

STS Term Master Checklist
PENARTH MASTER ISSUER
Issue of Series 2019-1



PRIME COLLATERALISED SECURITIES (PCS) UK LIMITED

22nd July 2019

Analyst: Fazel Ahmed | Contacts: +00 44 203 440 3724

This is the STS Term Master Checklist for STS Term Verifications.

This STS Term Checklist must be read together with the PCS Procedures Manual and the PCS Term Evidentiary Standards Manual. This document is based upon the materials received by PCS as at the date of this document. Any page references in this document are to the prospectus unless otherwise stated.

PCS comments in this STS Term Master Checklist are based on PCS' interpretation of the STS Regulation (the "Regulation") informed by (a) the text of the Regulation itself, (b) the EBA guidelines and recommendations issued in accordance with Article 19(2) of the Regulation (the "EBA Guidelines") and (c) any relevant national competent authorities interpretation of the STS criteria to the extent known to PCS.

It is important that the reader of this checklist reviews and understands the disclaimer referred to on the following page.

22nd July 2019

STS Disclaimer

Neither an STS Verification, nor a CRR Assessment, nor an LCR Assessment is a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities. None are investment advice whether generally or as defined under Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2004/39/EC) and none are a credit rating whether generally or as defined under the Credit Rating Agency Regulation (1060/2009/EC).

PCS UK is authorised by the UK Financial Conduct Authority as a third party verifying STS compliance pursuant to article 28 of the Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 (the "**STS Regulation**").

LCR Assessments are not endorsed or regulated by any regulatory and/or supervisory authority nor, other than as set out above, are the PCS Association or either of its subsidiaries, PCS UK and PCS EU, regulated by any regulator and/or supervisory authority including the Belgian Financial Services and Markets Authority, the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority, the *French Autorité des Marchés Financiers* or the European Securities and Markets Authority.

By assessing the STS or CRR status of any securities or financing, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU express any views about the creditworthiness of these securities or financings or their suitability for any existing or potential investor or as to whether there will be a ready, liquid market for these securities or financings.

Equally, by completing (either positively or negatively) any STS or CRR status assessment of certain instruments, no statement of any kind is made as to the value or price of these instruments or the appropriateness of the interest rate they carry (if any).

In the provision of any STS Verification or CRR Assessment or LCR Assessment, PCS has based its decision on information provided directly and indirectly by the originator or sponsor of the relevant securitisation. Specifically, it has relied on statements made in the relevant prospectus or deal sheet, documentation and/or in certificates provided by, or on behalf of, the originator or sponsor in accordance with PCS' published procedures for the relevant PCS verification or assessment. You should make yourself familiar with these procedures to understand fully how any PCS service is completed. These can be found in the PCS website www.pcsmarket.org (the "PCS Website"). Neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU undertake their own direct verification of the underlying facts stated in the prospectus, deal sheet, documentation or certificates for the relevant instruments and the completion of any STS Verification or CRR Assessment or LCR Assessment is not a confirmation or implication that the information provided to it by or on behalf of the originator or sponsor is accurate or complete.

The PCS entities take reasonable measures to ensure the quality and accuracy of the information on the PCS Website. However, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU can be held liable in any way for the inaccuracy or incompleteness of any information that is available on or through the PCS Website. In addition, neither the PCS Association nor PCS UK nor PCS EU can in any way be held liable or responsible for the content of any other website linked to the PCS Website.

To understand the meaning and limitations of any STS Verification you must read the General Disclaimer that appears on the PCS Website.

When entering any of the "Transaction" sections of the PCS Website, you will be asked to declare that you are allowed to do so under the legislation of your country. The circulation and distribution of information regarding securitisation instruments (including securities) that is available on the PCS Website may be restricted in certain jurisdictions. Persons receiving any information or documents with respect to or in connection with instruments (including securities) available on the PCS Website are required to inform themselves of and to observe all applicable restrictions.

Prime Collateralised Securities (PCS) STS Term Verification

Individual(s) undertaking the assessment	Fazel Ahmed
Date of Assessment /Version	22 nd July 2019
The transaction to be assessed (the “Transaction”)	Issue of Series 2019-1, PENARTH MASTER ISSUER PLC
Issuer	PENARTH MASTER ISSUER PLC
Originators	Bank of Scotland plc and Lloyds Bank plc
Seller	Bank of Scotland plc
Lead Manager(s)	Lloyds Bank Corporate Markets plc (and Lloyds Securities Inc for Series 2019-1 Class A1 and A2 Notes only)
Transaction Legal Counsel	Clifford Chance LLP
Rating Agencies	Moody's, S&P and Fitch
Stock Exchange	London Stock Exchange
Target Closing Date	22 nd July 2019

1	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.	
	STS criteria	
	1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See Programme Structural Overview, first and second paragraphs	
	See Risk Factors - Transfer of benefit of receivables	
	The transfer by the Transferor to the Receivables Trustee of the benefit of the Receivables is governed by both English law and by Scots law, as applicable, and takes effect in equity only, except in the case of Scottish Receivables, in which case the transfer takes effect under a declaration of trust which is governed by Scots law pursuant to which the beneficial interest in the Scottish Receivables as trust property is vested in the Receivables Trustee.	

Confirmation of true sale i.e. enforceability of assignment, an assessment of the re-characterisation risks is made in the Legal Opinion.

PCS has been provided with and reviewed the legal opinion provided by Clifford Chance.

“True sale” is not a legal concept but a rating agency creation.

The essence of a “true sale” is that the property in the securitised assets has legally moved from the originator(s)/seller to the SSPE in such a way that the SSPE's ownership will be recognised as a matter of law, including and especially in the case of the insolvency of the originator(s)/seller. In a “true sale” the insolvency officer and creditors of the insolvent originator/seller are not able to satisfy the claims of the originator/seller's creditor out of the proceeds of the securitised assets. Following a “true sale” there is no legal device by which the assets can automatically revert to the originator/seller's ownership. Such automatic reversion is associated with security interests and anathema to a “true sale”.

This is clearly stated in the wording of the Regulation (20.1). The expression “transfer to the same effect” indicates that, as long as the conditions in the preceding paragraph are met, the Regulation does not seek to limit the type of legal devices which can be used to effect such transfer of title.

The issue of “true sale” is separate from the issue of “clawback”. “Clawback” refers to legal processes through which, in the insolvency of the seller of an asset, an insolvency officer is entitled to reverse the sale – even in cases where a “true sale” has taken place.

All European jurisdictions to PCS' knowledge, have rules allowing for clawbacks. Clawbacks are usually rules to avoid a company heading towards insolvency from “defrauding” its existing creditors either by selling assets at very low prices (to friends and relations) or unfairly preferring certain creditors over others.

The Regulation (20.1) therefore does not require STS “true sales” to be fully clawback-proof since this would mean that no European securitisation could ever be STS. It does require the sale not to be subject to “severe clawback”. The Regulation does not define “severe clawback” but gives an example (20.2) where a clawback may occur.

The Regulation (20.3) also explicitly excludes from the definition of “severe clawback” the traditional European basis for such devices which all come under the general category of “preferences”.

PCS further notes that the examples (20.2 and 20.3) refer to the insolvency law of a jurisdiction and therefore believes that clawback risk is to be assessed on a jurisdictional basis rather than on a transactional basis.

Finally, PCS does not believe and nor is there any evidence that the legislators or regulatory authorities are seeking to craft a higher standard than that which has been used for decades by the market and was the basis for the legislative text.

Based on the above considerations, PCS believes that transfers from a jurisdiction meeting the following criteria – absent any other indications – shall not fall within the definition of “severe clawback”:

- Clawback requires an unfair preference “defrauding” creditors;
- Clawback puts the burden of proof on the insolvency officer or creditors – in other words it cannot be automatic nor require the purchaser to prove their innocence.

Since “severe clawback” is a jurisdictional concept, in analysing this issue PCS will therefore first seek to determine the Originator’s jurisdiction for the purposes of insolvency law. This would be its centre of main interest or “COMI”.

The second step would be to determine whether the relevant COMI contains severe claw back provisions in its insolvency legislation.

Although the determination of a COMI can be a technically fraught analysis of international conflicts of law, PCS notes that in the vast majority of securitisations there is no real issue as the COMI is self-evident.

In the case of the Transaction, title to the assets is transferred, in the case of English and Scottish assets by means of an equitable assignment and, in the case of Scottish assets, by a transfer of the beneficial interest only. The legal opinions from Clifford Chance LLP collectively confirm that an equitable assignment and a Scottish assignment meets the definition of “true sale” outlined above.

In the case of Bank of Scotland (and Lloyds), a United Kingdom Bank with the near totality of its business in the United Kingdom providing inter alia retail banking services in the United Kingdom, the COMI is without meaningful doubt the United Kingdom.

United Kingdom insolvency law provides for clawback in the cases of preferences and transactions at an undervalue and require the insolvency officer to prove that case. Therefore, and as confirmed by the Opinions, the transfer is not, in our opinion, subject to “severe clawback”

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on *background and rationale*

True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))

16. The criterion specified in Article 20(1) aims to ensure that the underlying exposures are beyond the reach of, and are effectively ring-fenced and segregated from, the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller’s insolvency, enabling an effective recourse to the ultimate claims for the underlying exposures.

22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:

- (a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;
- (b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))

True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect 10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including t in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:

- (a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;
- (b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;
- (c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks

11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.

12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.

