriting standards pursuant to which the underlying exposures are originated and any material changes from prior underwriting standards shall be fully disclosed to potential investors without undue delay.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, “ Mortgage Sale Agreement ” which states:	
	“The Originator will also make the following representations and warranties in relation to compliance with its Lending Criteria:	
	(iv) Any material change to the Lending Criteria after the date of this Prospectus which would affect the homogeneity (as determined in accordance with Article 20(8) of the Securitisation Regulation and Articles 1(a)(i), (b), (c) and (d) and 2(1)(a)(i), (b)(ii) and (c) of Delegated Regulation 2019/1851) of the Mortgage Loans comprising the Mortgage Asset Portfolio, or which would materially affect the overall credit risk or the expected average performance of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio, or any other material change to the Lending Criteria after the date of this Agreement which is required to be disclosed under Article 20(10) of the Securitisation Regulation, will (to the extent such change affects the Mortgage Loans included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio from time to time) be disclosed (along with an explanation of the rationale for such changes being made) to investors by the Originator without undue delay.”	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))	
	37 (c) clarification of the requirement to disclose material changes from prior underwriting standards to potential investors without undue delay: the guidance clarifies that this requirement should be forward-looking only, referring to material changes to the underwriting standards after the closing of the securitisation. The guidance clarifies the interactions with the requirement for similarity of the underwriting standards set out in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, which requires that all the underlying exposures in securitisation be underwritten according to similar underwriting standards;	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.4 Underwriting standards, originator’s expertise (Article 20(10))	
	<i>Disclosure of material changes from prior underwriting standards</i>	
	25. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, material changes to the underwriting standards that are required to be fully disclosed should be understood to be those material changes to the underwriting standards that are applied to the exposures that are transferred to, or assigned by, the SSPE after the closing of the securitisation in the context of portfolio management as referred to in paragraphs 15 and 16.	
	26. Changes to such underwriting standards should be deemed material where they refer to either of the following types of changes to the underwriting standards:	
	(a) changes which affect the requirement of the similarity of the underwriting standards further specified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;	
	(b) changes which materially affect the overall credit risk or expected average performance of the portfolio of underlying exposures without resulting in substantially different approaches to the assessment of the credit risk associated with the underlying exposures.	
	27. The disclosure of all changes to underwriting standards should include an explanation of the purpose of such changes.	
	28. With regard to trade receivables that are not originated in the form of a loan, reference to underwriting standards in Article 20(10) should be understood to refer to credit standards applied by the seller to short-term credit generally of the type giving rise to the securitised exposures and proposed to its customers in relation to the sales of its products and services.	

19	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	In the case of securitisations where the underlying exposures are residential loans, the pool of loans shall not include any loan that was marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable intermediaries, were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.	
	STS criteria	
	19. In the case of securitisations where the underlying exposures are residential loans, the pool of loans shall not include any loan that was marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable intermediaries, were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" - <i>Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</i></p> <p>"a) Eligible Mortgage Loans</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans arising under each Mortgage Asset Agreement are Eligible Mortgage Loans (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) in that they:</p> <p>(xxii) were not marketed or underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, as applicable, any intermediary, was made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the Originator;"</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))	
	34. The objective of the criterion specified in the second subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to prohibit the securitisation of self-certified mortgages for STS purposes, given the moral hazard that is inherent in granting such types of loans.	
	37 (d) the scope of the criterion with respect to the specific types of residential loans as referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 20(10) and to the nature of the information that should be captured by this criterion;	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10))	
	Residential loans	
	29. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the pool of underlying exposures should not include residential loans that were both marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or intermediaries were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.	
	30. Residential loans that were underwritten but were not marketed on the premise that the loan applicant or intermediaries were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender, or become aware after the loan was underwritten, are not captured by this requirement.	
	31. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the 'information' provided should be considered to be only relevant information. The relevance of the information should be based on whether the information is a relevant underwriting metric, such as information considered relevant for assessing the creditworthiness of a borrower, for assessing access to collateral and for reducing the risk of fraud.	
	32. Relevant information for general non-income-generating residential mortgages should normally be considered to constitute income, and relevant information for income-generating residential mortgages should normally be considered to constitute rental income. Information that is not useful as an underwriting metric, such as mobile phone numbers, should not be considered relevant information.	

20	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness shall meet the requirements set out in Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU or, where applicable, equivalent requirements in third countries.	
	STS criteria	
	20. The assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness shall meet the requirements set out in Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU or, where applicable, equivalent requirements in third countries.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section. Mortgage Sale Agreement</p> <p>Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</p> <p>c) Eligible Borrowers</p> <p>(viii) whose creditworthiness meets the requirements set out in paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))</p> <p>35. The objective of the criterion specified in the third subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to ensure that the assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness is based on robust processes. It is expected that the application of this article will be limited in practice, given that the STS is limited to originators based in the EU, and the criterion is understood to cover only exposures originated by the EU originators to borrowers in non-EU countries.</p> <p>37 (e) clarification of the criterion with respect to the assessment of a borrower's creditworthiness based on equivalent requirements in third countries;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

21	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The originator or original lender shall have expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.	
	STS criteria	
	21. The originator or original lender shall have expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "ORIGINATOR'S STANDARD BUSINESS PRACTICES, SERVICING AND CREDIT ASSESSMENT"</p> <p>UCI has more than 20 years of experience in the origination in Portugal and underwriting of mortgage loans similar to those included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio.</p> <p>See also section, BUSINESS OF UCI IN PORTUGAL - Formation</p> <p>Unión de Créditos Inmobiliarios, S.A., Establecimiento Financiero de Crédito (Sociedad Unipersonal) – Sucursal em Portugal ("UCI Portugal") was founded in late 1998 as a full branch of UCI S.A. E.F.C. It is registered in the Commercial Registry Office of Lisbon under the sole registration and taxpayer number 980 178 258. UCI Portugal's headquarter is situated at Av. Eng^o Duarte Pacheco, Torre 1 Amoreiras, 14^o 1070-101 Lisbon, Portugal, and its registered office telephone number is +351 21 383 5000. It is registered with the Bank of Portugal as a branch of the subsidiary of a credit institution with registered office in a third country, due to the applicable passport provisions of the CRR/CRD IV package and the implementing provisions that may be found in the RGICSF, particularly, Article 189.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))	
	36. The objective of the criterion specified in the fourth subparagraph of Article 20(10) is for the originator or original lender to have an established performance history of credit claims or receivables similar to those being securitised, and for an appropriately long period of time.	
	37 (f) identification of criteria on which the expertise of the originator or the original lender should be determined:	
	(i) when assessing if the originator or the original lender has the required expertise, some general principles should be set out against which the expertise should be assessed. The general principles have been designed to allow a robust qualitative assessment of the expertise. One of these principles is the regulatory authorisation: this is to allow for more flexibility in such qualitative assessments of the expertise if the originator or the original lender is a prudentially regulated institution which holds regulatory authorisations or permissions that are relevant with respect to origination of similar exposures. The regulatory authorisation in itself should, however, not be a guarantee that the originator or original lender has the required expertise;	
	(ii) irrespective of such general principles, specific criteria should be developed, based on specifying a minimum period for an entity to perform the business of originating similar exposures, compliance with which would enable the entity to be considered to have a sufficient expertise. Such expertise should be assessed at the group level, so that possible restructuring at the entity level would not automatically lead to non-compliance with the expertise criterion. It is not the intention of such specific criteria to form an impediment to the entry of new participants to the market. Such entities should also be eligible for compliance with the expertise criterion, as long as their management body and senior staff with managerial responsibility for origination of similar exposures, have sufficient experience over a minimum specified period.	
	38. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10))	
	Similar exposures	
	22. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures should be considered to be similar when one of the following conditions is met:	
	(a) the exposures belong to one of the following asset categories referred to in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402:	

- (i) residential loans secured with one or several mortgages on residential immovable property, or residential loans fully guaranteed by an eligible protection provider among those referred to in Article 201(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 qualifying for credit quality step 2 or above as set out in Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2 of that regulation;
 - (ii) commercial loans secured with one or several mortgages on commercial immovable property or other commercial premises;
 - (iii) credit facilities provided to individuals for personal, family or household consumption purposes;
 - (iv) auto loans and leases;
 - (v) credit card receivables;
 - (vi) trade receivables;
- (b) the exposures fall under the asset category of credit facilities provided to micro-, small-, medium-sized and other types of enterprises and corporates including loans and leases, as referred to in Article 2(d) of the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, as underlying exposures of a certain type of obligor;
- (c) where they do not belong to any of the asset categories referred to in points (a) and (b) of this paragraph and as referred to in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous for the purposes of Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures share similar characteristics with respect to the type of obligor, ranking of security rights, type of immovable property and/or jurisdiction.
- Criteria for determining the expertise of the originator or original lender*
34. For the purposes of determining whether an originator or original lender has expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised in accordance with Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, both of the following should apply:
- (a) the members of the management body of the originator or original lender and the senior staff, other than the members of the management body, responsible for managing the originating of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised should have adequate knowledge and skills in the origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised;
 - (b) any of the following principles on the quality of the expertise should be taken into account:
 - (i) the role and duties of the members of the management body and the senior staff and the required capabilities should be adequate;
 - (ii) the experience of the members of the management body and the senior staff gained in previous positions, education and training should be sufficient;
 - (iii) the involvement of the members of the management body and the senior staff within the governance structure of the function of originating the exposures should be appropriate;
 - (iv) in the case of a prudentially regulated entity, the regulatory authorisations or permissions held by the entity should be deemed relevant to origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.
35. An originator or original lender should be deemed to have the required expertise when either of the following applies:
- (a) the business of the entity, or of the consolidated group to which the entity belongs for accounting or prudential purposes, has included the originating of exposures similar to those securitised, for at least five years;
 - (b) where the requirement referred to in point (a) is not met, the originator or original lender should be deemed to have the required expertise where they comply with both of the following:
 - (i) at least two of the members of the management body have relevant professional experience in the origination of exposures similar to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years;
 - (ii) senior staff, other than members of the management body, who are responsible for managing the entity's originating of exposures similar to those securitised, have relevant professional experience in the origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years.
36. For the purposes of demonstrating the number of years of professional experience, the relevant expertise should be disclosed in sufficient detail and in accordance with the applicable confidentiality requirements to permit investors to carry out their obligations under Article 5(3)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

22	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:	
	STS criteria	
	22. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay...	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MORTGAGE ASSETS"</p> <p>"The information set out below has been prepared on the basis of the final pool of the Mortgage Assets as at 4 March 2020.</p> <p>See also "Characteristics of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio"</p> <p>"The Mortgage Asset Portfolio selected has the aggregate characteristics indicated in Tables A to T below as at 4 March 2020.</p> <p>Since such date, there have been changes to the pool of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio, but the Mortgage Asset Portfolio complies, as at 4 March 2020, with the Eligibility Criteria set out and agreed for the issuance of the Notes. Amounts are rounded to the nearest euro unit with euro 50 cents being rounded upwards. This may give rise to certain rounding errors in the tables."</p> <p>See also the section, "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES"¹ - 19 Definitions:</p> <p>"Closing Date" means 30 April 2020;</p> <p><i>PCS has assumed that any period of three and a half months or less between pool cut date and closing will meet the requirements of the criterion. This is in line with market standards.</i></p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

23	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:	
	STS criteria	
	23. And shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013...	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement"– "<i>Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</i>"</p> <p>"The Originator will make certain representations and warranties in respect of the Mortgage Assets included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio as at the Portfolio Calculation Date including statements to the following effect which together constitute the "Eligibility Criteria":</p> <p>a) Eligible Mortgage Loans</p> <p>The Mortgage Loans arising under each Mortgage Asset Agreement are Eligible Mortgage Loans (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) in that they:</p> <p>(xxi) are not considered by the Originator as being in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of the CRR, as further specified by the Delegated Regulation on the materiality threshold for credit obligations past due developed in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR and by the European Banking Authority Guidelines on the application of the definition of default developed in accordance with Article 178(7) of the CRR;"</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</p> <p>39. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(11) is to ensure that STS securitisations are not characterised by underlying exposures whose credit risk has already been affected by certain negative events such as disputes with credit-impaired debtors or guarantors, debt restructuring processes or default events as identified by the EU prudential regulation. Risk analysis and due diligence assessments by investors become more complex whenever the securitisation includes exposures subject to certain ongoing negative credit risk developments. For the same reasons, STS securitisations should not include underlying exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have an adverse credit history. In addition, significant risk of default normally rises as rating grades or other scores are assigned that indicate highly speculative credit quality and high likelihood of default, i.e. the possibility that the debtor or guarantor is not able to meet its obligations becomes a real possibility. Such exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors should therefore also not be eligible for STS purposes.</p> <p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) Interpretation of the term 'exposures in default': given the differences in interpretation of the term 'default', the interpretation of this criterion should refer to additional guidance on this term provided in the existing delegated regulations and guidelines developed by the EBA, while taking into account the limitation of scope of that additional guidance to certain types of institutions;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</p> <p>Exposures in default</p> <p>37. For the purposes of the first subparagraph of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the exposures in default should be interpreted in the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) 575/2013, as further specified by the Delegated Regulation on the materiality threshold for credit obligations past due developed in accordance with Article 178 of that Regulation, and by the EBA Guidelines on the application of the definition of default developed in accordance with Article 178(7) of that regulation.</p> <p>38. Where an originator or original lender is not an institution and is therefore not subject to Regulation (EU) 575/2013, the originator or original lender should comply with the guidance provided in the previous paragraph to the extent that such application is not deemed to be unduly burdensome. In that case, the originator or original lender should apply the established processes and the information obtained from debtors on origination of the exposures, information obtained from the originator in the course of its servicing of the exposures or in the course of its risk management procedure or information notified to the originator by a third party.</p>	