2	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	20.1. The title to the underlying exposures shall be acquired by the SSPE by means of a true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect in a manner that is enforceable against the seller or any other third party. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.
	STS criteria
	2. The transfer of the title to the SSPE shall not be subject to severe clawback provisions in the event of the seller's insolvency.
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	COMI is in the UK.UK does not have severe clawback provisions. See comment under Criterion 1 above.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p>16. The criterion specified in Article 20(1) aims to ensure that the underlying exposures are beyond the reach of, and are effectively ring-fenced and segregated from, the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, enabling an effective recourse to the ultimate claims for the underlying exposures.</p> <p>22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;</p> <p>(b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect</p> <p>10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:</p> <p>(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;</p> <p>(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;</p> <p>(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.</p> <p>11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.</p> <p>12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.</p>

Legislative text	
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
<p>20.2. For the purpose of paragraph 1, any of the following shall constitute severe clawback provisions:</p> <p>(a) provisions which allow the liquidator of the seller to invalidate the sale of the underlying exposures solely on the basis that it was concluded within a certain period before the declaration of the seller's insolvency;</p> <p>(b) provisions where the SSPE can only prevent the invalidation referred to in point (a) if it can prove that it was not aware of the insolvency of the seller at the time of sale.</p>	
STS criteria	
Verified?	Yes
PCS Comment	
Neither provision applies.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
<p>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p>17. The criterion in Article 20(2) is designed to ensure the enforceability of the transfer of legal title in the event of the seller's insolvency. More specifically, if the underlying exposures sold to the SSPE could be reclaimed for the sole reason that their transfer was effected within a certain period before the seller's insolvency, or if the SSPE could prevent the reclaim only by proving that it was unaware of the seller's insolvency at the time of transfer, such clauses would expose investors to a high risk that the underlying exposures would not effectively back their contractual claims. For this reason, Article 20(2) specifies that such clauses constitute severe clawback provisions, which may not be contained in STS securitisation.</p>	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
<p>4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p><i>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect</i></p> <p>10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:</p> <p>(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;</p> <p>(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;</p> <p>(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.</p> <p>11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.</p> <p>12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.</p>	

Legislative text	
Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
20.3. For the purpose of paragraph 1, clawback provisions in national insolvency laws that allow the liquidator or a court to invalidate the sale of underlying exposures in case of fraudulent transfers, unfair prejudice to creditors or of transfers intended to improperly favour particular creditors over others, shall not constitute severe clawback provisions.	
STS criteria	
Verified?	Yes
PCS Comment	
See comments under Criterion 1 above with respect to Article 20.3. UK does not have severe clawback provisions.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))	
18. Whereas, pursuant to Article 20(2), contractual terms and conditions attached to the transfer of title that expose investors to a high risk that the securitised assets will be reclaimed in the event of the seller's insolvency should not be permissible in STS securitisations, such prohibition should not include the statutory provisions granting the right to a liquidator or a court to invalidate the transfer of title with the aim of preventing or combating fraud, as referred to in Article 20(3).	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))	
<i>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect</i>	
10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:	
(a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;	
(b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;	
(c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.	
11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.	
12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.	

3	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.4. Where the seller is not the original lender, the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect of the underlying exposures to the seller, whether that true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect is direct or through one or more intermediate steps, shall meet the requirements set out in paragraphs 1 to 3.	
	STS criteria	
	3. Where the seller is not the original lender, the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect of the underlying exposures to the seller, whether that true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect is direct or through one or more intermediate steps, shall meet the requirements set out in paragraphs 1 to 3.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Seller is Bank of Scotland. However, Originators are Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank.</p> <p>In relation to the assets originated by Lloyds Bank – see Programme Structural Overview second paragraph.</p> <p>On 8 November 2010, Bank of Scotland acquired all of the present and future beneficial interests in receivables arising on certain designated revolving credit card accounts originated by Lloyds Bank plc ("Lloyds Bank") in the United Kingdom pursuant to the terms of a receivables securitisation deed dated 1 November 2010 (as amended and restated from time to time) (the "Lloyds Bank RSD"). The legal ownership of such Accounts remains with Lloyds Bank. On 8 November 2010, Bank of Scotland transferred such Receivables pursuant to the terms of the RSD to Penarth Receivables Trustee Limited, a private limited company incorporated under the laws of Jersey with company number 101458, and having its registered office at 44 Esplanade, St. Helier, Jersey JE4 9WG, Channel Islands (the "Original Receivables Trustee") and subsequent to 8 November 2010, Bank of Scotland transferred Receivables to the Original Receivables Trustee from time to time to the extent it acquired further Receivables from Lloyds Bank and Bank of Scotland may from the Novation Date transfer Receivables to the Receivables Trustee to the extent it acquires Receivables from Lloyds Bank.</p> <p>Pursuant to the novation, amendment and restatement deed in respect of the RSD dated 13 June 2019 (the "Novation Date"), the entitlement to all Receivables (including those previously transferred to the Original Receivables Trustee) is now held by the Receivables Trustee and the Receivables Trustee now acts as the trustee in respect of the Penarth Receivables Trust.</p> <p>Legal opinions address the true sale aspects of articles 20.1 to 20.3.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	19. Article 20(4) specifies that, where the transfer of title occurs not directly between the seller and the SSPE but through one or more intermediary steps involving further parties, the requirements relating to the true sale, assignment or other transfer with the same legal effect, apply at each step.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))

True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect

10. For the purposes of Article 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and in order to substantiate the confidence of third parties, including third parties verifying simple, transparent and standardised (STS) compliance in accordance with Article 28 of that Regulation and competent authorities meeting the requirements specified therein, all of the following should be provided:

- (a) confirmation of the true sale or confirmation that, under the applicable national framework, the assignment or transfer segregate the underlying exposures from the seller, its creditors and its liquidators, including in the event of the seller's insolvency, with the same legal effect as that achieved by means of true sale;
- (b) confirmation of the enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect referred to in point (a) against the seller or any other third party, under the applicable national legal framework;
- (c) assessment of clawback risks and re-characterisation risks.

11. The confirmation of the aspects referred to in paragraph 10 should be achieved by the provision of a legal opinion provided by qualified external legal counsel, except in the case of repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structures or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same.

12. The legal opinion referred to in paragraph 11 should be accessible and made available to any relevant third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of that regulation.

4	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.5. Where the transfer of the underlying exposures is performed by means of an assignment and perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction, the triggers to affect such perfection shall, at least include the following events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing; (b) insolvency of the seller; and (c) unremedied breaches of contractual obligations by the seller, including the seller's default.
	STS criteria
	<p>4. Where the transfer of the underlying exposures is performed by means of an assignment and perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction, the triggers to effect such perfection shall, at least include the following events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing; (b) insolvency of the seller; and (c) unremedied breaches of contractual obligations by the seller, including the seller's default.
	Verified?
	PCS Comment
	<p>Section The Receivables and Servicing of Receivable: - Notification Events</p> <p>Section "Triggers Tables"- Notification Events which states that:</p> <p>The occurrence of any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an Insolvency Event in relation to the Transferor; • the Transferor (or the Servicer on behalf of the Transferor) fails to pay any sum due from it to the Receivables Trustee under the RTDSA in respect of the Designated Accounts within five Business Days of the due date thereof or the date of demand, if payable on demand, in the currency and in the manner specified herein, and such failure is not remedied within ten Business Days after the Receivables Trustee has given notice thereof to the Transferor; and • the Transferor's long-term senior unsecured indebtedness as rated by any of S&P, Moody's or Fitch were to fall below BBB+, Baa2 or BBB+, respectively. <p>A number of perfection acts will occur, including Obligors being notified of the sale to the Receivables Trustee and legal title to the Securitised Portfolio being transferred to the Receivables Trustee</p> <p>Criterion 4 requires two steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To determine whether the transfer of the assets is by means of an unperfected assignment; and - If it is, whether the transaction contains the requisite triggers. <p>In the absence of any definition of "an assignment perfected at a later stage" in the Regulation or the EBA Guidelines and without additional views from the UK Financial Conduct Authority it is not possible to determine with finality whether an English equitable assignment is "unperfected" within the meaning of the Regulation – as distinguished from the meaning of the English rules of equity.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p>20. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(5) is to minimise legal risks related to unperfected transfers in the context of an assignment of the underlying exposures, by specifying a minimum set of events subsequent to closing that should trigger the perfection of the transfer of the underlying exposures.</p> <p>22. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p>

<p>(a) how to substantiate the confidence of third parties with respect to compliance with Article 20(1): it is understood that this should be achieved by providing a legal opinion. While the guidance does not explicitly require the provision of a legal opinion in all cases, the guidance expects a legal opinion to be provided as a general rule, and omission to be an exception;</p> <p>(b) the triggers to effect the perfection of the transfer if assignments are perfected at a later stage than at the closing of the transaction.</p>
<p>EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines</p>
<p>4.1 True sale, assignment or transfer with the same legal effect, representations and warranties (Article 20(1)-(6))</p> <p><i>Severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing</i></p> <p>13. For the purposes of Article 20(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the transaction documentation should identify, with regard to the trigger of 'severe deterioration in the seller credit quality standing', credit quality thresholds that are objectively observable and related to the financial health of the seller.</p> <p><i>Insolvency of the seller</i></p> <p>14. For the purposes of Article 20(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the trigger of 'insolvency of the seller' should refer, at least, to events of legal insolvency as defined in national legal frameworks.</p>