24	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:	
	STS criteria	
	24. Or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See criterion 25-30 below.</p> <p>The note below applies to points from 24 to 30.</p> <p>Although the text of the STS Regulation is quite vague, the EBA guidelines on defining "credit impaired" debtors are very helpful.</p> <p>For PCS, the key points of the EBA guidelines on this issue are:</p> <p>a. First that the three listed conditions of credit impaired status (set out in article 20.11 (a) to (c) of the Regulation) amount to a full definition of what it means to be "credit impaired". So that it is not necessary to reflect at what the term "credit impaired" could mean above and beyond those three items.</p> <p>b. Secondly, in relation to entries in a credit registry, the EBA is very clear that the criterion should not be interpreted as excluding debtors with any entry on a credit registry. Providing further guidance, the example given in the EBA Guidelines of a credit registry entry that would not be indicative of a "credit impaired" debtor is the example of a failure to pay that can "reasonably be ignored" for the purposes of credit assessment.</p> <p>Therefore, the criterion, to be met, does not require the elimination from the pool of all debtors with any negative entry in a credit registry but only those whose entries it would not be reasonable to ignore for the purposes of credit assessments.</p> <p>Absent any further clarification from the EBA or a national competent authority regarding what it is reasonable to ignore, a judgement would still be necessary in cases where the originator does include in the pool some debtors with some negative entries in a credit registry.</p> <p>In making this judgement, PCS takes comfort from the intent of the legislators – including, crucially, the legislators' belief that the STS Regulation was justified by the excellent performance of most "plain vanilla" European securitisation. It is clear to PCS that the "credit impaired" prohibition is driven by the desire of legislators to exclude from the STS category deals generally coming under the definition of "sub-prime". Therefore, it is unreasonable to refuse STS status to a transaction considered by universal consensus to be a "prime/plain vanilla" transaction with no "sub-prime" aspects. Indeed, this approach seems to be the rationale behind the EBA Guidelines on this matter.</p> <p>To determine whether this requirement is met, PCS has discussed this matter with the Seller and uses its knowledge of the market and market stakeholders as well as the explicit statements made in the prospectus and transaction documentation.</p> <p>c. Thirdly, the EBA Guidelines on guaranteed obligations make it clear that the criterion is met so long as either the debtor or the guarantor are not "credit impaired".</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	

No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

39. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(11) is to ensure that STS securitisations are not characterised by underlying exposures whose credit risk has already been affected by certain negative events such as disputes with credit-impaired debtors or guarantors, debt-restructuring processes or default events as identified by the EU prudential regulation. Risk analysis and due diligence assessments by investors become more complex whenever the securitisation includes exposures subject to certain ongoing negative credit risk developments. For the same reasons, STS securitisations should not include underlying exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have an adverse credit history. In addition, significant risk of default normally rises as rating grades or other scores are assigned that indicate highly speculative credit quality and high likelihood of default, i.e. the possibility that the debtor or guarantor is not able to meet its obligations becomes a real possibility. Such exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors should therefore also not be eligible for STS purposes.

40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:

(b) Interpretation of the term 'exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor': the interpretation should also take into account the interpretation provided in recital 26 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, according to which the circumstances specified in points (a) to (c) of Article 24(9) of that regulation are understood as specific situations of credit-impairedness to which exposures in the STS securitisation may not be exposed. Consequently, other possible circumstances of credit-impairedness that are not captured in points (a) to (c) should be outside the scope of this requirement. Moreover, taking into account the role of the guarantor as a risk bearer, it should be clarified that the requirement to exclude 'exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor' is not meant to exclude (i) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor when it has a guarantor that is not credit impaired; or (ii) exposures to a non-credit-impaired debtor when there is a credit-impaired guarantor;

(c) Interpretation of the term 'to the best knowledge of': the interpretation should follow the wording of recital 26 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, according to which an originator or original lender is not required to take all legally possible steps to determine the debtor's credit status but is only required to take those steps that the originator/original lender usually takes within its activities in terms of origination, servicing, risk management and use of information that is received from third parties. This should not require the originator or original lender to check publicly available information, or to check entries in at least one credit registry where an originator or original lender does not conduct such checks within its regular activities in terms of origination, servicing, risk management and use of information received from third parties, but rather relies, for example, on other information that may include credit assessments provided by third parties. Such clarification is important because corporates that are not subject to EU financial sector regulation and that are acting as sellers with respect to STS securitisation may not always check entries in credit registries and, in line with the best knowledge standard, should not be obliged to perform additional checks at origination of any exposure for the purposes of later fulfilling this criterion in terms of any credit-impaired debtors or guarantors;

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor

39. For the purposes of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the circumstances specified in points (a) to (c) of that paragraph should be understood as definitions of credit-impairedness. Other possible circumstances of credit-impairedness that are not captured in points (a) to (c) should be considered to be excluded from this requirement.

40. The prohibition of the selection and transfer to SSPE of underlying exposures 'to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor' as referred to in Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be understood as the requirement that, at the time of selection, there should be a recourse for the full securitised exposure amount to at least one non-credit-impaired party, irrespective of whether that party is a debtor or a guarantor. Therefore, the underlying exposures should not include either of the following:

- (a) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor, when there is no guarantor for the full securitised exposure amount;
- (b) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor who has a credit-impaired guarantor.

To the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge

41. For the purposes of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the 'best knowledge' standard should be considered to be fulfilled on the basis of information obtained only from any of the following combinations of sources and circumstances:

- (a) debtors on origination of the exposures;
- (b) the originator in the course of its servicing of the exposures or in the course of its risk management procedures;
- (c) notifications to the originator by a third party;
- (d) publicly available information or information on any entries in one or more credit registries of persons with adverse credit history at the time of origination of an underlying exposure, only to the extent that this information had already been taken into account in the context of (a), (b) and (c), and in accordance with the applicable regulatory and supervisory requirements, including with respect to sound credit granting criteria as specified in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402. This is with the exception of trade receivables that are not originated in the form of a loan, with respect to which credit-granting criteria do not need to be met.

25	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	25.(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement"– <i>"Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets"</i></p> <p>c) Eligible Borrowers</p> <p>Each Borrower in respect of each Mortgage Asset Agreement to which it is a party is an Eligible Borrower (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) who:</p> <p>(iv) to the best knowledge of UCI Portugal, no Borrower has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within six years prior to the Closing Date;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

26	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	26. Or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement"– <i>"Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets"</i></p> <p>c) Eligible Borrowers</p> <p>Each Borrower in respect of each Mortgage Asset Agreement to which it is a party is an Eligible Borrower (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) who:</p> <p>(iv) to the best knowledge of UCI Portugal, no Borrower has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within six years prior to the Closing Date;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))	
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(d) Interpretation of the criterion with respect to the debtors and guarantors found on the credit registry: it is important to interpret this requirement in a narrow sense to ensure that the existence of a debtor or guarantor on the credit registry of persons with adverse credit history should not automatically exclude the exposure to that debtor/guarantor from compliance with this criterion. It is understood that this criterion should relate only to debtors and guarantors that are, at the time of origination of the exposure, considered entities with adverse credit history. Existence on a credit registry at the time of origination of the exposure for reasons that can be reasonably ignored for the purposes of the credit risk assessment (for example due to missed payments which have been resolved in the next two payment periods) should not be captured by this requirement. Therefore, this criterion should not automatically exclude from the STS framework exposures to all entities that are on the credit registries, taking into account that this would unintentionally exclude a significant number of entities given that different practices exist across EU jurisdictions with respect to entry requirements of such credit registries, and the fact that credit registries in some jurisdictions may contain both positive and negative information about the clients;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have undergone a debt-restructuring process

42. For the purposes of Article 20(11)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the requirement to exclude exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors who have undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to their non-performing exposures should be understood to refer to both the restructured exposures of the respective debtor or guarantor and those of its exposures that were not themselves subject to restructuring. For the purposes of this Article, restructured exposures which meet the conditions of points (i) and (ii) of that Article should not result in a debtor or guarantor becoming designated as credit-impaired.

27	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	27. (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Not applicable, see criterion 26 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

28	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	28. (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Not applicable, see criterion 26 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

29	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	29. (b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement"– <i>"Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets"</i></p> <p>c) Eligible Borrowers</p> <p>Each Borrower in respect of each Mortgage Asset Agreement to which it is a party is an Eligible Borrower (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) who:</p> <p>(v) to the best of UCI Portugal's knowledge, at the time of origination of the relevant Mortgage Loan, no Borrower either (i) appeared on a register available to the Originator of persons with an adverse credit history or (ii) had a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made was significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the Originator which are not included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))	
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(d) Interpretation of the criterion with respect to the debtors and guarantors found on the credit registry: it is important to interpret this requirement in a narrow sense to ensure that the existence of a debtor or guarantor on the credit registry of persons with adverse credit history should not automatically exclude the exposure to that debtor/guarantor from compliance with this criterion. It is understood that this criterion should relate only to debtors and guarantors that are, at the time of origination of the exposure, considered entities with adverse credit history. Existence on a credit registry at the time of origination of the exposure for reasons that can be reasonably ignored for the purposes of the credit risk assessment (for example due to missed payments which have been resolved in the next two payment periods) should not be captured by this requirement. Therefore, this criterion should not automatically exclude from the STS framework exposures to all entities that are on the credit registries, taking into account that this would unintentionally exclude a significant number of entities given that different practices exist across EU jurisdictions with respect to entry requirements of such credit registries, and the fact that credit registries in some jurisdictions may contain both positive and negative information about the clients;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Credit registry

43. The requirement referred to in Article 20(11)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be limited to exposures to debtors or guarantors to which both of the following requirements apply at the time of origination of the underlying exposure:

- (a) the debtor or guarantor is explicitly flagged in a credit registry as an entity with adverse credit history due to negative status or negative information stored in the credit registry;
- (b) the debtor or guarantor is on the credit registry for reasons that are relevant to the purposes of the credit risk assessment.

30	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.</p> <p>or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <p>(i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and</p> <p>(ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;</p> <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender;</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	30. (c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement"– "<i>Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</i>"</p> <p>c) Eligible Borrowers</p> <p>Each Borrower in respect of each Mortgage Asset Agreement to which it is a party is an Eligible Borrower (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement) who:</p> <p>(v) to the best of UCI Portugal's knowledge, at the time of origination of the relevant Mortgage Loan, no Borrower either (i) appeared on a register available to the Originator of persons with an adverse credit history or (ii) had a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made was significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the Originator which are not included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))	
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(e) Interpretation of the term 'significantly higher risk of contractually agreed payments not being made for comparable exposures': the term should be interpreted with a similar meaning to the requirement aiming to prevent adverse selection of assets referred to in Article 6(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, and further specified in the Article 16(2) of the Delegated Regulation specifying in greater detail the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, given that in both cases the requirement (i) aims to prevent adverse selection of underlying exposures and (ii) relates to the comparison of the credit quality of exposures transferred to the SSPE and comparable exposures that remain on the originator's balance sheet. To facilitate the interpretation, a list is given of examples of how to achieve compliance with the requirement.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Risk of contractually agreed payments not being made being significantly higher than for comparable exposures

44. For the purposes of Article 20(11)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the exposures should not be considered to have a 'credit assessment of a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised' when the following conditions apply:

- (a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;
- (b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.

45. The requirement in the previous paragraph should be considered to have been met where either of the following applies:

- (a) the underlying exposures do not include exposures that are classified as doubtful, impaired, non-performing or classified to the similar effect under the relevant accounting principles;
- (b) the underlying exposures do not include exposures whose credit quality, based on credit ratings or other credit quality thresholds, significantly differs from the credit quality of comparable exposures that the originator originates in the course of its standard lending operations and credit risk strategy.