5	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.6. The seller shall provide representations and warranties that, to the best of its knowledge, the underlying exposures included in the securitisation are not encumbered or otherwise in a condition that can be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect.	
	STS criteria	
	5. The seller shall provide representations and warranties that, to the best of its knowledge, the underlying exposures included in the securitisation are not encumbered or otherwise in a condition that can be foreseen to adversely affect the enforceability of the true sale or assignment or transfer with the same legal effect.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section The Receivables – Representations which states:</p> <p>Under the terms of the RSD, the Transferor will represent certain matters in relation to the Existing Receivables comprised in an Offer which are Principal Receivables....</p> <p>The representations by the Transferor include:</p> <p>(b) the assignment of each Receivable the subject of an Offer will be effective to pass to the Receivables Trustee good and marketable title thereto and each assignment and trust will be effective to hold good and marketable title for that Receivable in trust for the Receivables Trustee, in each case together with the benefit thereof (including in such context, any Collections and other rights in connection therewith such as related guarantees and insurance proceeds), free of any encumbrances in favour of any person claiming through or under the Transferor or any of its affiliates to the Receivables Trustee and, subject to any limitations arising on enforcement in the jurisdiction of the relevant Obligor, no further act, condition or thing will be required to be done in connection therewith to enable the Receivables Trustee to require payment of any such Receivable or to enforce any such right in the courts of England and Wales or Scotland or any Permitted Additional Jurisdiction without the participation of the Transferor other than payment of any applicable United Kingdom stamp duty and the giving of a Notice of Assignment, or the joinder or sifting of the Transferor as a party to proceedings by the Receivables Trustee against the relevant Obligor;</p> <p>See the Receivables Securitisation Deed (RSD), Schedule 8 Eligibility Criteria, paragraph 1 (c) which states:</p> <p>(c) it is free and clear of any Encumbrances exercisable against the relevant Transferor or the Receivables Trustee arising under or through such Transferor (or any of its respective Affiliates) and, to which, at the time of its creation (or at the time of its acquisition by the relevant Transferor if such Receivable was originated by any person other than that Transferor) and at all times thereafter, the relevant Transferor or the Receivables Trustee had good and marketable title</p> <p>The Lloyds Receivables Securitisation Deed Schedule 7 Eligibility Criteria paragraph 1 (c) which states:</p> <p>(c) it is free and clear of any Encumbrances exercisable against the Offeror or Bank of Scotland arising under or through the Offeror (or any of its respective Affiliates) and, to which, at the time of its creation (or at the time of its acquisition by the Offeror if such Receivable was originated by any person other than the Offeror) and at all times thereafter the Offeror, the Bank of Scotland or the Receivables Trustee had good and marketable title;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	21. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(6), which requires the seller to provide the representations and warranties confirming to the seller's best knowledge that the transferred exposures are neither encumbered nor otherwise in a condition that could potentially adversely affect the enforceability of the transfer of title, is to ensure that the underlying exposures are not only beyond the reach not only of the seller but equally of its creditors, and to allocate the commercial risk of the encumbrance of the underlying exposures to the seller.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

6	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>6. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria....</p>
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>See section Receivables and Servicing of Receivables – Representation and Warranties, which states:</p> <p>Each offer of Receivables to the Receivables Trustee will include representations and warranties by the Transferor about the relevant Receivables. The representations and warranties for Receivables in existence at the time of such offer will be given as of the relevant selection date and the representations and warranties for Receivables yet to come into existence will be given as of the date they are processed. Broadly speaking, these representation and warranties will include, in each case, that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Receivable is an Eligible Receivable and has arisen from an Eligible Account; <p>The section “The Receivables” – Representations in relation to Existing Receivables (and future Receivables) and includes the definitions of an Eligible Account and Eligible receivables.</p> <p>See also “Receivables and Servicing of receivables – Eligibility Criteria</p> <p>The EBA Guidelines clarify that “clear” does not mean easily readable or comprehended by a non-expert. In the Regulation a criterion is “clear” when a court or tribunal could determine whether, presumably in all cases, the criterion is met for each asset. In the Regulation, “clear” is about certainty of determination.</p> <p><i>PCS has read the Eligibility Criteria in the Prospectus/Receivables Trust Deed (RSD). As they are mandatory, they meet the “predetermined” requirement. As they are in the Prospectus / Receivables Trust Deed (RSD) they meet the “documented” requirement. PCS has also concluded that they allow determination in each case and so meet the “clear” requirement.</i></p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale
	Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))
	<p>23. The objective of this criterion in Article 20(7) is to ensure that the selection and transfer of the underlying exposures in the securitisation is done in a manner which facilitates in a clear and consistent fashion the identification of which exposures are selected for/transferred into the securitisation, and to enable the investors to assess the credit risk of the asset pool prior to their investment decisions.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))
	Clear eligibility criteria
	<p>17. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the criteria should be understood to be ‘clear’ where compliance with them is possible to be determined by a court or tribunal, as a matter of law or fact or both.</p>

7	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>7. Which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management.</p>
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>The below have been reviewed within the context of the EBA guidelines and are acceptable as they are not made for speculative purposes or to enhance the portfolio performance. Redesignation and removal of accounts</p> <p>Each Designated Account will continue to be a Designated Account until such time as it becomes a Cancelled Account, a Zero Balance Account, a Defaulted Account or until the Transferor reclassifies it as being no longer a Designated Account (each of the foregoing a "Redesignated Account").</p> <p>Except in the case of Cancelled Accounts, Defaulted Accounts or Zero Balance Accounts, a Designated Account will become a Redesignated Account on such date (the "Removal Date") as is specified by the Transferor to the Receivables Trustee. From time to time the Receivables Trustee may purchase from the Transferor, Receivables which are or which subsequently become subject to third party arrangements between the Transferor and third parties. These arrangements may require that the Transferor will procure the assignment or transfer to such third parties of Accounts that may have been identified in the Securitised Portfolio as Designated Accounts</p> <p>Indeed the EBA Guidelines set out seven devices to repurchase securitised assets which are not to be considered indicative of "active portfolio management". To the extent that a transaction only contains some or all of those seven devices and does not provide any other form of repurchase, then the STS criterion will be met. If the transaction should contain a repurchase device that is not included in the EBA's list, then an analysis will need to be conducted as to whether this additional device offends against the principles set out in the EBA Guidelines (15.a and b) as defining "active portfolio management".</p> <p>PCS has reviewed all the repurchase devices set out in the Prospectus and these are acceptable within the context of the EBA final guidelines.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale
	Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))
	<p>24. Consistently with this objective, the active portfolio management of the exposures in the securitisation should be prohibited, given that it adds a layer of complexity and increases the agency risk arising in the securitisation by making the securitisation's performance dependent on both the performance of the underlying exposures and the performance of the management of the transaction. The payments of STS securitisations should depend exclusively on the performance of the underlying exposures.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20(7))
	Active portfolio management
	<p>15. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, active portfolio management should be understood as portfolio management to which either of the following applies:</p> <p>(a) the portfolio management makes the performance of the securitisation dependent both on the performance of the underlying exposures and on the performance of the portfolio management of the securitisation, thereby preventing the investor from modelling the credit risk of the underlying exposures without considering the portfolio management strategy of the portfolio manager;</p> <p>(b) the portfolio management is performed for speculative purposes aiming to achieve better performance, increased yield, overall financial returns or other purely financial or economic benefit.</p>

16. The techniques of portfolio management that should not be considered active portfolio management include:
- (a) substitution or repurchase of underlying exposures due to the breach of representations or warranties;
 - (b) substitution or repurchase of the underlying exposures that are subject to regulatory dispute or investigation to facilitate the resolution of the dispute or the end of the investigation;
 - (c) replenishment of underlying exposures by adding underlying exposures as substitutes for amortised or defaulted exposures during the revolving period;
 - (d) acquisition of new underlying exposures during the 'ramp up' period to line up the value of the underlying exposures with the value of the securitisation obligations;
 - (e) repurchase of underlying exposures in the context of the exercise of clean-up call options, in accordance with Article 244(3)(g) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2401;
 - (f) repurchase of defaulted exposures to facilitate the recovery and liquidation process with respect to those exposures;
 - (g) repurchase of underlying exposures under the repurchase obligation in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