31	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.12. The debtors shall at the time of transfer of the exposures, have made at least one payment, except in the case of revolving securitisations backed by exposures payable in a single instalment or having a maturity of less than one year, including without limitation monthly payments on revolving credits.	
	STS criteria	
	31. The debtors shall at the time of transfer of the exposures, have made at least one payment, except in the case of revolving securitisations backed by exposures payable in a single instalment or having a maturity of less than one year, including without limitation monthly payments on revolving credits.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “Mortgage Sale Agreement” – “<i>Representations and Warranties as to the Mortgage Assets</i>”</p> <p>b) Eligible Mortgage Asset Agreements</p> <p>Each Mortgage Asset Agreement is an Eligible Mortgage Asset Agreement (as defined in the Mortgage Sale Agreement), which:</p> <p>(vii) in respect of which at least one payment of Receivables due thereunder has been made prior to the Portfolio Calculation Date;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	At least one payment made (Article 20(12))	
	<p>41. STS securitisations should minimise the extent to which investors are required to analyse and assess fraud and operational risk. At least one payment should therefore be made by each underlying borrower at the time of transfer, since this reduces the likelihood of the loan being subject to fraud or operational issues, unless in the case of revolving securitisations in which the distribution of securitised exposures is subject to constant changes because the securitisation relates to exposures payable in a single instalment or with an initial legal maturity of an exposure of below one year.</p> <p>42. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, its scope and the types of payments referred to therein should be further clarified.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.6 At least one payment made (Article 20(12))	
	Scope of the criterion	
	<p>46. For the purposes of Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, further advances in terms of an exposure to a certain borrower should not be deemed to trigger a new ‘at least one payment’ requirement with respect to such an exposure.</p>	
	At least one payment	
	<p>47. For the purposes of Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the payment referred to in the requirement according to which ‘at least one payment’ should have been made at the time of transfer should be a rental, principal or interest payment or any other kind of payment.</p>	

32	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	<p>20.13. The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions shall not have been structured to depend predominantly on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures. This shall not prevent such assets from being subsequently rolled-over or refinanced.</p> <p>The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions whose underlying exposures are secured by assets the value of which is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation by the seller of the assets securing the underlying exposures or by another third party shall not be considered to depend on the sale of assets securing those underlying exposures.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	32. The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions shall not have been structured to depend predominantly on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MORTGAGE ASSETS, The Mortgages which states:</p> <p>“The Mortgage Loans comprised in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio are amortising loans with instalments of both principal and interest.”</p> <p>Accordingly, none of the assets in the pool display any predominant reliance on the sale of the assets as cleared up by the EBA Guidelines specific statement.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	<p>No predominant dependence on the sale of assets (Article 20(13))</p> <p>43. Dependence of the repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures increases the liquidity risks, market risks and maturity transformation risks to which the securitisation is exposed. It also makes the credit risk of the securitisation more difficult for investors to model and assess.</p> <p>44. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that the repayment of the principal balance of exposures at the contract maturity – and therefore repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions – is not intended to be predominantly reliant on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures, unless the value of the assets is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation.</p> <p>45. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) the term ‘predominant dependence’ on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures should be further interpreted:</p> <p>(i) when assessing whether the repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions is or is not predominantly dependent on the sale of assets, the following three aspects should be taken into account: (i) the principal balance at contract maturity of underlying exposures that depend on the sale of assets securing those underlying exposures to repay the balance; (ii) the distribution of maturities of such exposures across the life of the transaction, which aims to reduce the risk of correlated defaults due to idiosyncratic shocks; and (iii) the granularity of the pool of exposures, which aims to promote sufficient distribution in sale dates and other characteristics that may affect the sale of the underlying exposures.</p> <p>(i) no types of securitisations should be excluded ex ante from the compliance with this criterion and from the STS securitisation as long as they meet all the requirements specified in the guidance. For example, this criterion does not aim to exclude leasing transactions and interest-only residential mortgages from STS securitisation, provided they comply with the guidance provided and all other applicable STS requirements. However, it is expected that commercial real estate transactions, or securitisations where the assets are commodities (e.g. oil, grain, gold), or bonds whose maturity dates fall after the maturity date of the securitisation, would not meet these requirements, as in all these cases it is expected that the repayment is predominantly reliant on the sale of the assets, that other possible ways to repay the securitisation positions are substantially limited, and that the granularity of the portfolio is low.</p> <p>46. With respect to the exemption provided in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, it should be ensured that the entity providing the guarantee or the repurchase obligation of the assets securing the underlying exposures is not an empty-shell or defaulted entity, so that it has sufficient loss absorbency to exercise the guarantee of the repurchase of the assets.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.7 No Predominant dependence on the sale of assets</p> <p>Predominant dependence on the sale of assets</p>	

48. For the purposes of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, transactions where all of the following conditions apply, at the time of origination of the securitisation in cases of amortising securitisation or during the revolving period in cases of revolving securitisation, should be considered not predominantly dependent on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures, and therefore allowed:

- (a) the contractually agreed outstanding principal balance, at contract maturity of the underlying exposures that depend on the sale of the assets securing those underlying exposures to repay the principal balance, corresponds to no more than 50% of the total initial exposure value of all securitisation positions of the securitisation;
- (b) the maturities of the underlying exposures referred to in point (a) are not subject to material concentrations and are sufficiently distributed across the life of the transaction;
- (c) the aggregate exposure value of all the underlying exposures referred to in point (a) to a single obligor does not exceed 2% of the aggregate exposure value of all underlying exposures in the securitisation.

49. Where there are no underlying exposures in the securitisation that depend on the sale of assets to repay their outstanding principal balance at contract maturity, the requirements in paragraph 48 should not apply.

Exemption provided in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402

50. The exemption referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 with regard to the repayment of holders of securitisation positions whose underlying exposures are secured by assets, the value of which is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation of either the assets securing the underlying exposures or of the underlying exposures themselves by another third party or parties, the seller or the third parties should meet both of the following conditions:

- (a) they are not insolvent;
- (b) there is no reason to believe that the entity would not be able to meet its obligations under the guarantee or the repurchase obligation.

33	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.1. The originator, sponsor or original lender shall satisfy the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6.	
	STS criteria	
	33.The originator, sponsor or original lender shall satisfy the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURES” – “EU Risk Retention Requirements”.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Risk retention (Article 21(1)) 47. The main objective of the risk retention criterion is to ensure an alignment between the originators'/sponsors'/original lenders' and investors' interests, and to avoid application of the originate-to-distribute model in securitisation. 48. The content of the criterion is deemed sufficiently clear that no further guidance in addition to that provided by the Delegated Regulation further specifying the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is considered necessary.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

34	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	STS criteria	
	34. The interest rate...risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section RISK FACTORS</p> <p>Interest Rate Risk</p> <p>The Mortgage Backed Notes will require the Issuer to pay a floating interest rate in relation to each Class as from the Closing Date.</p> <p>The Issuer is subject to the risk of a mismatch between the rate of interest payable in respect of the Mortgage Loans and the rate of interest payable in respect of the Class A Notes, the Class B Notes and the Class C Notes. Some of the Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio pay a fixed rate of interest (10.25% (ten point twenty-five per cent.) pay fixed interest rate for life, and 24.21% (twenty-four point twenty-one per cent.) are mixed rate as they are currently paying fixed interest rate and will switch to floating rate in the future). However, the Issuer's liabilities with respect to interest under the Class A Notes, the Class B Notes and the Class C Notes are based on EURIBOR.</p> <p>The Cap Counterparty will pay to the Issuer on each Interest Payment Date, an amount, if positive, equal to (i) 3-month EURIBOR minus 3% (three per cent.), up to the Interest Payment Date falling in March 2025, and (ii) after the Interest Payment Date falling in March 2025 and for the following 5 (five) years, 3-month EURIBOR minus 6% (six per cent.). The amounts payable by the Cap Counterparty will be calculated over a Cap notional amount that reflects the scheduled amortisation of the fixed rate Mortgage Loans, as well as the mixed rate Mortgage Loans while they are paying fixed interest rates; on the date each of the mixed rate Mortgage Loans switch to floating rates, they are no longer considered for the Cap notional amount. On the First Interest Payment date, the Cap notional amount is €128,227,891.81 (one hundred and twenty-eight million, two hundred and twenty-seven thousand, eight hundred and ninety-one euros and eighty-one cents), equal to the expected Principal Outstanding Balance of the fixed and mixed rate Mortgage Loans that will switch to floating from 2021 onwards, as at the Calculation Date immediately preceding the First Interest Payment Date. As the Cap notional amount will be agreed from the Closing Date, and the Cap Agreement is designed to hedge the mortgage assets that pay fixed interest rates at any moment, the Cap Agreement may not fully mitigate the interest rate risk.</p> <p>The Cap Agreement shall be in force until the earlier of the following dates: (i) the Interest Payment Date falling in March 2030 or (ii) the Interest Payment Date on which the Class A Notes are fully redeemed. Following the full repayment of the Class A Notes, the structure will no longer benefit from the hedging provided by the Cap Agreement.</p> <p>Upon termination of the Cap Agreement, the interest rate risk will be mitigated by the existence of the Issuer's Reserve Account which is funded, on the Closing Date, with part of the proceeds of the Class C Notes and which takes into account the potential difference between the interest reference rates and reset dates under a number of scenarios. The Reserve Fund is not available exclusively to cover shortfalls driven by changes in interest rates, and potential investors should be aware that the existence of the Issuer's Reserve Account does not ensure that the Issuer's income is sufficient to meet its payment obligations at all times.</p> <p>See for further details "Overview of Certain Transaction Documents - Cap Transaction".</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p>	

<p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks; (b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion; (c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.
<p>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</p> <p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))</p> <p><i>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks</i></p> <p>51. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 in order for the interest-rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation to be considered 'appropriately mitigated', it should be sufficient that a hedge or mitigation is in place, on condition that it is not unusually limited with the effect that it covers a major share of the respective interest-rate or currency risks under relevant scenarios, understood from an economic perspective. Such a mitigation may also be in the form of derivatives or other mitigating measures including reserve funds, over collateralisation, excess spread or other measures.</p> <p>52. Where the appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks is carried out through derivatives, all of the following requirements should apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the derivatives should be used only for genuine hedging of asset and liability mismatches of interest rates and currencies, and should not be used for speculative purposes; (b) the derivatives should be based on commonly accepted documentation, including International Swaps or Derivatives Association (ISDA) or similar established national documentation standards; (c) the derivative documentation should provide, in the event of the loss of sufficient creditworthiness of the counterparty below a certain level, measured either on the basis of the credit rating or otherwise, that the counterparty is subject to collateralisation requirements or makes a reasonable effort for its replacement or guarantee by another counterparty. <p>53. Where the mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks referred to in Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is carried out not through derivatives but by other risk-mitigating measures, those measures should be designed to be sufficiently robust. When such risk-mitigating measures are used to mitigate multiple risks at the same time, the disclosure required by Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should include an explanation of how the measures hedge the interest-rate risks and currency risks on one hand, and other risks on the other hand.</p> <p>54. The measures referred to in paragraphs 52 and 53, as well as the reasoning supporting the appropriateness of the mitigation of the interest-rate and currency risks through the life of the transaction, should be disclosed.</p>

35	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	STS criteria	
	35. Currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	There is no currency risk as the assets and liabilities are denominated in Euros.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))</p> <p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks</p> <p>51. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 in order for the interest-rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation to be considered 'appropriately mitigated', it should be sufficient that a hedge or mitigation is in place, on condition that it is not unusually limited with the effect that it covers a major share of the respective interest-rate or currency risks under relevant scenarios, understood from an economic perspective. Such a mitigation may also be in the form of derivatives or other mitigating measures including reserve funds, over collateralisation, excess spread or other measures.</p> <p>52. Where the appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks is carried out through derivatives, all of the following requirements should apply:</p> <p>(a) the derivatives should be used only for genuine hedging of asset and liability mismatches of interest rates and currencies, and should not be used for speculative purposes;</p> <p>(b) the derivatives should be based on commonly accepted documentation, including International Swaps or Derivatives Association (ISDA) or similar established national documentation standards;</p> <p>(c) the derivative documentation should provide, in the event of the loss of sufficient creditworthiness of the counterparty below a certain level, measured either on the basis of the credit rating or otherwise, that the counterparty is subject to collateralisation requirements or makes a reasonable effort for its replacement or guarantee by another counterparty.</p>	

53. Where the mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks referred to in Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is carried out not through derivatives but by other risk-mitigating measures, those measures should be designed to be sufficiently robust. When such risk-mitigating measures are used to mitigate multiple risks at the same time, the disclosure required by Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should include an explanation of how the measures hedge the interest-rate risks and currency risks on one hand, and other risks on the other hand.

54. The measures referred to in paragraphs 52 and 53, as well as the reasoning supporting the appropriateness of the mitigation of the interest-rate and currency risks through the life of the transaction, should be disclosed.