8	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	20.7. The underlying exposures transferred from, or assigned by, the seller to the SSPE shall meet pre-determined, clear and documented eligibility criteria which do not allow for active portfolio management of those exposures on a discretionary basis. For the purpose of this paragraph, substitution of exposures that are in breach of representations and warranties shall not be considered active portfolio management. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.
	STS criteria
	8. Exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction shall meet the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures.
	Verified?
	PCS Comment
	<p>Refer to criterion 6 above. The transaction allows for offers to be made ongoing. The representations and eligibility criteria apply to each offer.</p> <p>This criterion is a future event criterion. In other words, it cannot be either met or failed at the outset of the transaction. But if, at a later stage, it is not met, then the Originator will need to inform ESMA and the STS status of the securitisation will be lost.</p> <p>Therefore, as a technical matter, this criterion is not applicable at the closing of a transaction. However, PCS will nevertheless look to see if there is a covenant on the part of the originator to comply in the future with this requirement whilst noting, at the same time, that the absence of any such covenant – although possibly unsettling for some investors – would not invalidate the STS status of the transaction at closing.</p> <p>PCS has identified the existence of such a covenant in the Prospectus.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale
	<p>Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20.7)</p> <p>25. Revolving periods and other structural mechanisms resulting in the inclusion of exposures in the securitisation after the closing of the transaction may introduce the risk that exposures of lesser quality can be transferred into the pool. For this reason, it should be ensured that any exposure transferred into the securitisation after the closing meets the eligibility criteria, which are no less strict than those used to structure the initial pool of the securitisation.</p> <p>26. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) the purpose of the requirement on the portfolio management, and the provision of examples of techniques which should not be regarded as active portfolio management: this criterion should be considered without prejudice to the existing requirements with respect to the similarity of the underwriting standards in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, which requires that all the underlying exposures in a securitisation be underwritten according to similar underwriting standards;</p> <p>(b) interpretation of the term 'clear' eligibility criteria;</p> <p>(c) clarification with respect to the eligibility criteria that need to be met with respect to the exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>4.2 Eligibility criteria for the underlying exposures, active portfolio management (Article 20.7)</p> <p>Eligibility criteria to be met for exposures transferred to the SSPE after the closing of the transaction</p> <p>18. For the purposes of Article 20(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, 'meeting the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures' should be understood to mean eligibility criteria that comply with either of the following:</p> <p>(a) with regard to normal securitisations, they are no less strict than the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures at the closing of the transaction;</p>

(b) with regard to securitisations that issue multiple series of securities including master trusts, they are no less strict than the eligibility criteria applied to the initial underlying exposures at the most recent issuance, with the results that the eligibility criteria may vary from closing to closing, with the agreement of securitisation parties and in accordance with the transaction documentation.

19. Eligibility criteria to be applied to the underlying exposures in accordance with paragraph 18 should be specified in the transaction documentation and should refer to eligibility criteria applied at exposure level.

9	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>9. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type.</p>
	Verified?
	Yes
PCS Comment	
<p>The draft RTS on homogeneity can be fully met on the basis of :</p> <p>origination - Credit Card Portfolio and origination.</p> <p>For Servicing - See section Servicing of Receivables and Servicing Agreement</p> <p>Asset class – Credit Card Portfolio and The receivables.</p> <p>homogeneity factor UK jurisdiction, Eligible Accounts (e) and (f)</p> <p>The definition of “homogeneity” in the Regulation is to be the subject of a Regulatory Technical Standard (“RTS”). Being set out in an RTS, rather than a guideline or recommendation issued by the EBA, the definition of “homogeneity” will be legally binding on all regulatory authorities.</p> <p>Although a draft of such RTS has been published by the EBA, PCS notes that such RTS has not yet come into force. It is not necessary, as a technical legal matter, for the RTS to come into force before STS securitisations are issued. In the absence of the RTS, market participants must turn to the text of the Regulation to interpret what “homogeneity” means.</p> <p>In interpreting the expression, PCS has based itself on the text of the Regulation, its knowledge of the intent of the legislators – including, crucially, the legislators belief that the STS Regulation was justified by the excellent performance of most “plain vanilla” European securitisations and the draft RTS published by the EBA.</p> <p>Based on the above, it seems clear to PCS that the Regulation would not seek to exclude from the STS category securitisations that have performed extremely well and are universally considered “homogenous” by market participants. This does not exonerate any transaction from being analysed against this criterion but does set the background for such analysis.</p> <p>Turning, for guidance, to the draft RTS published by the EBA, four elements require examination: (a) “similar underwriting standards”, (b) “similar servicing standards”, (c) same asset class and (d) relevant risk factors.</p> <p>Until the RTS is published and following the guiding principles of the EBA, we note that “similar underwriting standards” must mean something like the same type of underwriting approach, looking at the same types of data points to calculate the same type of credit risk. It cannot mean “exactly the same underwriting criteria”, since this would make it impossible for any securitisation ever to have a “homogenous” pool.</p> <p><i>In the Transaction, the credit card accounts were underwritten on a similar basis, they are being serviced by Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank, they are a single asset class – credit card accounts / receivables to individuals – and, based on the EBA’s suggested approach, the credit card accounts and receivables are all originated in the same jurisdiction.</i></p> <p><i>PCS also takes great comfort from the fact that transactions containing pools with similar characteristics have always been considered to be “homogenous” by a wide consensus of market participants.</i></p>	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))	

	27. The criterion on the homogeneity as specified in the first subparagraph of Article 20(8) has been further clarified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

10	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.	
	STS criteria	
	10. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Section The Receivables – Representations – Eligible Accounts which is governed in whole or in part by the Consumer Credit Act 1974 as amended by the Consumer Credit Act 2006 and creates legal, valid and binding obligations between the Transferor or, where relevant, a Material Originator, as applicable and the relevant Obligor and is enforceable against the relevant Obligor in accordance with the Credit Card Agreement and the Consumer Credit Act 1974 as amended by the Consumer Credit Act 2006, subject to applicable bankruptcy laws, other similar laws affecting creditors' rights, general equitable principles and other limitations on enforcement in the jurisdiction of the relevant Obligor and was otherwise created and complies with all other applicable laws;	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8)) 28. The objective of the criterion specified in the third sentence in the first subparagraph and in the second subparagraph of Article 20(8) is to ensure that the underlying exposures contain valid and binding obligations of the debtor/guarantor, including rights to payments or to any other income from assets supporting such payments that result in a periodic and well-defined stream of payments to the investors. 30. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a clarification should be provided with respect to: (a) interpretation of the term 'contractually binding and enforceable obligations';	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8)) Contractually binding and enforceable obligations 20. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, 'obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors' should be understood to refer to all obligations contained in the contractual specification of the underlying exposures that are relevant to investors because they affect any obligations by the debtor and, where applicable, the guarantor to make payments or provide security.	

11	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.8. The securitisation shall be backed by a pool of underlying exposures that are homogeneous in terms of asset type, taking into account the specific characteristics relating to the cash flows of the asset type including their contractual, credit risk and prepayment characteristics. A pool of underlying exposures shall only comprise one asset type. The underlying exposures shall contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.	
	STS criteria	
	11. With full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 10 above Section The Receivables – Representations – Eligible Account which is governed in whole or in part by the Consumer Credit Act 1974 as amended by the Consumer Credit Act 2006 and creates legal, valid and binding obligations between the Transferor or, where relevant, a Material Originator, as applicable and the relevant Obligor and is enforceable against the relevant Obligor in accordance with the Credit Card Agreement and the Consumer Credit Act 1974 as amended by the Consumer Credit Act 2006, subject to applicable bankruptcy laws, other similar laws affecting creditors' rights, general equitable principles and other limitations on enforcement in the jurisdiction of the relevant Obligor and was otherwise created and complies with all other applicable laws; See also the section CREDIT CARD PORTOFOLIO – statement printing and billing and payment regarding the balances owed and charges. Also Risk Factors - “The obligations of the cardholders under the Designated Accounts are unsecured”.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8)) 30. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a clarification should be provided with respect to: (a) interpretation of the term ‘contractually binding and enforceable obligations’;	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8)) <i>Contractually binding and enforceable obligations</i> 20. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, ‘obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable, with full recourse to debtors and, where applicable, guarantors’ should be understood to refer to all obligations contained in the contractual specification of the underlying exposures that are relevant to investors because they affect any obligations by the debtor and, where applicable, the guarantor to make payments or provide security.		

12	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts, relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	STS criteria	
	12. The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See Section Credit Card Portfolio - Billing and Payment which describes the payments required.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8	
	30 (b) a non-exhaustive list of examples of exposures types that should be considered to have defined periodic payment streams. The individual examples are without prejudice to applicable requirements, such as the requirement with respect to the defaulted exposures in accordance with Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and the requirement with respect to the residual value in accordance with Article 20(13) of that regulation.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8	
	<i>Exposures with periodic payment streams</i>	
	21. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures with defined periodic payment streams should include:	
	(a) exposures payable in a single instalment in the case of revolving securitisation, as referred to in Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;	
	(b) exposures related to credit card facilities;	
	(c) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and where the principal is repaid at the maturity, including interest-only mortgages;	
	(d) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and repayment of a portion of the principal, where either of the following conditions is met:	
	(i) the remaining principal is repaid at the maturity;	
	(ii) the repayment of the principal is dependent on the sale of assets securing the exposure, in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and paragraphs 47 to 49;	
	(e) exposures with temporary payment holidays as contractually agreed between the debtor and the lender.	

13	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underlying exposures shall have defined periodic payment streams, the instalments of which may differ in their amounts, relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	STS criteria	
	13. Relating to rental, principal, or interest payments, or to any other right to receive income from assets supporting such payments. The underlying exposures may also generate proceeds from the sale of any financed or leased assets.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	As 12 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))	

30 (b) a non-exhaustive list of examples of exposures types that should be considered to have defined periodic payment streams. The individual examples are without prejudice to applicable requirements, such as the requirement with respect to the defaulted exposures in accordance with Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and the requirement with respect to the residual value in accordance with Article 20(13) of that regulation.	
---	--

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
4.3 Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))	
Exposures with periodic payment streams	
21. For the purposes of Article 20(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures with defined periodic payment streams should include:	
(a) exposures payable in a single instalment in the case of revolving securitisation, as referred to in Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;	
(b) exposures related to credit card facilities;	
(c) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and where the principal is repaid at the maturity, including interest-only mortgages;	
(d) exposures with instalments consisting of interest and repayment of a portion of the principal, where either of the following conditions is met:	
(i) the remaining principal is repaid at the maturity;	
(ii) the repayment of the principal is dependent on the sale of assets securing the exposure, in accordance with Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 and paragraphs 47 to 49;	
(e) exposures with temporary payment holidays as contractually agreed between the debtor and the lender.	