36	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	STS criteria	
	36. Any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section "OVERVIEW OF CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS" - Cap Transaction</p> <p>Interest Rate Cap Transaction</p> <p>To provide a hedge against the potential interest rate exposure of the Issuer in relation to its floating rate obligations under the Mortgage Backed Notes, on or about the Closing Date, the Issuer entered into the Cap Transaction with Banco Santander, S.A. under a 1992 ISDA Master Agreement (Multicurrency – Cross Border) (the "ISDA Master Agreement"), together with a Schedule thereto (the "ISDA Schedule"), the 1995 ISDA Credit Support Annex thereto (the "Credit Support Annex") and a cap confirmation (the "Cap Confirmation" and, together with the ISDA Master Agreement, the Schedule and the Credit Support Annex, the "Cap Agreement").</p> <p>See relevant descriptions of the Reserve Account.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>54. The measures referred to in paragraphs 52 and 53, as well as the reasoning supporting the appropriateness of the mitigation of the interest-rate and currency risks through the life of the transaction, should be disclosed.</p>	

37	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>37. Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and...</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See the MORTGAGE SALE AGREEMENT, 6. COVENANTS BY PURCHASER</p> <p>6.3. Article 21(2) of the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>The Purchaser undertakes not to enter into any derivative contracts save as expressly permitted by Article 21(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, permission which includes, for the avoidance of doubt, other hedging agreements entered into in connection with other present or future securitisations of the Purchaser.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>Derivatives</p> <p>55. For the purpose of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures in the pool of underlying exposures that merely contain a derivative component exclusively serving the purpose of directly hedging the interest-rate or currency risk of the respective underlying exposure itself, which are not themselves derivatives, should not be understood to be prohibited.</p>	

38	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	38. ...Shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Sale Agreement" which states:</p> <p>"The Portfolio does not contain transferable securities as defined in point (44) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU, derivative instruments or securitisation positions."</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>Derivatives</p> <p>55. For the purpose of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures in the pool of underlying exposures that merely contain a derivative component exclusively serving the purpose of directly hedging the interest-rate or currency risk of the respective underlying exposure itself, which are not themselves derivatives, should not be understood to be prohibited.</p>	

39	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives.</p> <p>Those derivatives shall</p> <p>all be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>39. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section "OVERVIEW OF CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS" - Cap Transaction</p> <p>Interest Rate Cap Transaction</p> <p>To provide a hedge against the potential interest rate exposure of the Issuer in relation to its floating rate obligations under the Mortgage Backed Notes, on or about the Closing Date, the Issuer entered into the Cap Transaction with Banco Santander, S.A. under a 1992 ISDA Master Agreement (Multicurrency – Cross Border) (the "ISDA Master Agreement"), together with a Schedule thereto (the "ISDA Schedule"), the 1995 ISDA Credit Support Annex thereto (the "Credit Support Annex") and a cap confirmation (the "Cap Confirmation" and, together with the ISDA Master Agreement, the Schedule and the Credit Support Annex, the "Cap Agreement").</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>Common standards in international finance</p> <p>56. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, common standards in international finance should include ISDA or similar established national documentation standards.</p>	

40	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.3. Any referenced interest payments under the securitisation assets and liabilities shall be based on generally used market interest rates, or generally used sectoral rates reflective of the cost of funds, and shall not reference complex formulae or derivatives.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>40. Any referenced interest payments under the securitisation assets and liabilities shall be based on generally used market interest rates, or generally used sectoral rates reflective of the cost of funds and shall not reference complex formulae or derivatives.</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>For Assets: see section, CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MORTGAGE ASSETS</p> <p>The interest rate in respect of each Mortgage Loan comprised in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio is either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) a variable rate of interest indexed to EURIBOR 6M; b) a fixed rate of interest set for an initial period at the end of which the relevant interest rate is converted to a variable interest rate indexed to EURIBOR 6M c) a fixed rate. <p>For Liabilities: see section TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES – definitions</p> <p>"Note Rate" means, in respect of each class of Mortgage Backed Notes and the Class C Notes for each Interest Period, the Euro Reference Rate determined as at the Related Interest Determination Date plus the Relevant Margin in respect of such class, subject to a floor of zero;</p> <p>EURIBOR" means the Euro Reference Rate;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Referenced interest payments (Article 21 (3))</p> <p>53. The objective of this criterion is to prevent securitisations from making reference to interest rates that cannot be observed in the commonly accepted market practice. The credit risk and cash flow analysis that investors must be able to carry out should not involve atypical, complex or complicated rates or variables that cannot be modelled on the basis of market experience and practice.</p> <p>54. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the scope of the criterion (by specifying the common types and examples of interest rates captured by this criterion);" (b) the term 'complex formulae or derivatives'. 	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.2 Referenced interest payments (Article 21 (3))</p> <p>Referenced rates</p> <p>57. For the purposes of Article 21(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, interest rates that should be considered to be an adequate reference basis for referenced interest payments should include all of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) interbank rates including the Libor, Euribor and other recognised benchmarks; (b) rates set by monetary policy authorities, including FED funds rates and central banks' discount rates; 	

(c) sectoral rates reflective of a lender's cost of funds, including standard variable rates and internal interest rates that directly reflect the market costs of funding of a bank or a subset of institutions, to the extent that sufficient data are provided to investors to allow them to assess the relation of the sectoral rates to other market rates.

Complex formulae or derivatives

58. For the purposes of Article 21(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, a formula should be considered to be complex when it meets the definition of an exotic instrument by the Global Association of Risk Professionals (GARP), which is a financial asset or instrument with features that make it more complex than simpler, plain vanilla, products. A complex formula or derivative should not be deemed to exist in the case of the mere use of interest-rate caps or floors.

41	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>41. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section , "TRANSACTION OVERVIEW" - Post-Enforcement Payment Priorities</p> <p>See also the definition of "Available Distribution Amount"</p> <p>There is no cash trapping.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Requirements in case of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))	
	<p>55. The objective of this criterion is to prevent investors from being subjected to unexpected repayment profiles and to provide appropriate legal comfort regarding their enforceability, for instances where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered.</p>	
	<p>56. STS securitisations should be such that the required investor's risk analysis and due diligence do not have to factor in complex structures of the payment priority that are difficult to model, nor should the investor be exposed to complex changes in such structures throughout the life of the transaction. Therefore, it should be ensured that junior noteholders do not have inappropriate payment preference over senior noteholders that are due and payable.</p>	
	<p>57. In addition, taking into account that market risk on the underlying collateral constitutes an element of complexity in the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by investors, the objective is also to ensure that the performance of STS securitisations does not rely, due to contractual triggers, on the automatic liquidation at market price of the underlying collateral.</p>	
	<p>58. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the scope and operational functioning of conditions specified under letters (a), (b) and (d) of Article 21(4) should be specified further.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))	
	Exceptional circumstances	
	<p>59. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, a list of 'exceptional circumstances' should, to the extent possible, be included in the transaction documentation.</p>	
	<p>60. Given the nature of 'exceptional circumstances' and in order to allow some flexibility with respect to potential unusual circumstances requiring that cash be trapped in the SSPE in the best interests of investors, where a list of 'exceptional circumstances' is included in the transaction documentation in accordance with paragraph 59, such a list should be non-exhaustive.</p>	
	Amount trapped in the SSPE in the best interests of investors	
	<p>61. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the amount of cash to be considered as trapped in the SSPE should be that agreed by the trustee or other representative of the investors who is legally required to act in the best interests of the investors, or by the investors in accordance with the voting provisions set out in the transaction documentation.</p>	
	<p>62. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, it should be permissible to trap the cash in the SSPE in the form of a reserve fund for future use, as long as the use of the reserve fund is exclusively limited to the purposes set out in Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 or to orderly repayment to the investors.</p>	

42	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p>	
	STS criteria	
	42. Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "TRANSACTION OVERVIEW" - Post-Enforcement Payment Priorities</p> <p>Payments are made on a sequential basis.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Requirements in case of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</p> <p>55. The objective of this criterion is to prevent investors from being subjected to unexpected repayment profiles and to provide appropriate legal comfort regarding their enforceability, for instances where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered.</p> <p>56. STS securitisations should be such that the required investor's risk analysis and due diligence do not have to factor in complex structures of the payment priority that are difficult to model, nor should the investor be exposed to complex changes in such structures throughout the life of the transaction. Therefore, it should be ensured that junior noteholders do not have inappropriate payment preference over senior noteholders that are due and payable.</p> <p>57. In addition, taking into account that market risk on the underlying collateral constitutes an element of complexity in the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by investors, the objective is also to ensure that the performance of STS securitisations does not rely, due to contractual triggers, on the automatic liquidation at market price of the underlying collateral.</p> <p>58. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the scope and operational functioning of conditions specified under letters (a), (b) and (d) of Article 21(4) should be specified further.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</p> <p>Repayment</p> <p>63. The requirements in Article 21(4)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be understood as covering only the repayment of the principal, without covering the repayment of interest.</p> <p>64. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, non-sequential payments of principal in a situation where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered should be prohibited. Where there is no enforcement or acceleration event, principal receipts could be allowed for replenishment purposes pursuant to Article 20(12)) of that Regulation.</p>	

43	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p> <p>(c) Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and</p>	
	STS criteria	
	43. Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "TRANSACTION OVERVIEW" - Post-Enforcement Payment Priorities</p> <p>There is no reversal of repayment with regard to seniority.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

44	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p> <p>(c) Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and</p> <p>(d) No provisions shall require automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	44. No provisions shall require automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES</p> <p>4.2 Restriction on Disposal of Mortgage Assets</p> <p>The Common Representative shall only be entitled to dispose of the Mortgage Assets upon the delivery by the Common Representative of an Enforcement Notice in accordance with Condition 11 (Events of Default and Enforcement) and subject to the provisions of Condition 11.5 (Proceedings). If an Enforcement Notice has been delivered by the Common Representative, the Common Representative will only be entitled to dispose of the Mortgage Assets to a Portuguese securitisation fund (FTC) or to another Portuguese securitisation company (STC), to the Originator or to credit institutions or financial companies authorised to grant credit on a professional basis in accordance with the Securitisation Law. No provisions shall require the automatic liquidation of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio pursuant to Article 21(4)(d) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</p> <p><i>Liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value</i></p> <p>65. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(d) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the investors' decision to liquidate the underlying exposures at market value should not be considered to constitute an automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.</p>	

45	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.5. Transactions which feature non-sequential priority of payments shall include triggers relating to the performance of the underlying exposures resulting in the priority of payments reverting to sequential payments in order of seniority. Such performance-related triggers shall include at least the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a pre-determined threshold.	
	STS criteria	
	45. Transactions which feature non-sequential priority of payments shall include triggers relating to the performance of the underlying exposures resulting in the priority of payments reverting to sequential payments in order of seniority. Such performance-related triggers shall include at least the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a pre-determined threshold.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Pre-enforcement the transaction pays non-sequentially and reverts to sequential payment based on the occurrence of a Turbo Amortisation Event and an Interest Deferral Trigger Event (for interest payments).</p> <p>See section, TRANSACTION OVERVIEW - Pre-Enforcement Payment Priorities:</p> <p>“Turbo Amortisation Event” means the Determination Date preceding any Interest Payment Date, including the Determination Date preceding the First Interest Payment Date, in which the Cumulative Default Ratio is equal to or higher than the following percentages:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Until the Interest Payment Date in March 2021 (inclusive): 1 % (one per cent); 2. Until the Interest Payment Date in March 2022 (inclusive): 2 % (two per cent); 3. Until the Interest Payment Date in March 2023 (inclusive): 3 % (three per cent); 4. Until the Interest Payment Date in March 2024 (inclusive): 4 % (four per cent); 5. Until the Interest Payment Date in March 2025 (inclusive): 5 % (five per cent); <p>“Interest Deferral Trigger Event” means, on the Determination Date preceding any Interest Payment Date, including the Determination Date preceding the First Interest Payment Date, in which the Cumulative Default Ratio is equal to or higher than the following percentages:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Until March 2021 Interest Payment Date (inclusive): 3.5 % (three point five per cent.); 2. Until March 2022 Interest Payment Date (inclusive): 6.5 % (six point five per cent.); 3. Until March 2023 Interest Payment Date (inclusive): 8.5 % (eight point five per cent.); 4. Until March 2024 Interest Payment Date (inclusive): 11.0 % (eleven per cent.); 5. Until March 2025 Interest Payment Date (inclusive): 13.0% (thirteen per cent.); 6. From March 2025 Interest Payment Date (exclusive) onwards: 15.5% (fifteen point five per cent). 	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Non-sequential priority of payments (Article 21(5))</p> <p>59. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that non-sequential (pro rata) amortisation should be used only in conjunction with clearly specified contractual triggers that determine the switch of the amortisation scheme to a sequential priority, safeguarding the transaction from the possibility that credit enhancement is too quickly amortised as the credit quality of the transaction deteriorates, thereby exposing senior investors to a decreasing amount of credit enhancement.</p> <p>60. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a non-exhaustive list of examples of performance-related triggers that may be included is provided in the guidance.</p>	

	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	5.4 Non-sequential priority of payments (Article 21(5))
	Performance-related triggers
	66. For the purposes of Article 21(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the triggers related to the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures may include the following:
	(a) with regard to underlying exposures for which a regulatory expected loss (EL) can be determined in accordance with Regulation (EU) 575/2013 or other relevant EU regulation, cumulative losses that are higher than a certain percentage of the regulatory one-year EL on the underlying exposures and the weighted average life of the transaction;
	(b) cumulative non-matured defaults that are higher than a certain percentage of the sum of the outstanding nominal amount of tranche held by the investors and the tranches that are subordinated to them;
	(c) the weighted average credit quality in the portfolio decreasing below a given pre-specified level or the concentration of exposures in high credit risk (probability of default) buckets increasing above a pre-specified level.