14	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underlying exposures shall not include transferable securities, as defined in Article 4(1), point 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council other than corporate bonds, provided that they are not listed on a trading venue.	
	STS criteria	
	14. The underlying exposures shall not include transferable securities, as defined in Article 4(1), point 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council other than corporate bonds, provided that they are not listed on a trading venue.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section CREDIT CARD PORTOLIO Type of assets in the Securitised Portfolio The Securitised Portfolio is comprised solely of unsecured consumer credit card accounts regulated under the CCA. Minimum monthly payments are required to be made under the card account and such obligations constitute direct obligations of the cardholders. See also the section "The Receivables" and the Securitisation Trust Deed Schedule 8.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Homogeneity, obligations of the underlying exposures, periodic payment streams, no transferable securities (Article 20(8))	
	29. The objective of the criterion specified in the third subparagraph is that the underlying exposures do not include transferable securities, as they may add to the complexity of the transaction and of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

15	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.9. The underlying exposures shall not include any securitisation position.	
	STS criteria	
	15. The underlying exposures shall not include any securitisation position.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Type of assets in the Securitised Portfolio The Securitised Portfolio is comprised solely of unsecured consumer credit card accounts regulated under the CCA. Minimum monthly payments are required to be made under the card account and such obligations constitute direct obligations of the cardholders. See also the ESMA STS Notification STSS28.	
	EBA FINAL NON-ABCP STS GUIDELINES – STATEMENTS ON BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE	
	No resecuritisation (Article 20(9)) 31. The objective of this criterion is to prohibit resecuritisation subject to derogations for certain cases or for resecuritisation as specified in Regulation (EU) 2017/2402. This is a lesson learnt from the financial crisis, when resecuritisations were structured into highly leveraged structures in which notes of lower credit quality could be re-packaged and credit enhanced, resulting in transactions whereby small changes in the credit performance of the underlying assets had severe impacts on the credit quality of the resecuritisation bonds. The modelling of the credit risk arising in these bonds proved very difficult, also due to high levels of correlations arising in the resulting structures. 32. The criterion is deemed sufficiently clear and does not require any further clarification.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

16	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.10. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.	
	STS criteria	
	16. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Section Credit Card Portfolio - Origination and Credit Granting The Securitised Portfolio was originated in the ordinary course of Bank of Scotland or Lloyds Bank's business in accordance with the origination processes set out above which were applied irrespective of whether the Receivables were to be securitised.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10)) 33. The objective of the criterion specified in the first subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to prevent cherry picking and to ensure that the exposures that are to be securitised do not belong to exposure types that are outside the ordinary business of the originator, i.e. types of exposures in which the originator or original lender may have less expertise and/or interest at stake. This criterion is focused on disclosure of changes to the underwriting standards and aims to help the investors assess the underwriting standards pursuant to which the exposures transferred into securitisation have been originated.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

17	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.10. The underlying exposures shall be originated in the ordinary course of the originator's or original lender's business pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.	
	STS criteria	
	17. Pursuant to underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those that the originator or original lender applied at the time of origination to similar exposures that are not securitised.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Section Credit Card Portfolio - Origination and Credit Granting The Securitised Portfolio was originated in the ordinary course of Bank of Scotland or Lloyds Bank's business in accordance with the origination processes set out above which were applied irrespective of whether the Receivables were to be securitised.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10)) 37. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified: (a) the term 'similar exposures', with reference to requirements specified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402; (b) the term 'no less stringent underwriting standards': independently of the guidance provided in these guidelines, it is understood that, in the spirit of restricting the 'originate-to-distribute' model of underwriting, where similar exposures exist on the originator's balance sheet, the underwriting standards that have been applied to the securitised exposures should also have been applied to similar exposures that have not been securitised, i.e. the underwriting standards should have been applied not solely to securitised exposures;	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10)) No less stringent underwriting standards 23. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underwriting standards applied to securitised exposures should be compared to the underwriting standards applied to similar exposures at the time of origination of the securitised exposures. 24. Compliance with this requirement should not require either the originator or the original lender to hold similar exposures on its balance sheet at the time of the selection of the securitised exposures or at the exact time of their securitisation, nor should it require that similar exposures were actually originated at the time of origination of the securitised exposures.		

18	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The underwriting standards pursuant to which the underlying exposures are originated and any material changes from prior underwriting standards shall be fully disclosed to potential investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	18. The underwriting standards pursuant to which the underlying exposures are originated and any material changes from prior underwriting standards shall be fully disclosed to potential investors without undue delay.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See the ESMA STS Notification and STSS29 and Obligation to report under article 7 1 (f) and (g).	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))	

37 (c) clarification of the requirement to disclose material changes from prior underwriting standards to potential investors without undue delay: the guidance clarifies that this requirement should be forward-looking only, referring to material changes to the underwriting standards after the closing of the securitisation. The guidance clarifies the interactions with the requirement for similarity of the underwriting standards set out in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, which requires that all the underlying exposures in securitisation be underwritten according to similar underwriting standards;	
--	--

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
4.4 Underwriting standards, originator’s expertise (Article 20(10))	
Disclosure of material changes from prior underwriting standards	
25. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, material changes to the underwriting standards that are required to be fully disclosed should be understood to be those material changes to the underwriting standards that are applied to the exposures that are transferred to, or assigned by, the SSPE after the closing of the securitisation in the context of portfolio management as referred to in paragraphs 15 and 16.	
26. Changes to such underwriting standards should be deemed material where they refer to either of the following types of changes to the underwriting standards:	
(a) changes which affect the requirement of the similarity of the underwriting standards further specified in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;	
(b) changes which materially affect the overall credit risk or expected average performance of the portfolio of underlying exposures without resulting in substantially different approaches to the assessment of the credit risk associated with the underlying exposures.	
27. The disclosure of all changes to underwriting standards should include an explanation of the purpose of such changes.	
28. With regard to trade receivables that are not originated in the form of a loan, reference to underwriting standards in Article 20(10) should be understood to refer to credit standards applied by the seller to short-term credit generally of the type giving rise to the securitised exposures and proposed to its customers in relation to the sales of its products and services.	

19	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	In the case of securitisations where the underlying exposures are residential loans, the pool of loans shall not include any loan that was marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable intermediaries, were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.	
	STS criteria	
	19. In the case of securitisations where the underlying exposures are residential loans, the pool of loans shall not include any loan that was marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or, where applicable intermediaries, were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	This requirement does not apply to credit cards.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10))	

34. The objective of the criterion specified in the second subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to prohibit the securitisation of self-certified mortgages for STS purposes, given the moral hazard that is inherent in granting such types of loans.	
37 (d) the scope of the criterion with respect to the specific types of residential loans as referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 20(10) and to the nature of the information that should be captured by this criterion;	

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
4.4 Underwriting standards, originator’s expertise (Article 20(10))	
Residential loans	
29. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the pool of underlying exposures should not include residential loans that were both marketed and underwritten on the premise that the loan applicant or intermediaries were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender.	
30. Residential loans that were underwritten but were not marketed on the premise that the loan applicant or intermediaries were made aware that the information provided might not be verified by the lender, or become aware after the loan was underwritten, are not captured by this requirement.	
31. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the ‘information’ provided should be considered to be only relevant information. The relevance of the information should be based on whether the information is a relevant underwriting metric, such as information considered relevant for assessing the creditworthiness of a borrower, for assessing access to collateral and for reducing the risk of fraud.	
32. Relevant information for general non-income-generating residential mortgages should normally be considered to constitute income, and relevant information for income-generating residential mortgages should normally be considered to constitute rental income. Information that is not useful as an underwriting metric, such as mobile phone numbers, should not be considered relevant information.	