46	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:	
	STS criteria	
	46. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	There is no revolving period.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21 (6))	
	61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.	
	62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	5.5 Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21 (6))	
	<i>Insolvency-related event with regard to the servicer</i>	
	67. For the purposes of Article 21(6)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should lead to both of the following:	
	(a) it should enable the replacement of the servicer in order to ensure continuation of the servicing;	
	(b) it should trigger the termination of the revolving period.	

47	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;		
	STS criteria		
	47. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;		
	Verified?	Yes	
	PCS Comment		
	There is no revolving period.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6)) 61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation. 62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

48	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; 	
	STS criteria	
	<p>48. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; 	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	There is no revolving period.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))</p> <p>61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.</p> <p>62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.5 Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))</p> <p><i>Insolvency-related event with regard to the servicer</i></p> <p>67. For the purposes of Article 21(6)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should lead to both of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) it should enable the replacement of the servicer in order to ensure continuation of the servicing; (b) it should trigger the termination of the revolving period. 	

49	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event); 	
	STS criteria	
	<p>49. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event); 	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>There is no revolving period.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))</p> <p>61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.</p> <p>62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

50	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event); (d) a failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures that meet the pre-determined credit quality (trigger for termination of the revolving period). 	
	STS criteria	
	<p>50. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (d) a failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures that meet the pre-determined credit quality (trigger for termination of the revolving period). 	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	There is no revolving period.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))</p> <p>61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.</p> <p>62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

51	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:</p> <p>(a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>51. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:</p> <p>(a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, OVERVIEW OF CERTAIN TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS</p> <p>Mortgage Servicing Agreement: for the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Servicer;</p> <p>Common Representative Appointment Agreement: for the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Common Representative;</p> <p>Transaction Management Agreement: for the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Transaction Manager;</p> <p>Accounts Agreement: for the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Accounts Bank;</p> <p>Paying Agency Agreement: for the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Paying Agent.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))</p> <p>63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction.</p> <p>64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

52	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:</p> <p>(a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;</p> <p>(b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and</p>	
	STS criteria	
	52. (b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, Servicing Agreement – Termination and Back Up Servicer Facilitator	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))</p> <p>63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction.</p> <p>64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

53	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers; (b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and (c) provisions that ensure the replacement of derivative counterparties, liquidity providers and the account bank in the case of their default, insolvency, and other specified events, where applicable. 	
	STS criteria	
	53. (c) provisions that ensure the replacement of derivative counterparties, liquidity providers and the account bank in the case of their default, insolvency, and other specified events, where applicable.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Derivative Counterparty – see Cap Transaction and Ratings Event, see SCHEDULE to the 1992 ISDA Master Agreement (Multicurrency – Cross Border)Part 6, Downgrade Provisions; Transfer; Payments on Early Termination. In addition, see The Master Framework Agreement, Part 2 - Transaction Document Covenants of the Issuer:</p> <p>11. REPLACEMENT OF CAP COUNTERPARTY</p> <p>use all reasonable endeavours to identify a suitable replacement cap counterparty in order to enter into a replacement cap agreement to replace or novate the Cap Agreement, if so required. The Servicer hereby agrees to assist the Issuer in finding a suitable replacement cap counterparty, if so required.</p> <p>See Cap Transaction , Main features:</p> <p>The Cap Agreement includes ratings provisions according to which, upon the occurrence of a Ratings Event (as defined below), the Cap Counterparty shall transfer cash collateral (“Eligible Credit Support”) to the Issuer, provide an Eligible Guarantee to the Issuer or transfer its rights and obligations as Cap Counterparty to an Eligible Replacement as applicable. The Issuer will covenant in the Master Framework Agreement that it will use all reasonable endeavours to identify a suitable replacement cap counterparty in order to enter into a replacement cap agreement to replace or novate the Cap Agreement, if so required, and the Servicer will agree to assist the Issuer in finding a suitable replacement cap counterparty, if so required.</p> <p>Transaction Manager – see Transaction Management Agreement - Termination of the Transaction Manager</p> <p>Account Bank – Accounts Bank Agreement - <i>Termination and Resignation</i>.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))</p> <p>63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction.</p> <p>64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

54	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.8. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised and shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.	
	STS criteria	
	54. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, " Mortgage Servicing Agreement " "The Servicer is an entity which is subject to prudential, capital and liquidity regulation in Portugal and it has regulatory authorisation and permissions which are relevant to the provision of servicing in relation to the loans comprising the Mortgage Asset Portfolio and other loans originated by UCI which are not sold to the Issuer. The Servicer has significantly more than 5 years of experience in servicing of loans similar to those included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio."	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8)) 65. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that all the conditions are in place for the proper functioning of the servicing function, taking into account the crucial importance of servicing in securitisation and the central nature of this function within any securitisation transaction. 66. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified: (a) criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer; (b) criteria for determining well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls of the servicer. 67. The criteria for the expertise of the servicer should correspond to those for the expertise of the originator or the original lender. Newly established entities should be allowed to perform the tasks of servicing, as long as the back-up servicer has the appropriate experience. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	5.8 Expertise of the servicer (Article 21 (8)) Criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer 68. For the purposes of determining whether a servicer has expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised in accordance with Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, both of the following should apply: (a) the members of the management body of the servicer and the senior staff, other than members of the management body, responsible for servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised should have adequate knowledge and skills in the servicing of exposures similar to those securitised; (b) any of the following principles on the quality of the expertise should be taken into account in the determination of the expertise: (i) the role and duties of the members of the management body and the senior staff and the required capabilities should be adequate; (ii) the experience of the members of the management body and the senior staff gained in previous positions, education and training should be sufficient; (iii) the involvement of the members of the management body and the senior staff within the governance structure of the function of servicing the exposures should be appropriate; (iv) in the case of a prudentially regulated entity, the regulatory authorisations or permissions held by the entity should be deemed relevant to the servicing of similar exposures to those securitised. 69. A servicer should be deemed to have the required expertise where either of the following applies:	

	<p>(a) the business of the entity, or of the consolidated group, to which the entity belongs, for accounting or prudential purposes, has included the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, for at least five years;</p> <p>(b) where the requirement referred to in point (a) is not met, the servicer should be deemed to have the required expertise where they comply with both of the following:</p> <p>(i) at least two of the members of its management body have relevant professional experience in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at personal level, of at least five years;</p> <p>(ii) senior staff, other than members of the management body, who are responsible for managing the entity's servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, have relevant professional experience in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years;</p> <p>(iii) the servicing function of the entity is backed by the back-up servicer compliant with point (a).</p> <p>70. For the purpose of demonstrating the number of years of professional experience, the relevant expertise should be disclosed in sufficient detail and in accordance with the applicable confidentiality requirements to permit investors to carry out their obligations under Article 5(3)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.</p> <p><i>Exposures of similar nature</i></p> <p>71. For the purposes of Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, interpretation of the term 'exposures of similar nature' should follow the interpretation provided in paragraph 23 above.</p>
--	---

55	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.8. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised and shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.	
	STS criteria	
	55. And shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "Mortgage Servicing Agreement</p> <p>"The Servicer is an entity which is subject to prudential, capital and liquidity regulation in Portugal and it has regulatory authorisation and permissions which are relevant to the provision of servicing in relation to the loans comprising the Mortgage Asset Portfolio and other loans originated by UCI which are not sold to the Issuer. The Servicer has significantly more than 5 years of experience in servicing of loans similar to those included in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio. The Servicer's risk management policies, procedures and controls relating to the servicing of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio have been assessed by the risk management department of Banco Santander, S.A., and validated by the Executive Auditing Committee, which includes members from both Banco Santander, S.A. and BNP Paribas, S.A. Additionally, UCI Portugal reports results on a periodic basis to Banco Santander's S.A.'s risk management department and to the Executive Auditing Committee."</p> <p>The Servicer is currently registered with the Bank of Portugal as a branch of the subsidiary of a credit institution with registered office in a third country, due to the applicable passport provisions of the CRR/CRD IV package and the implementing provisions that may be found in the RGICSF, particularly, Article 189.</p> <p>See the Servicing Agreement ,</p> <p>Corporate Representations and Warranties of the Servicer , 11, Others</p> <p>(c) The Servicer's risk management policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of the Mortgage Asset Portfolio (i) are well documented and adequate and (ii) have been assessed by the risk management department of Banco Santander, S.A., and validated by the Executive Auditing Committee, which includes members from both Banco Santander, S.A. and BNP Paribas, S.A. Additionally, UCI Portugal reports results on a periodic basis to Banco Santander S.A.'s risk management department and to the Executive Auditing Committee.</p> <p><i>The EBA Guidelines specify that a servicer should be considered to meet this criterion if it is a prudentially regulated financial institution.</i></p> <p><i>This requirement is met by the Servicer.</i></p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8))</p> <p>65. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that all the conditions are in place for the proper functioning of the servicing function, taking into account the crucial importance of servicing in securitisation and the central nature of this function within any securitisation transaction.</p> <p>66. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer;</p> <p>(b) criteria for determining well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls of the servicer.</p> <p>67. The criteria for the expertise of the servicer should correspond to those for the expertise of the originator or the original lender. Newly established entities should be allowed to perform the tasks of servicing, as long as the back-up servicer has the appropriate experience. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8))***Well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls***

72. For the purposes of Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the servicer should be considered to have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to servicing of exposures' where either of the following conditions is met:

- (a) The servicer is an entity that is subject to prudential and capital regulation and supervision in the Union and such regulatory authorisations or permissions are deemed relevant to the servicing;
- (b) The servicer is an entity that is not subject to prudential and capital regulation and supervision in the Union, and a proof of existence of well-documented and adequate policies and risk management controls is provided that also includes a proof of adherence to good market practices and reporting capabilities. The proof should be substantiated by an appropriate third-party review, such as by a credit rating agency or external auditor.

56	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.9. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.	
	STS criteria	
	56. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section “ Mortgage Servicing Agreement - Approach to Arrears Management ” <i>See also the Mortgage Servicing Agreement</i>	
	Operating Procedures 15. COMPLIANCE WITH OPERATING PROCEDURES 15.1. Servicer to comply with Operating Procedures The Servicer has clear and consistent terms, definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies as detailed in the Operation Procedures. The Servicer agrees with the Purchaser that it shall, in performing the Services, comply with the Operating Procedures and, in particular:...	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))	
	68. Investors should be in a position to know, when they receive the transaction documentation, what procedures and remedies are planned in the event that adverse credit events affect the underlying exposures of the securitisation. Transparency of remedies and procedures, in this respect, allows investors to model the credit risk of the underlying exposures with less uncertainty. In addition, clear, timely and transparent information on the characteristics of the waterfall determining the payment priorities is necessary for the investor to correctly price the securitisation position. 69. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the terms ‘in clear and consistent terms’ and ‘clearly specify’ should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	5.7 Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))	
	<i>Clear and consistent terms</i>	
	For the purposes of Article 21(9) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, to ‘set out clear and consistent terms’ and to ‘clearly specify’ should be understood as requiring that the same precise terms are used throughout the transaction documentation in order to facilitate the work of investors.	