20	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness shall meet the requirements set out in Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU or, where applicable, equivalent requirements in third countries.	
	STS criteria	
	20. The assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness shall meet the requirements set out in Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5, and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU or, where applicable, equivalent requirements in third countries.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See the ESMA STS Notification STSS29 See also the section on CREDIT CARD PORTOFLIO – Origination and Credit Granting.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Underwriting standards (Article 20(10)) 35. The objective of the criterion specified in the third subparagraph of Article 20(10) is to ensure that the assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness is based on robust processes. It is expected that the application of this article will be limited in practice, given that the STS is limited to originators based in the EU, and the criterion is understood to cover only exposures originated by the EU originators to borrowers in non-EU countries. 37 (e) clarification of the criterion with respect to the assessment of a borrower's creditworthiness based on equivalent requirements in third countries;	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

21	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	The originator or original lender shall have expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.	
	STS criteria	
	21. The originator or original lender shall have expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank</p> <p>Lloyds Banking Group's credit card division ("LBG Credit Card Division") was formed in August 2009 following the merger for administrative purposes of the HBOS Card Services division, and the Lloyds TSB Card Services division. Although legal ownership of the credit card accounts was unaffected and remains with each of Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank, respectively, the LBG Credit Card Division has responsibility for all Bank of Scotland originated accounts, and all Lloyds Bank originated accounts. Currently LBG Credit Card Division only offers credit card products and services to individuals and businesses in the United Kingdom.</p> <p>See also the ESMA STS Notification – STSS30.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Underwriting standards(Article 20(10))</p> <p>36. The objective of the criterion specified in the fourth subparagraph of Article 20(10) is for the originator or original lender to have an established performance history of credit claims or receivables similar to those being securitised, and for an appropriately long period of time.</p> <p>37 (f) identification of criteria on which the expertise of the originator or the original lender should be determined:</p> <p>(i) when assessing if the originator or the original lender has the required expertise, some general principles should be set out against which the expertise should be assessed. The general principles have been designed to allow a robust qualitative assessment of the expertise. One of these principles is the regulatory authorisation: this is to allow for more flexibility in such qualitative assessments of the expertise if the originator or the original lender is a prudentially regulated institution which holds regulatory authorisations or permissions that are relevant with respect to origination of similar exposures. The regulatory authorisation in itself should, however, not be a guarantee that the originator or original lender has the required expertise;</p> <p>(ii) irrespective of such general principles, specific criteria should be developed, based on specifying a minimum period for an entity to perform the business of originating similar exposures, compliance with which would enable the entity to be considered to have a sufficient expertise. Such expertise should be assessed at the group level, so that possible restructuring at the entity level would not automatically lead to non-compliance with the expertise criterion. It is not the intention of such specific criteria to form an impediment to the entry of new participants to the market. Such entities should also be eligible for compliance with the expertise criterion, as long as their management body and senior staff with managerial responsibility for origination of similar exposures, have sufficient experience over a minimum specified period.</p> <p>38. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>4.4 Underwriting standards, originator's expertise (Article 20(10))</p> <p>Similar exposures</p> <p>22. For the purposes of Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures should be considered to be similar when one of the following conditions is met:</p> <p>(a) the exposures belong to one of the following asset categories referred to in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402:</p> <p>(i) residential loans secured with one or several mortgages on residential immovable property, or residential loans fully guaranteed by an eligible protection provider among those referred to in</p>	

Article 201(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 qualifying for credit quality step 2 or above as set out in Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2 of that regulation;

(ii) commercial loans secured with one or several mortgages on commercial immovable property or other commercial premises;

(iii) credit facilities provided to individuals for personal, family or household consumption purposes;

(iv) auto loans and leases;

(v) credit card receivables;

(vi) trade receivables;

(b) the exposures fall under the asset category of credit facilities provided to micro-, small-, medium-sized and other types of enterprises and corporates including loans and leases, as referred to in Article 2(d) of the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous in accordance with Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, as underlying exposures of a certain type of obligor;

(c) where they do not belong to any of the asset categories referred to in points (a) and (b) of this paragraph and as referred to in the Delegated Regulation further specifying which underlying exposures are deemed to be homogeneous for the purposes of Articles 20(8) and 24(15) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures share similar characteristics with respect to the type of obligor, ranking of security rights, type of immovable property and/or jurisdiction.

Criteria for determining the expertise of the originator or original lender

34. For the purposes of determining whether an originator or original lender has expertise in originating exposures of a similar nature to those securitised in accordance with Article 20(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, both of the following should apply:

(a) the members of the management body of the originator or original lender and the senior staff, other than the members of the management body, responsible for managing the originating of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised should have adequate knowledge and skills in the origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised;

(b) any of the following principles on the quality of the expertise should be taken into account:

(i) the role and duties of the members of the management body and the senior staff and the required capabilities should be adequate;

(ii) the experience of the members of the management body and the senior staff gained in previous positions, education and training should be sufficient;

(iii) the involvement of the members of the management body and the senior staff within the governance structure of the function of originating the exposures should be appropriate;

(iv) in the case of a prudentially regulated entity, the regulatory authorisations or permissions held by the entity should be deemed relevant to origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.

35. An originator or original lender should be deemed to have the required expertise when either of the following applies:

(a) the business of the entity, or of the consolidated group to which the entity belongs for accounting or prudential purposes, has included the originating of exposures similar to those securitised, for at least five years;

(b) where the requirement referred to in point (a) is not met, the originator or original lender should be deemed to have the required expertise where they comply with both of the following:

(i) at least two of the members of the management body have relevant professional experience in the origination of exposures similar to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years;

(ii) senior staff, other than members of the management body, who are responsible for managing the entity's originating of exposures similar to those securitised, have relevant professional experience in the origination of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years.

36. For the purposes of demonstrating the number of years of professional experience, the relevant expertise should be disclosed in sufficient detail and in accordance with the applicable confidentiality requirements to permit investors to carry out their obligations under Article 5(3)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

22	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:	
	STS criteria	
	22. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay ...	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section "The Penarth Receivables Trust" which describes the designation of accounts and assignment of receivables. See also the Receivables Securitisation Deed which provides for representations given at the time of assignment with respect to eligible receivables. This occurs on the same day.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

23	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	20.11 The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:
	STS criteria
	23. And shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013...
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>See section The Receivables and Servicing of Receivables – Eligibility Criteria.</p> <p>Only Receivables that meet specified conditions will be added to the Securitised Portfolio. Those conditions, broadly, include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that the Receivable is not a Defaulted Receivable; <p>See section Redesignation of Accounts which are Credit Impaired and/or Defaulted for the purposes of the LCR Regulation and/or STS Securitisations for a definition of Defaulted Account which states:</p> <p>"Defaulted Account" means an Account which, is in "default" within the meaning of Article 13(2)(k) of the LCR Regulation and/or Article 20(11) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>Relevant definitions from the MFA</p> <p>"Defaulted Account" shall mean each Account with respect to which, in accordance with the Credit Card Guidelines or the Servicer's customary and usual servicing procedures for servicing credit card receivables comparable to the Receivables assigned to or held in trust for the Receivables Trustee, the Servicer acting in its capacity as such pursuant to the Receivables Trust Deed and Servicing Agreement has written-off the Receivables in such Account as uncollectible;</p> <p>"Default Amounts" shall mean, with respect to any Defaulted Account, the Outstanding Face Amount of Principal Receivables (other than Ineligible Receivables) in such Defaulted Account on the day such Account became a Defaulted Account and the words "Default Amount" shall be construed accordingly;</p> <p>"Defaulted Receivable" means any Receivable on a Defaulted Account"</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))</p> <p>39. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(11) is to ensure that STS securitisations are not characterised by underlying exposures whose credit risk has already been affected by certain negative events such as disputes with credit-impaired debtors or guarantors, debt restructuring processes or default events as identified by the EU prudential regulation. Risk analysis and due diligence assessments by investors become more complex whenever the securitisation includes exposures subject to certain ongoing negative credit risk developments. For the same reasons, STS securitisations should not include underlying exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have an adverse credit history. In addition, significant risk of default normally rises as rating grades or other scores are assigned that indicate highly speculative credit quality and high likelihood of default, i.e. the possibility that the debtor or guarantor is not able to meet its obligations becomes a real possibility. Such exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors should therefore also not be eligible for STS purposes.</p> <p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) Interpretation of the term 'exposures in default': given the differences in interpretation of the term 'default', the interpretation of this criterion should refer to additional guidance on this term provided in the existing delegated regulations and guidelines developed by the EBA, while taking into account the limitation of scope of that additional guidance to certain types of institutions;</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Exposures in default

37. For the purposes of the first subparagraph of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the exposures in default should be interpreted in the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) 575/2013, as further specified by the Delegated Regulation on the materiality threshold for credit obligations past due developed in accordance with Article 178 of that Regulation, and by the EBA Guidelines on the application of the definition of default developed in accordance with Article 178(7) of that regulation.

38. Where an originator or original lender is not an institution and is therefore not subject to Regulation (EU) 575/2013, the originator or original lender should comply with the guidance provided in the previous paragraph to the extent that such application is not deemed to be unduly burdensome. In that case, the originator or original lender should apply the established processes and the information obtained from debtors on origination of the exposures, information obtained from the originator in the course of its servicing of the exposures or in the course of its risk management procedure or information notified to the originator by a third party.