57	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.9. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.	
	STS criteria	
	57. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section "Mortgage Servicing Agreement - Approach to Arrears Management</p> <p><i>See also the Mortgage Servicing Agreement</i></p> <p><i>Operating Procedures 15. COMPLIANCE WITH OPERATING PROCEDURES 15.1. Servicer to comply with Operating Procedures</i></p> <p>The Servicer has clear and consistent terms, definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies as detailed in the Operation Procedures. The Servicer agrees with the Purchaser that it shall, in performing the Services, comply with the Operating Procedures and, in particular:...</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))</p> <p>68. Investors should be in a position to know, when they receive the transaction documentation, what procedures and remedies are planned in the event that adverse credit events affect the underlying exposures of the securitisation. Transparency of remedies and procedures, in this respect, allows investors to model the credit risk of the underlying exposures with less uncertainty. In addition, clear, timely and transparent information on the characteristics of the waterfall determining the payment priorities is necessary for the investor to correctly price the securitisation position.</p> <p>69. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the terms 'in clear and consistent terms' and 'clearly specify' should be further clarified.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.7 Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))</p> <p><i>Clear and consistent terms</i></p> <p>For the purposes of Article 21(9) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, to 'set out clear and consistent terms' and to 'clearly specify' should be understood as requiring that the same precise terms are used throughout the transaction documentation in order to facilitate the work of investors.</p>	

58	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.9...The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	58. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment,	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES 3.4 and the definitions :</p> <p>“Payment Priorities” means the Pre-Enforcement Payment Priorities and the Post-Enforcement Payment Priorities, as the case may be;</p> <p>“Pre-Enforcement Payment Priorities” means the provisions relating to the order of Payment Priorities set out in the Transaction Management Agreement;</p> <p>“Post-Enforcement Payment Priorities” means the provisions relating to the order of Payment Priorities set out in the Common Representative Appointment Agreement;</p> <p>The Pre and Post Enforcement Payment Priorities are summarised in the section TRANSACTION OVERVIEW.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

59	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.		
	STS criteria		
	59. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment.		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See section, "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES " 11. Events of Default and Enforcement		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

60	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.		
	STS criteria		
	60. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the obligation to report such events.		
	Verified?	Yes	
	PCS Comment		
	See section , TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES, 11.1 (Events of Default) 11.2 (11.2 Delivery of Enforcement Notice) and 17 (Notices) If an Event of Default occurs, the Issuer shall so inform the Noteholders in accordance with Condition 17 (Notices).		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

61	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	61. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, “ REGULATORY DISCLOSURES ” “Disclosure of Modifications to the Priorities of Payments Any events which trigger changes in any Payment Priorities and any change in any Payment Priorities which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the Notes will be disclosed by the Designated Reporting Entity without undue delay to the extent required under Article 21(9) of the Securitisation Regulation.”	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

62	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.10. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.	
	STS criteria	
	62. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Terms and Conditions of the Notes .</p> <p>a) the method for calling meetings; as for method: see Terms and Conditions of the Notes,13.Meetings of Noteholders. Also references the Common Representative Appointment Agreement</p> <p>(b) the maximum timeframe for setting up a meeting: . see Terms and Conditions of the Notes,13.Meetings of Noteholders. Also references the Common Representative Appointment Agreement –</p> <p>(c) the required quorum: see Terms and Conditions of the Notes, 13.3 Quorum</p> <p>(d) the minimum threshold of votes to validate such a decision, with clear differentiation between the minimum thresholds for each type of decision; see Terms and Conditions of the Notes, 1.4 Majorities</p> <p>(e) where applicable, a location for the meetings which should be in the EU. See Terms and Conditions of the Notes, 13.Meetings of Noteholders. Also references the Common Representative Appointment Agreement</p> <p>Although the wording of the Regulation as to what constitutes the “facilitation of timely resolution of conflicts” is very vague, the EBA Guidelines have helpfully set out the five minimum requirements that the documents should contain to meet this criterion.</p> <p>PCS has reviewed the underlying documents to ascertain that all the five requirements above are indeed present.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors	
	70. The objective of this criterion is to help ensure clarity for securitisation noteholders of their rights and ability to control and enforce on the underlying credit claims or receivables. This should make the decision-making process more effective, for instance in circumstances where enforcement rights on the underlying assets are being exercised.	
	71. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term ‘clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’ should be further interpreted.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	5.8 Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors (Article 20 (10))	
	Clear provisions facilitating the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors	
	73. For the purposes of Article 21(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, provisions of the transaction documentation that ‘facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’, should include provisions with respect to all of the following:	
	(a) the method for calling meetings or arranging conference calls;	
	(b) the maximum timeframe for setting up a meeting or conference call;	
	(c) the required quorum;	
	(d) the minimum threshold of votes to validate such a decision, with clear differentiation between the minimum thresholds for each type of decision;	
	(e) where applicable, a location for the meetings which should be in the Union.	
	74. For the purposes of Article 21(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where mandatory statutory provisions exist in the applicable jurisdiction that set out how conflicts between investors have to be resolved, the transaction documentation may refer to these provisions.	

63	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.10. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.	
	STS criteria	
	63. and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, "Common Representative Appointment Agreement" and the "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES"	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors (Article 20 (10)) 70. The objective of this criterion is to help ensure clarity for securitisation noteholders of their rights and ability to control and enforce on the underlying credit claims or receivables. This should make the decision-making process more effective, for instance in circumstances where enforcement rights on the underlying assets are being exercised. 71. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term 'clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors' should be further interpreted.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

64	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.	
	STS criteria	
	64. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised,	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURES” - “Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator”</p> <p>“The Originator confirms that it has made available, prior to pricing:</p> <p>iv. data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance covering a period of five years required to be made available under Article 22(1) of the Securitisation Regulation; and”</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))</p> <p>72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.</p> <p>73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) its application to external data;</p> <p>(b) the term ‘substantially similar exposures’.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))</p> <p>Data</p> <p>75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.</p> <p>Substantially similar exposures</p> <p>76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term ‘substantially similar exposures’ should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;</p> <p>(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.</p> <p>77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.</p>	

65	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	<p>22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>65. and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing.</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURES” - “Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator”	
	<p>“The Originator confirms that it has made available, prior to pricing:</p>	
	<p>iv. data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance covering a period of five years required to be made available under Article 22(1) of the Securitisation Regulation; and”</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))	
	<p>72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.</p>	
	<p>73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p>	
	<p>(a) its application to external data;</p>	
	<p>(b) the term ‘substantially similar exposures’.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))	
	Data	
	<p>75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.</p>	
	Substantially similar exposures	
	<p>76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term ‘substantially similar exposures’ should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:</p>	
	<p>(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;</p>	
	<p>(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.</p>	
	<p>77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.</p>	

66	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.	
	STS criteria	
	66. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, "REGULATORY DISCLOSURES" - "Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator" "The Originator confirms that it has made available, prior to pricing: iv. data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance covering a period of five years required to be made available under Article 22(1) of the Securitisation Regulation; and"	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis. 73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified: (a) its application to external data; (b) the term 'substantially similar exposures'.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))	
	Data	
	75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.	
	Substantially similar exposures	
	76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term 'substantially similar exposures' should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:	
	(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;	
	(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.	
	77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.	

67	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.2. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification prior to issuance of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party, including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.	
	STS criteria	
	67. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification prior to issuance of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party,	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "REGULATORY DISCLOSURES" - "Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator"</p> <p>The Originator further confirms that it has obtained external verification on a sample of the underlying exposures prior to issuance, in accordance with Article 22(2).</p> <p>Verification of data</p> <p>For the purposes of compliance with Article 22(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, UCI Portugal has caused the sample of loans selected from the Final Portfolio (and certain eligibility criteria to be checked against the Final Portfolio) to be externally verified by an appropriate and independent third party. Such verification was completed to a confidence level of at least 99%. The Final Portfolio has been subject to an agreed upon procedures review (to review, amongst other things, conformity with the Mortgage Asset representations and warranties (where applicable)) on a sample of loans selected from the Final Portfolio conducted by a third-party and completed on or about 4 March 2020 with respect to the Final Portfolio in existence as at 4 March 2020. No significant adverse findings arose from such review. This independent third party has also performed agreed upon procedures in order to verify that the stratification tables disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures are accurate. The third party undertaking the review only has obligations to the parties to the engagement letters governing the performance of the agreed upon procedures subject to the limitations and exclusions contained therein.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p>74. The objective of the criterion is to provide a level of assurance that the data on and reporting of the underlying credit claims or receivables is accurate and that the underlying exposures meet the eligibility criteria, by ensuring checks on the data to be disclosed to the investors by an external entity not affected by a potential conflict of interest within the transaction.</p> <p>75. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) requirements on the sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification; (b) requirements on the party executing the verification; (c) scope of the verification; (d) requirement on the confirmation of the verification. 	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>6.2 Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p><i>Sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification</i></p> <p>78. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures that should be subject to verification prior to the issuance should be a representative sample of the provisional portfolio from which the securitised pool is extracted and which is in a reasonably final form before issuance.</p> <p><i>Party executing the verification</i></p> <p>79. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an appropriate and independent party should be deemed to be a party that meets both of the following conditions:</p>	

- (a) it has the experience and capability to carry out the verification;
- (b) it is none of the following:
 - (i) a credit rating agency;
 - (ii) a third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;
 - (iii) an entity affiliated to the originator.

Scope of the verification

80. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the verification to be carried out based on the representative sample, applying a confidence level of at least 95%, should include both of the following:

- (a) verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the provisional portfolio with the eligibility criteria that are able to be tested prior to issuance;
- (b) verification of the fact that the data disclosed to investors in any formal offering document in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.

Confirmation of the verification

81. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, confirmation that this verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found should be disclosed.

68	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.2. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification prior to issuance of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party, including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.	
	STS criteria	
	68. Including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, "REGULATORY DISCLOSURES" - "Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator"</p> <p>The Originator further confirms that it has obtained external verification on a sample of the underlying exposures prior to issuance, in accordance with Article 22(2).</p> <p>Verification of data</p> <p>For the purposes of compliance with Article 22(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, UCI Portugal has caused the sample of loans selected from the Final Portfolio (and certain eligibility criteria to be checked against the Final Portfolio) to be externally verified by an appropriate and independent third party. Such verification was completed to a confidence level of at least 99%. The Final Portfolio has been subject to an agreed upon procedures review (to review, amongst other things, conformity with the Mortgage Asset representations and warranties (where applicable)) on a sample of loans selected from the Final Portfolio conducted by a third-party and completed on or about 4 March 2020 with respect to the Final Portfolio in existence as at 4 March 2020. No significant adverse findings arose from such review. This independent third party has also performed agreed upon procedures in order to verify that the stratification tables disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures are accurate. The third party undertaking the review only has obligations to the parties to the engagement letters governing the performance of the agreed upon procedures subject to the limitations and exclusions contained therein.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p>74. The objective of the criterion is to provide a level of assurance that the data on and reporting of the underlying credit claims or receivables is accurate and that the underlying exposures meet the eligibility criteria, by ensuring checks on the data to be disclosed to the investors by an external entity not affected by a potential conflict of interest within the transaction.</p> <p>75. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) requirements on the sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification;</p> <p>(b) requirements on the party executing the verification;</p> <p>(c) scope of the verification;</p> <p>(d) requirement on the confirmation of the verification.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>6.2 Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p>Sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification</p> <p>78. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures that should be subject to verification prior to the issuance should be a representative sample of the provisional portfolio from which the securitised pool is extracted and which is in a reasonably final form before issuance.</p> <p>Party executing the verification</p>	

79. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an appropriate and independent party should be deemed to be a party that meets both of the following conditions:

- (a) it has the experience and capability to carry out the verification;
- (b) it is none of the following:
 - (i) a credit rating agency;
 - (ii) a third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;
 - (iii) an entity affiliated to the originator.

Scope of the verification

80. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the verification to be carried out based on the representative sample, applying a confidence level of at least 95%, should include both of the following:

- (a) verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the provisional portfolio with the eligibility criteria that are able to be tested prior to issuance;
- (b) verification of the fact that the data disclosed to investors in any formal offering document in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.

Confirmation of the verification

81. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, confirmation that this verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found should be disclosed.

69	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.3. The originator or the sponsor shall, before the pricing of the securitisation, make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE, and shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.	
	STS criteria	
	69. The originator or the sponsor shall, before the pricing of the securitisation, make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section "REGULATORY DISCLOSURE" - Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator</p> <p>The Originator confirms that it has made available, prior to pricing:</p> <p>iii. a cashflow model required to be made available under Article 22(3) of the Securitisation Regulation; Cashflow model</p> <p>Cashflow model</p> <p>UCI Portugal (as Originator) has prior to pricing, as required by Article 22(3) of the Securitisation Regulation, made available to potential investors (through the website of European Data Warehouse at https://eurodw.eu/) a cashflow model, either directly or indirectly through one or more entities which provide such cashflow models to investors generally. UCI Portugal (in its capacity as Originator) shall procure that such cashflow model (i) precisely represents the contractual relationship between the Mortgage Loans and the payments flowing between the Originator, investors, other third parties and the Issuer, and (ii) is made available to investors in the Notes on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Liability cashflow model (Article 22(3))	
	76. The objective of this criterion is to assist investors in their ability to appropriately model the cash flow waterfall of the securitisation on the liability side of the SSPE.	
	77. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:	
	(a) interpretation of the term 'precise' representation of the contractual relationships;	
	(b) implications when the model is provided by third parties.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	Liability cash flow model (Article 22(3))	
	Precise representation of the contractual relationship	
	82. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the representation of the contractual relationships between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing among the originator, sponsor, investors, other parties and the SSPE should be considered to have been done 'precisely' where it is done accurately and with an amount of detail sufficient to allow investors to model payment obligations of the SSPE and to price the securitisation accordingly. This may include algorithms that permit investors to model a range of different scenarios that will affect cash flows, such as different prepayment or default rates.	
	Third parties	
	83. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the liability cash flow model is developed by third parties, the originator or sponsor should remain responsible for making the information available to potential investors.	

70	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	<p>22.3. The originator or the sponsor shall, before the pricing of the securitisation, make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE, and shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>70. And shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section "REGULATORY DISCLOSURE" - Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator</p>	
	Cashflow model	
	<p>UCI Portugal (as Originator) has prior to pricing, as required by Article 22(3) of the Securitisation Regulation, made available to potential investors (through the website of European Data Warehouse at https://eurodw.eu/) a cashflow model, either directly or indirectly through one or more entities which provide such cashflow models to investors generally. UCI Portugal (in its capacity as Originator) shall procure that such cashflow model (i) precisely represents the contractual relationship between the Mortgage Loans and the payments flowing between the Originator, investors, other third parties and the Issuer, and (ii) is made available to investors in the Notes on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Liability cashflow model (Article 22(3))	
	<p>76. The objective of this criterion is to assist investors in their ability to appropriately model the cash flow waterfall of the securitisation on the liability side of the SSPE.</p>	
	<p>77. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p>	
	<p>(a) interpretation of the term 'precise' representation of the contractual relationships;</p>	
	<p>(b) implications when the model is provided by third parties.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	Liability cash flow model (Article 22(3)) <i>Precise representation of the contractual relationship</i>	
	<p>82. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the representation of the contractual relationships between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing among the originator, sponsor, investors, other parties and the SSPE should be considered to have been done 'precisely' where it is done accurately and with an amount of detail sufficient to allow investors to model payment obligations of the SSPE and to price the securitisation accordingly. This may include algorithms that permit investors to model a range of different scenarios that will affect cash flows, such as different prepayment or default rates.</p>	
	Third parties	
	<p>83. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the liability cash flow model is developed by third parties, the originator or sponsor should remain responsible for making the information available to potential investors.</p>	

71	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.4. In case of a securitisation where the underlying exposures are residential loans or car loans or leases, the originator and sponsor shall publish the available information related to the environmental performance of the assets financed by such residential loans or car loans or leases, as part of the information disclosed pursuant to point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1).	
	STS criteria	
	71. In case of a securitisation where the underlying exposures are residential loans or car loans or leases, the originator and sponsor shall publish the available information related to the environmental performance of the assets financed by such residential loans or car loans or leases, as part of the information disclosed pursuant to point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1).	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Environmental performance of the Mortgage Loans</p> <p>UCI Portugal collects information relating to the environmental performance of the Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio at origination of each Mortgage Loan, loads such information into its reporting systems and monitors this information on an ongoing basis thereafter in accordance with Article 22(4) of the Securitisation Regulation. Such information will be made available by the Designated Reporting Entity in the correct format to fulfil the reporting requirements of Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>See also section, Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation which states:</p> <p>....including information on environmental performance of the Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio at origination of each Mortgage Loan pursuant to Article 22(4) of the Securitisation Regulation, in a timely manner (to the extent not already provided by other parties), in each case in accordance with the reporting requirements under Article 7(1)(a) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Environmental performance of assets (Article 22(4))</p> <p>78. It should be clarified that this is a requirement of disclosure about the energy efficiency of the assets when this information is available to the originator, sponsor or SSPE, rather than a requirement for a minimum energy efficiency of the assets.</p> <p>79. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term ‘available information related to the environmental performance’ should be further clarified.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>Environmental performance of assets (Article 22(4))</p> <p>Available information related to the environmental performance</p> <p>84. This requirement should be applicable only if the information on the energy performance certificates for the assets financed by the underlying exposures is available to the originator, sponsor or the SSPE and captured in its internal database or IT systems. Where information is available only for a proportion of the underlying exposures, the requirement should apply only in respect of the proportion of the underlying exposures for which information is available.</p>	

72	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.	
	STS criteria	
	72. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, TRANSACTION OVERVIEW"- "Provision of Information under the Securitisation Regulation":</p> <p>"UCI Portugal (as Originator) will be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation for the purposes of Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation."</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Compliance with transparency requirements</p> <p>80. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that investors have access to the data that are relevant for them to carry out the necessary risk and due diligence analysis with respect to the investment decision.</p> <p>81. The criterion is deemed sufficiently clear and not requiring any further clarification.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

73	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.		
	STS criteria		
	73. The information required by point (a) the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request.		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURE” –“Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator” The Originator confirms that it has made available, prior to pricing: i. the information required to be made available under Article 7(1)(a) of the Securitisation Regulation, to the extent such information has been requested by a potential investor;		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

74	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.		
	STS criteria		
	74. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURE” –“Transparency under the Securitisation Regulation and Confirmations of the Originator” “The Originator confirms that it has made available, prior to pricing: ii. the underlying documentation required to be made available under Article 7(1)(b) of the Securitisation Regulation in draft form; v. a draft of the STS Notification required to be made available under Article 7(1)(d), (in each case, on <https://eurodw.eu/> (or any alternative website which conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation) (the "Reporting Website")”.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

75	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.	
	STS criteria	
	75. The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURE” –“Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation”</p> <p>The Originator shall make available to the investors in the Notes a copy of the final Prospectus and the other final Transaction Documents, the Compliance Opinion, the UCI Green Bond Framework and the STS Assessments on the investor page of the website of the UCI Group (being, as at the date of this Prospectus, https://www.uci.com/inversores_login.aspx), by no later than 15 (fifteen) days after the Closing Date, and any other document or information that may be required to be disclosed to the investors or potential investors in the Notes pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation, including information on environmental performance of the Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio at origination of each Mortgage Loan pursuant to Article 22(4) of the Securitisation Regulation, in a timely manner (to the extent not already provided by other parties), in each case in accordance with the reporting requirements under Article 7(1)(a) of the Securitisation Regulation. Draft version of the Compliance Opinion will be made available prior to the Closing Date. In addition, UCI Portugal has undertaken to make available to investors in the Notes on the investor page of the website of the UCI Group (being, as at the date of this Prospectus, https://www.uci.com/inversores_login.aspx), on an ongoing basis and to potential investors in the Notes, upon request, all information required under the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>See also section, General Information, Documents, which states:</p> <p>This Prospectus will be published in electronic form together with all documents incorporated by reference (which, for the avoidance of doubt, do not include the documents listed in subparagraphs (b) above), CMVM (www.cmvm.pt) and Euronext (www.euronext.com), and on the Reporting Website.</p> <p>Documents listed in subparagraphs (b) above will be made available to the investors in the Notes on the Reporting Website as set out in the section headed “Regulatory Disclosures”.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

76	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	<p>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:</p> <p>(a) information on the underlying exposures on a quarterly basis, or, in the case of ABCP, information on the underlying receivables or credit claims on a monthly basis;</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>76. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:</p> <p>(a) information on the underlying exposures on a quarterly basis,</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURE” –“Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation”</p> <p>“The Designated Reporting Entity will, from the Closing Date:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b) procure that the Servicer prepares a quarterly report on each Reporting Date in respect of the relevant Calculation Period, containing:</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">(i) prior to the Reporting Technical Standards Effective Date, the information set out in Annex I of the CRA III RTS as required by Article 43(8) of the Securitisation Regulation; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">(ii) following the Reporting Technical Standards Effective Date, the information required under the applicable ESMA Disclosure Templates and RTS to be published;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(the “Loan-Level Report” and together with the Investor Report, the “Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports”).”</p> <p>“The Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports shall be published on the Reporting Website and each such report shall be made available no later than one month following the Interest Payment Date following the Calculation Period to which it relates. To the extent any technical standards prepared under the Securitisation Regulation come into effect after the date of this Prospectus and require such reports to be published in a different manner or on a different website, the Designated Reporting Entity shall comply with the requirements of such technical standards when publishing such reports.”</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

77	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	<p>7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:</p> <p>(b) all underlying documentation that is essential for the understanding of the transaction, including but not limited to, where applicable, the following documents:</p> <p>(i) the final offering document or the prospectus together with the closing transaction documents, excluding legal opinions;</p>	
	STS criteria	
	<p>77. All underlying documentation that is essential for the understanding of the transaction, including but not limited to, where applicable, the following documents:</p> <p>(i) the final offering document or the prospectus together with the closing transaction documents, excluding legal opinions;</p>	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, GENERAL INFORMATION, Documents</p> <p>This Prospectus will be published in electronic form together with all documents incorporated by reference (which, for the avoidance of doubt, do not include the documents listed in subparagraphs (b) above), CMVM (www.cmvm.pt) and Euronext (www.euronext.com), and on the Reporting Website. Documents listed in subparagraphs (b) above will be made available to the investors in the Notes on the Reporting Website as set out in the section headed "Regulatory Disclosures". The documents listed under paragraphs (a) to (d) above constitute all the underlying documents that are essential for understanding the Securitisation and include, but not limited to, the relevant documents referred to in point (b) of Article 7(1) of the EU Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>See section, "REGULATORY DISCLOSURE" – "Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation"</p> <p>The Originator shall make available to the investors in the Notes a copy of the final Prospectus and the other final Transaction Documents, the Compliance Opinion, the UCI Green Bond Framework and the STS Assessments on the investor page of the website of the UCI Group (being, as at the date of this Prospectus, https://www.uci.com/inversores_login.aspx), by no later than 15 (fifteen) days after the Closing Date, and any other document or information that may be required to be disclosed to the investors or potential investors in the Notes pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation, including information on environmental performance of the Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Asset Portfolio at origination of each Mortgage Loan pursuant to Article 22(4) of the Securitisation Regulation, in a timely manner (to the extent not already provided by other parties), in each case in accordance with the reporting requirements under Article 7(1)(a) of the Securitisation Regulation. Draft version of the Compliance Opinion will be made available prior to the Closing Date. In addition, UCI Portugal has undertaken to make available to investors in the Notes on the investor page of the website of the UCI Group (being, as at the date of this Prospectus, https://www.uci.com/inversores_login.aspx), on an ongoing basis and to potential investors in the Notes, upon request, all information required under the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>The Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports shall be published on the Reporting Website and each such report shall be made available no later than one month following the Interest Payment Date following the Calculation Period to which it relates. To the extent any technical standards prepared under the Securitisation Regulation come into effect after the date of this Prospectus and require such reports to be published in a different manner or on a different website, the Designated Reporting Entity shall comply with the requirements of such technical standards when publishing such reports.</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt, the Reporting Website, the Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports and the contents thereof do not form part of this Prospectus.</p> <p>See the definition in the "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES" – "Transaction Documents" means the Mortgage Sale Agreement, the Mortgage Servicing Agreement, the Master Framework Agreement, the Prospectus, the Subscription Agreement, the Common Representative Appointment Agreement, the Notes, the Transaction Management Agreement, the Paying Agency Agreement, the Accounts Agreement, the Co-ordination Agreement, the Master Execution Agreement, the Cap Agreement and any other agreement or document entered into from time to time by the Issuer pursuant thereto;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

78	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(ii) for traditional securitisation the asset sale agreement, assignment, novation or transfer agreement and any relevant declaration of trust;		
	STS criteria		
	78. For traditional securitisation the asset sale agreement, assignment, novation or transfer agreement and any relevant declaration of trust;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 77 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

79	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(iii) the derivatives and guarantees agreements as well as any relevant documents on collateralisation arrangements where the exposures being securitised remain exposures of the originator;	
	STS criteria	
	79. The derivatives and guarantees agreements as well as any relevant documents on collateralisation arrangements where the exposures being securitised remain exposures of the originator;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See criterion 77 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

80	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(iv) the servicing, back-up servicing, administration and cash management agreements;		
	STS criteria		
	80. The servicing, back-up servicing, administration and cash management agreements;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 77 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

81	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(v) the trust deed, security deed, agency agreement, account bank agreement, guaranteed investment contract, incorporated terms or master trust framework or master definitions agreement or such legal documentation with equivalent legal value;		
	STS criteria		
	81. The trust deed, security deed, agency agreement, account bank agreement, guaranteed investment contract, incorporated terms or master trust framework or master definitions agreement or such legal documentation with equivalent legal value;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 77 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

82	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(vi) any relevant inter-creditor agreements, derivatives documentation, subordinated loan agreements, start-up loan agreements and liquidity facility agreements;		
	STS criteria		
	82. Any relevant inter-creditor agreements, derivatives documentation, subordinated loan agreements, start-up loan agreements and liquidity facility agreements;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 77 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

83	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	That underlying documentation shall include a detailed description of the priority of payments of the securitisation;		
	STS criteria		
	83. That underlying documentation shall include a detailed description of the priority of payments of the securitisation;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See section “TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES” and the “Common Representative Appointment Agreement”		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

84	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:	
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;	
	STS criteria	
	84. Where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:	
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Not applicable.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

85	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:		
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;		
	(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;		
	STS criteria		
	85. (ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	Not applicable		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

86	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:		
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;		
	(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;		
	(iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;		
	STS criteria		
	86. (iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
Not applicable			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

87	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	<p>(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure; (ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features; (iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors; (iv) a list of all triggers and events referred to in the documents provided in accordance with point (b) that could have a material impact on the performance of the securitisation position; 	
	STS criteria	
	87. (iv) a list of all triggers and events referred to in the documents provided in accordance with point (b) that could have a material impact on the performance of the securitisation position;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Not applicable	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

88	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(d) in the case of STS securitisations, the STS notification referred to in Article 27;		
	STS criteria		
	88. In the case of STS securitisations, the STS notification referred to in Article 27;		
	Verified?	Yes	
	PCS Comment		
	See section REGULATORY DISCLOSURES		
	Any information which from time to time may be deemed necessary under Articles 5, 6 and 7 of the Securitisation Regulation in accordance with the market practice (including, any amendment or supplement of the Transaction Documents and the Prospectus, the draft (or, if and once it has been notified to ESMA, the final version) of the STS notification pursuant to Article 27(1) of the Securitisation Regulation, the relevant notice in case the Securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions, information on any other event which may trigger a change in the applicable Priority of Payments will be made available through Reporting Website or the SR Repository (once appointed).		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