24	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge :
	STS criteria
	24. Or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best knowledge of the originator or original lender [...]
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>See section Redesignation of Accounts which are Credit Impaired and/or Defaulted for the purposes of the LCR Regulation and/or STS Securitisations.</p> <p>The Transferor has also undertaken, pursuant to an undertaking given to the Beneficiaries dated 26 February 2016 (as amended and restated on the Novation Date), not to include Accounts which it considers to be Credit Impaired Accounts or Defaulted Accounts in any Offer to the Receivables Trustee after the Novation Date"</p> <p>"Credit Impaired Account" means an Account with an Obligor who is a "credit-impaired obligor" within the meaning of Article 13(2)(j) of the LCR Regulation and/or Article 20(11) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>The note below applies to points from 24 to 29.</p> <p>Although the text of the STS Regulation is quite vague, the EBA guidelines on defining "credit impaired" debtors are very helpful.</p> <p>For PCS, the key points of the EBA guidelines on this issue are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>First</u> that the three listed conditions of credit impaired status (set out in article 20.11 (a) to (c) of the Regulation) amount to a full definition of what it means to be "credit impaired". So that it is not necessary to reflect at what the term "credit impaired" could mean above and beyond those three items. b. <u>Secondly</u>, in relation to entries in a credit registry, the EBA is very clear that the criterion should not be interpreted as excluding debtors with any entry on a credit registry. Providing further guidance, the example given in the EBA Guidelines of a credit registry entry that would not be indicative of a "credit impaired" debtor is the example of a failure to pay that can "reasonably be ignored" for the purposes of credit assessment. <p>Therefore, the criterion, to be met, does not require the elimination from the pool of all debtors with any negative entry in a credit registry but only those whose entries it would not be reasonable to ignore for the purposes of credit assessments.</p> <p>Absent any further clarification from the EBA or a national competent authority regarding what it is reasonable to ignore, a judgement would still be necessary in cases where the originator does include in the pool some debtors with some negative entries in a credit registry.</p> <p>In making this judgement, PCS takes comfort from the intent of the legislators – including, crucially, the legislators' belief that the STS Regulation was justified by the excellent performance of most "plain vanilla" European securitisation. It is clear to PCS that the "credit impaired" prohibition is driven by the desire of legislators to exclude from the STS category deals generally coming under the definition of "sub-prime". Therefore, it is unreasonable to refuse STS status to a transaction considered by universal consensus to be a "prime/plain vanilla" transaction with no "sub-prime" aspects. Indeed, this approach seems to be the rationale behind the EBA Guidelines on this matter.</p> <p>To determine whether this requirement is met, PCS has discussed this matter with the Seller and uses its knowledge of the market and market stakeholders as well as the explicit statements made in the prospectus and transaction documentation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. <u>Thirdly</u>, the EBA Guidelines on guaranteed obligations make it clear that the criterion is met so long as either the debtor or the guarantor are not "credit impaired".
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale

No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

39. The objective of the criterion in Article 20(11) is to ensure that STS securitisations are not characterised by underlying exposures whose credit risk has already been affected by certain negative events such as disputes with credit-impaired debtors or guarantors, debt-restructuring processes or default events as identified by the EU prudential regulation. Risk analysis and due diligence assessments by investors become more complex whenever the securitisation includes exposures subject to certain ongoing negative credit risk developments. For the same reasons, STS securitisations should not include underlying exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have an adverse credit history. In addition, significant risk of default normally rises as rating grades or other scores are assigned that indicate highly speculative credit quality and high likelihood of default, i.e. the possibility that the debtor or guarantor is not able to meet its obligations becomes a real possibility. Such exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors should therefore also not be eligible for STS purposes.

40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:

(b) Interpretation of the term 'exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor': the interpretation should also take into account the interpretation provided in recital 26 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, according to which the circumstances specified in points (a) to (c) of Article 24(9) of that regulation are understood as specific situations of credit-impairedness to which exposures in the STS securitisation may not be exposed. Consequently, other possible circumstances of credit-impairedness that are not captured in points (a) to (c) should be outside the scope of this requirement. Moreover, taking into account the role of the guarantor as a risk bearer, it should be clarified that the requirement to exclude 'exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor' is not meant to exclude (i) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor when it has a guarantor that is not credit impaired; or (ii) exposures to a non-credit-impaired debtor when there is a credit-impaired guarantor;

(c) Interpretation of the term 'to the best knowledge of': the interpretation should follow the wording of recital 26 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, according to which an originator or original lender is not required to take all legally possible steps to determine the debtor's credit status but is only required to take those steps that the originator/original lender usually takes within its activities in terms of origination, servicing, risk management and use of information that is received from third parties. This should not require the originator or original lender to check publicly available information, or to check entries in at least one credit registry where an originator or original lender does not conduct such checks within its regular activities in terms of origination, servicing, risk management and use of information received from third parties, but rather relies, for example, on other information that may include credit assessments provided by third parties. Such clarification is important because corporates that are not subject to EU financial sector regulation and that are acting as sellers with respect to STS securitisation may not always check entries in credit registries and, in line with the best knowledge standard, should not be obliged to perform additional checks at origination of any exposure for the purposes of later fulfilling this criterion in terms of any credit-impaired debtors or guarantors;

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor

39. For the purposes of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the circumstances specified in points (a) to (c) of that paragraph should be understood as definitions of credit-impairedness. Other possible circumstances of credit-impairedness that are not captured in points (a) to (c) should be considered to be excluded from this requirement.

40. The prohibition of the selection and transfer to SSPE of underlying exposures 'to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor' as referred to in Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be understood as the requirement that, at the time of selection, there should be a recourse for the full securitised exposure amount to at least one non-credit-impaired party, irrespective of whether that party is a debtor or a guarantor. Therefore, the underlying exposures should not include either of the following:

- (a) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor, when there is no guarantor for the full securitised exposure amount;
- (b) exposures to a credit-impaired debtor who has a credit-impaired guarantor.

To the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge

41. For the purposes of Article 20(11) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the 'best knowledge' standard should be considered to be fulfilled on the basis of information obtained only from any of the following combinations of sources and circumstances:

- (a) debtors on origination of the exposures;
- (b) the originator in the course of its servicing of the exposures or in the course of its risk management procedures;
- (c) notifications to the originator by a third party;
- (d) publicly available information or information on any entries in one or more credit registries of persons with adverse credit history at the time of origination of an underlying exposure, only to the extent that this information had already been taken into account in the context of (a), (b) and (c), and in accordance with the applicable regulatory and supervisory requirements, including with respect

	to sound credit granting criteria as specified in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402. This is with the exception of trade receivables that are not originated in the form of a loan, with respect to which credit-granting criteria do not need to be met.
--	--

25	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge (a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.
	STS criteria
	25.(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination.
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	See point 24 above.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

26	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>
	STS criteria
	26. Or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	See point 24 above.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(d) Interpretation of the criterion with respect to the debtors and guarantors found on the credit registry: it is important to interpret this requirement in a narrow sense to ensure that the existence of a debtor or guarantor on the credit registry of persons with adverse credit history should not automatically exclude the exposure to that debtor/guarantor from compliance with this criterion. It is understood that this criterion should relate only to debtors and guarantors that are, at the time of origination of the exposure, considered entities with adverse credit history. Existence on a credit registry at the time of origination of the exposure for reasons that can be reasonably ignored for the purposes of the credit risk assessment (for example due to missed payments which have been resolved in the next two payment periods) should not be captured by this requirement. Therefore, this criterion should not automatically exclude from the STS framework exposures to all entities that are on the credit registries, taking into account that this would unintentionally exclude a significant number of entities given that different practices exist across EU jurisdictions with respect to entry requirements of such credit registries, and the fact that credit registries in some jurisdictions may contain both positive and negative information about the clients;</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors that have undergone a debt-restructuring process

42. For the purposes of Article 20(11)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the requirement to exclude exposures to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors who have undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to their non-performing exposures should be understood to refer to both the restructured exposures of the respective debtor or guarantor and those of its exposures that were not themselves subject to restructuring. For the purposes of this Article, restructured exposures which meet the conditions of points (i) and (ii) of that Article should not result in a debtor or guarantor becoming designated as credit-impaired.

27	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge:</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>
	STS criteria
	27. (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	See 24 above.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

28	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>
	STS criteria
	28. (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring;
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	See point 24 above and not applicable as there are no receivables from restructured obligors assigned.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

29	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender; or</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>29. (b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender;</p>
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	See point 24 above.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(d) Interpretation of the criterion with respect to the debtors and guarantors found on the credit registry: it is important to interpret this requirement in a narrow sense to ensure that the existence of a debtor or guarantor on the credit registry of persons with adverse credit history should not automatically exclude the exposure to that debtor/guarantor from compliance with this criterion. It is understood that this criterion should relate only to debtors and guarantors that are, at the time of origination of the exposure, considered entities with adverse credit history. Existence on a credit registry at the time of origination of the exposure for reasons that can be reasonably ignored for the purposes of the credit risk assessment (for example due to missed payments which have been resolved in the next two payment periods) should not be captured by this requirement. Therefore, this criterion should not automatically exclude from the STS framework exposures to all entities that are on the credit registries, taking into account that this would unintentionally exclude a significant number of entities given that different practices exist across EU jurisdictions with respect to entry requirements of such credit registries, and the fact that credit registries in some jurisdictions may contain both positive and negative information about the clients;</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Credit registry

43. The requirement referred to in Article 20(11)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be limited to exposures to debtors or guarantors to which both of the following requirements apply at the time of origination of the underlying exposure:

- (a) the debtor or guarantor is explicitly flagged in a credit registry as an entity with adverse credit history due to negative status or negative information stored in the credit registry;
- (b) the debtor or guarantor is on the credit registry for reasons that are relevant to the purposes of the credit risk assessment.

30	Legislative text
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity
	<p>20.11. The underlying exposures shall be transferred to the SSPE after selection without undue delay and shall not include, at the time of selection, exposures in default within the meaning of Article 178(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 or exposures to a credit-impaired debtor or guarantor, who, to the best of the originator's or original lender's knowledge</p> <p>(a) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE, except if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a restructured underlying exposure has not presented new arrears since the date of the restructuring which must have taken place at least one year prior to the date of transfer or assignment of the underlying exposures to the SSPE; and (ii) the information provided by the originator, sponsor and SSPE in accordance with points (a) and (e)(i) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) explicitly sets out the proportion of restructured underlying exposures, the time and details of the restructuring as well as their performance since the date of the restructuring; <p>(b) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to the originator or original lender;</p> <p>(c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>30. (c) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not be made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised.</p>
	Verified?
	PCS Comment
	<p>See 24 above.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))
	<p>40. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(e) Interpretation of the term 'significantly higher risk of contractually agreed payments not being made for comparable exposures': the term should be interpreted with a similar meaning to the requirement aiming to prevent adverse selection of assets referred to in Article 6(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, and further specified in the Article 16(2) of the Delegated Regulation specifying in greater detail the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/24027, given that in both cases the requirement (i) aims to prevent adverse selection of underlying exposures and (ii) relates to the comparison of the credit quality of exposures transferred to the SSPE and comparable exposures that remain on the originator's balance sheet. To facilitate the interpretation, a list is given of examples of how to achieve compliance with the requirement.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

4.5 No exposures in default and to credit-impaired debtors/guarantors (Article 20(11))

Risk of contractually agreed payments not being made being significantly higher than for comparable exposures

44. For the purposes of Article 20(11)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the exposures should not be considered to have a 'credit assessment of a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable exposures held by the originator which are not securitised' when the following conditions apply:

- (a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;
- (b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.