89	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(e) quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following:	
	STS criteria	
	89. Quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following:	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURES” –“Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation”</p> <p>The Designated Reporting Entity will, from the Closing Date:</p> <p>a) procure that the Transaction Manager prepares, and the Transaction Manager will prepare, (to the satisfaction of the Designated Reporting Entity) an investor report 1 (one) Business Day after each Interest Payment Date (a "Reporting Date") in relation to the immediately preceding Calculation Period containing:</p> <p>(i) prior to the Reporting Technical Standards Effective Date, the information set out in Annex VIII of Delegated Regulation (EU) No 2015/3 ("CRA III RTS") as required by Article 43(8) of the Securitisation Regulation; and</p> <p>(ii) following the Reporting Technical Standards Effective Date, the information required under the applicable ESMA Disclosure Templates and RTS ("RTS") to be published pursuant to Article 7(3) of the Securitisation Regulation,</p> <p>(the "Investor Report") and;</p> <p>The Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports shall be published on the Reporting Website and each such report shall be made available no later than one month following the Interest Payment Date following the Calculation Period to which it relates. To the extent any technical standards prepared under the Securitisation Regulation come into effect after the date of this Prospectus and require such reports to be published in a different manner or on a different website, the Designated Reporting Entity shall comply with the requirements of such technical standards when publishing such reports.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

90	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(i) all materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of underlying exposures;		
	STS criteria		
	90. All materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of underlying exposures;		
	Verified?	Yes	
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 89 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

91	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(ii) information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties, and, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;		
	STS criteria		
	91. Information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties,		
	Verified?	Yes	
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 89 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

92	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(ii) information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties, and, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;		
	STS criteria		
	92. And, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 89 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

93	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(iii) information about the risk retained, including information on which of the modalities provided for in Article 6(3) has been applied, in accordance with Article 6.	
	STS criteria	
	93. Information about the risk retained, including information on which of the modalities provided for in Article 6(3) has been applied, in accordance with Article 6.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See criterion 89 above and “REGULATORY DISCLOSURES” - “Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation”</p> <p>The Originator has provided a corresponding undertaking with respect to: (i) the provision of such investor information and compliance requirements of Article 7(e)(iii) of the Securitisation Regulation by confirming its risk retention as contemplated by Article 6(1) of the Securitisation Regulation as specified in the paragraph above; and (ii) the interest to be retained by the Originator as specified in the introductory paragraph above to the Lead Manager and Arranger in the Subscription Agreement and to the Issuer pursuant to the Mortgage Sale Agreement.</p> <p>UCI Portugal (as Originator) shall provide or, as relevant, procure the provision to the Transaction Manager for inclusion in the Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports (or otherwise so that such information can be available to investors) of readily accessible data and information with respect to the provision of such investor information and compliance by UCI Portugal (as Originator) with the requirements of Article 7(1)(e)(iii) of the Securitisation Regulation, by confirming the risk retention of UCI Portugal (as Originator) as contemplated by Article 6(1) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

94	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;	
	STS criteria	
	94. Any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURES” – “Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation”</p> <p>Information required to be reported under Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>The Designated Reporting Entity will: (a) publish on the Reporting Website (without delay), any information required to be reported pursuant to Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation. The Designated Reporting Entity will only be required to publish such information as the Issuer or the Servicer may from time to time notify to it and/or direct it to publish; and (b) within 15 (fifteen) days of the Closing Date make available via the Reporting Website copies of the Transaction Documents and this Prospectus. The Designated Reporting Entity's obligation to publish information required to be reported by the Issuer pursuant to Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation shall be conditional upon delivery by the Issuer or the Servicer, to the extent the Issuer or the Servicer becomes aware, of any information falling under Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation, provided that the Designated Reporting Entity shall not be required to monitor the price at which any Class of Notes trade at any time."</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

95	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as: (i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;	
	STS criteria	
	95. (g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as: (i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section, "REGULATORY DISCLOSURES" – "Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation" Information required to be reported under Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation The Designated Reporting Entity will: (a) publish on the Reporting Website (without delay), any information required to be reported pursuant to Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation. The Designated Reporting Entity will only be required to publish such information as the Issuer or the Servicer may from time to time notify to it and/or direct it to publish; and (b) within 15 (fifteen) days of the Closing Date make available via the Reporting Website copies of the Transaction Documents and this Prospectus. The Designated Reporting Entity's obligation to publish information required to be reported by the Issuer pursuant to Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation shall be conditional upon delivery by the Issuer or the Servicer, to the extent the Issuer or the Servicer becomes aware, of any information falling under Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation, provided that the Designated Reporting Entity shall not be required to monitor the price at which any Class of Notes trade at any time. "	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

96	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;		
	STS criteria		
	96. (ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 95 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

97	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;		
	STS criteria		
	97. (iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 95 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

98	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(iv) in the case of STS securitisations, where the securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions;		
	STS criteria		
	98. (iv) in the case of STS securitisations, where the securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions;		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 95 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

99	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	(v) any material amendment to transaction documents.		
	STS criteria		
	99. (v) any material amendment to transaction documents.		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See criterion 95 above.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

100	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	The information described in points (a) and (e) of the first subparagraph shall be made available simultaneously each quarter at the latest one month after the due date for the payment of interest [...ABCP provisions]		
	STS criteria		
	100. The information described in points (a) and (e) of the first subparagraph shall be made available simultaneously each quarter at the latest one month after the due date for the payment of interest [...ABCP provisions]		
	Verified?		Yes
	PCS Comment		
	See section, "REGULATORY DISCLOSURES" – "Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation" Each of the information is provided on the "Reporting Date"		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

101	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	<p>Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 596/2014, the information described in points (f) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall be made available without delay</p> <p>When complying with this paragraph, the originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall comply with national and Union law governing the protection of confidentiality of information and the processing of personal data in order to avoid potential breaches of such law as well as any confidentiality obligation relating to customer, original lender or debtor information, unless such confidential information is anonymised or aggregated.</p> <p>In particular, with regard to the information referred to in point (b) the originator, sponsor and SSPE may provide a summary of the concerned documentation.</p> <p>Competent authorities referred to in Article 29 shall be able to request the provision of such confidential information to them in order to fulfil their duties under this Regulation.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	101. Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 596/2014, the information described in points (f) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall be made available without delay	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section, “REGULATORY DISCLOSURES” –“ Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation”</p> <p>Information required to be reported under Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>The Designated Reporting Entity will: (a) publish on the Reporting Website (without delay), any information required to be reported pursuant to Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation. The Designated Reporting Entity will only be required to publish such information as the Issuer or the Servicer may from time to time notify to it and/or direct it to publish; and (b) within 15 (fifteen) days of the Closing Date make available via the Reporting Website copies of the Transaction Documents and this Prospectus. The Designated Reporting Entity's obligation to publish information required to be reported by the Issuer pursuant to Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation shall be conditional upon delivery by the Issuer or the Servicer, to the extent the Issuer or the Servicer becomes aware, of any information falling under Article 7(1)(f) and (g) to the extent applicable of the Securitisation Regulation, provided that the Designated Reporting Entity shall not be required to monitor the price at which any Class of Notes trade at any time. "</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

102	Legislative text	BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	<p>7.2 The entity designated in accordance with the first subparagraph shall make the information for a securitisation transaction available by means of a securitisation repository.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>The obligations referred to in the second and fourth subparagraphs shall not apply to securitisations where no prospectus has to be drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10, the entity designated to fulfil the requirements set out in paragraph 1 of this Article shall make the information available by means of a website that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) includes a well-functioning data quality control system; (b) is subject to appropriate governance standards and to maintenance and operation of an adequate organisational structure that ensures the continuity and orderly functioning of the website; (c) is subject to appropriate systems, controls and procedures that identify all relevant sources of operational risk; (d) includes systems that ensure the protection and integrity of the information received and the prompt recording of the information; and (e) makes it possible to keep record of the information for at least five years after the maturity date of the securitisation. 	
	STS criteria	
	<p>102. Where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10, the entity designated to fulfil the requirements set out in paragraph 1 of this Article shall make the information available by means of a website that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) includes a well-functioning data quality control system; (b) is subject to appropriate governance standards and to maintenance and operation of an adequate organisational structure that ensures the continuity and orderly functioning of the website; (c) is subject to appropriate systems, controls and procedures that identify all relevant sources of operational risk; (d) includes systems that ensure the protection and integrity of the information received and the prompt recording of the information; and (e) makes it possible to keep record of the information for at least five years after the maturity date of the securitisation 	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section Transaction Overview -Provision of Information under the Securitisation Regulation:</p> <p>UCI Portugal (as Originator) will be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation for the purposes of Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation. The Designated Reporting Entity will publish (or ensure the publication of) the Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports (simultaneously with each other) on <https://eurodw.eu/> (or any alternative website which conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation) ("Reporting Website"). Following the appointment by the Designated Reporting Entity of a securitisation repository registered under Article 10 of the Securitisation Regulation ("SR Repository"), the Designated Reporting Entity shall be responsible for procuring that each Securitisation Regulation Investor Report is made available through such SR Repository in accordance with the requirements of Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>See also "SR Repository"</p> <p>Following the appointment by the Designated Reporting Entity of a securitisation repository registered under Article 10 of the Securitisation Regulation ("SR Repository"), the Designated Reporting Entity shall be responsible for procuring that each Securitisation Regulation Investor Report, and any other information required to be made available by the Designated Reporting Entity under the Securitisation Regulation, is made available through such SR Repository in accordance with the requirements of Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation and for the purposes of making available the Securitisation Regulation Investor Reports to the holders of the Notes and the competent authorities, and upon request, potential investors in the Notes. In determining whether a person is a holder of the Notes or a potential investor in the Notes, the Designated Reporting Entity is entitled to rely, without liability, on any certification given by such person that they are a holder of the Notes or, as relevant, a potential investor in the Notes. For the avoidance of doubt, where no SR Repository is registered in accordance with Article 10 of the SR, the Designated Reporting Entity will make the relevant information described above available through the Reporting Website.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

103	Legislative text		BACK TO TABLE OF CONTENTS
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	7.2 The entity responsible for reporting the information, and the securitisation repository where the information is made available shall be indicated in the documentation regarding the securitisation.		
	STS criteria		
	103. The entity responsible for reporting the information, and the securitisation repository where the information is made available shall be indicated in the documentation regarding the securitisation.		
	Verified?	Yes	
	PCS Comment		
	See section, REGULATORY DISCLOSURES -Reporting under the Securitisation Regulation		
	For the purposes of Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation, the Originator has been designated as the entity responsible for compliance with the requirements of Article 7 together with any guidance published in relation thereto by the European Securities and Markets Authority, including any regulatory and/or implementing technical standards ("EU Disclosure Requirements") ("Designated Reporting Entity") and will either fulfil such requirements itself or procure that such requirements are complied with on its behalf, provided that the Designated Reporting Entity will not be in breach of such undertaking if the Designated Reporting Entity fails to so comply due to events, actions or circumstances beyond the Designated Reporting Entity's control. Any reference to the EU Disclosure Requirements shall be deemed to include any successor or replacement provisions of Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation included in any European Union directive or regulation (in each case, on < https://eurodw.eu/ > (or any alternative website which conforms to the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation) (the "Reporting Website").		
	Any information which from time to time may be deemed necessary under Articles 5, 6 and 7 of the Securitisation Regulation in accordance with the market practice (including, any amendment or supplement of the Transaction Documents and the Prospectus, the draft (or, if and once it has been notified to ESMA, the final version) of the STS notification pursuant to Article 27(1) of the Securitisation Regulation, the relevant notice in case the Securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions, information on any other event which may trigger a change in the applicable Priority of Payments will be made available through Reporting Website or the SR Repository (once appointed).		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale			
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines			

Definitions:

“AUP”: the agreed upon procedures through which an external firm verifies certain aspects of the asset pool.

“COMI”: centre of main interest – broadly, the legal jurisdiction where the insolvency of the seller of assets will be primarily determined.

“Issuer Notification”: the notification provided by the originator or sponsor pursuant to article 27 of the STS Regulation.

“Jurisdiction List”: the list of jurisdictions where it has been determined that severe clawback provisions do not apply.

“Legal Opinion”: an opinion signed by a law firm qualified in the relevant jurisdiction and acting for the originator or the arranger where the law firm sets out the reasons why, in its opinion and subject to customary assumptions and qualifications, the assets are transferred in such a way as to meet the STS Criterion for “true sale” or the same type of opinion for prior sales together with an opinion on the enforceability of the underlying assets.

“Marketing Documents”: Documents prepared by or on behalf of the originator and used in the marketing of the transaction with potential investors.

“Model”: a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE.

“Prospectus/Deal Sheet”: the prospectus, or for a deal where no prospectus needs to be drawn up, the deal sheet envisaged by article 7.1(c) of the STS Regulation.

“Transaction Document”: a document entered into in relation to the transaction binding on one or more parties connected to the transaction.