45. The requirement in the previous paragraph should be considered to have been met where either of the following applies:

- (a) the underlying exposures do not include exposures that are classified as doubtful, impaired, non-performing or classified to the similar effect under the relevant accounting principles;
- (b) the underlying exposures do not include exposures whose credit quality, based on credit ratings or other credit quality thresholds, significantly differs from the credit quality of comparable exposures that the originator originates in the course of its standard lending operations and credit risk strategy.

31	Legislative text	
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity	
	20.12. The debtors shall at the time of transfer of the exposures, have made at least one payment, except in the case of revolving securitisations backed by exposures payable in a single instalment or having a maturity of less than one year, including without limitation monthly payments on revolving credits.	
	STS criteria	
	31. The debtors shall at the time of transfer of the exposures, have made at least one payment, except in the case of revolving securitisations backed by exposures payable in a single instalment or having a maturity of less than one year, including without limitation monthly payments on revolving credits.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Exception applies to credit card accounts and receivables.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	At least one payment made (Article 20(12)) 41. STS securitisations should minimise the extent to which investors are required to analyse and assess fraud and operational risk. At least one payment should therefore be made by each underlying borrower at the time of transfer, since this reduces the likelihood of the loan being subject to fraud or operational issues, unless in the case of revolving securitisations in which the distribution of securitised exposures is subject to constant changes because the securitisation relates to exposures payable in a single instalment or with an initial legal maturity of an exposure of below one year. 42. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, its scope and the types of payments referred to therein should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	4.6 At least one payment made (Article 20(12))	
	Scope of the criterion	
	46. For the purposes of Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, further advances in terms of an exposure to a certain borrower should not be deemed to trigger a new 'at least one payment' requirement with respect to such an exposure.	
	At least one payment	
	47. For the purposes of Article 20(12) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the payment referred to in the requirement according to which 'at least one payment' should have been made at the time of transfer should be a rental, principal or interest payment or any other kind of payment.	

32	Legislative text		
	Article 20 - Requirements relating to simplicity		
	<p>20.13. The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions shall not have been structured to depend predominantly on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures. This shall not prevent such assets from being subsequently rolled-over or refinanced.</p> <p>The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions whose underlying exposures are secured by assets the value of which is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation by the seller of the assets securing the underlying exposures or by another third party shall not be considered to depend on the sale of assets securing those underlying exposures.</p>		
	STS criteria		
	32. The repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions shall not have been structured to depend predominantly on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures.		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="210 488 1151 512">Verified?</td><td data-bbox="1151 488 2119 512">Yes</td></tr> </table>	Verified?	Yes
Verified?	Yes		
	PCS Comment		
	<p>In PCS' view, this requirement does not apply to unsecured credit cards as in this Transaction.</p> <p>Accordingly, none of the assets in the pool display any predominant reliance on the sale of the assets.</p>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	<p>No predominant dependence on the sale of assets (Article 20(13))</p> <p>43. Dependence of the repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures increases the liquidity risks, market risks and maturity transformation risks to which the securitisation is exposed. It also makes the credit risk of the securitisation more difficult for investors to model and assess.</p> <p>44. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that the repayment of the principal balance of exposures at the contract maturity – and therefore repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions – is not intended to be predominantly reliant on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures, unless the value of the assets is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation.</p> <p>45. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) the term 'predominant dependence' on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures should be further interpreted:</p> <p>(i) when assessing whether the repayment of the holders of the securitisation positions is or is not predominantly dependent on the sale of assets, the following three aspects should be taken into account: (i) the principal balance at contract maturity of underlying exposures that depend on the sale of assets securing those underlying exposures to repay the balance; (ii) the distribution of maturities of such exposures across the life of the transaction, which aims to reduce the risk of correlated defaults due to idiosyncratic shocks; and (iii) the granularity of the pool of exposures, which aims to promote sufficient distribution in sale dates and other characteristics that may affect the sale of the underlying exposures.</p> <p>(i) no types of securitisations should be excluded ex ante from the compliance with this criterion and from the STS securitisation as long as they meet all the requirements specified in the guidance. For example, this criterion does not aim to exclude leasing transactions and interest-only residential mortgages from STS securitisation, provided they comply with the guidance provided and all other applicable STS requirements. However, it is expected that commercial real estate transactions, or securitisations where the assets are commodities (e.g. oil, grain, gold), or bonds whose maturity dates fall after the maturity date of the securitisation, would not meet these requirements, as in all these cases it is expected that the repayment is predominantly reliant on the sale of the assets, that other possible ways to repay the securitisation positions are substantially limited, and that the granularity of the portfolio is low.</p> <p>46. With respect to the exemption provided in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, it should be ensured that the entity providing the guarantee or the repurchase obligation of the assets securing the underlying exposures is not an empty-shell or defaulted entity, so that it has sufficient loss absorbency to exercise the guarantee of the repurchase of the assets.</p>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

4.7 No Predominant dependence on the sale of assets

Predominant dependence on the sale of assets

48. For the purposes of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, transactions where all of the following conditions apply, at the time of origination of the securitisation in cases of amortising securitisation or during the revolving period in cases of revolving securitisation, should be considered not predominantly dependent on the sale of assets securing the underlying exposures, and therefore allowed:

- (a) the contractually agreed outstanding principal balance, at contract maturity of the underlying exposures that depend on the sale of the assets securing those underlying exposures to repay the principal balance, corresponds to no more than 50% of the total initial exposure value of all securitisation positions of the securitisation;
- (b) the maturities of the underlying exposures referred to in point (a) are not subject to material concentrations and are sufficiently distributed across the life of the transaction;
- (c) the aggregate exposure value of all the underlying exposures referred to in point (a) to a single obligor does not exceed 2% of the aggregate exposure value of all underlying exposures in the securitisation.

49. Where there are no underlying exposures in the securitisation that depend on the sale of assets to repay their outstanding principal balance at contract maturity, the requirements in paragraph 48 should not apply.

Exemption provided in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402

50. The exemption referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 20(13) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 with regard to the repayment of holders of securitisation positions whose underlying exposures are secured by assets, the value of which is guaranteed or fully mitigated by a repurchase obligation of either the assets securing the underlying exposures or of the underlying exposures themselves by another third party or parties, the seller or the third parties should meet both of the following conditions:

- (a) they are not insolvent;
- (b) there is no reason to believe that the entity would not be able to meet its obligations under the guarantee or the repurchase obligation.

20.14. EBA, in close cooperation with ESMA and EIOPA, shall develop draft regulatory standards further specifying which underlying exposures referred to in paragraph 8 are deemed to be homogeneous. EBA shall submit those draft regulatory standards to the Commission by 18 July 2018.

The Commission is empowered to supplement this Regulation by adopting the regulatory technical standards referred to in this paragraph in accordance with the procedure laid down in Articles 10 to 14 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.

33	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.1. The originator, sponsor or original lender shall satisfy the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6.	
	STS criteria	
	33.The originator, sponsor or original lender shall satisfy the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See section Regulatory Disclosure:</p> <p>Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>The Transferor Beneficiary (as originator for the purposes of the Securitisation Regulation) will:</p> <p>(a) retain, on an ongoing basis, a material net economic interest of not less than 5 per cent. in the securitisation as required by Article 6(1) of the Securitisation Regulation;</p> <p>(b) at all relevant times comply with the requirements of Article 7(l)(e)(iii) of the Securitisation Regulation by confirming in the investor reports the risk retention of the seller as contemplated by Article 6(1) of the Securitisation Regulation;</p> <p>(c) not change the manner in which it retains such material net economic interest, except to the extent permitted by the Securitisation Regulation; and</p> <p>(d) not sell, hedge or otherwise enter into any credit risk mitigation, short position or any other credit risk hedge with respect to its retained material net economic interest, except to the extent permitted by the Securitisation Regulation.</p> <p>The Transferor Beneficiary intends to retain a material net economic interest of not less than 5 per cent. in the securitisation by way of a retention in accordance with paragraph 3(b) of Article 6. Any change to the manner in which such interest is held will be notified to noteholders in accordance with the conditions.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Risk retention (Article 21(1))</p> <p>47. The main objective of the risk retention criterion is to ensure an alignment between the originators'/sponsors'/original lenders' and investors' interests, and to avoid application of the originate-to-distribute model in securitisation.</p> <p>48. The content of the criterion is deemed sufficiently clear that no further guidance in addition to that provided by the Delegated Regulation further specifying the risk retention requirement in accordance with Article 6(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is considered necessary.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

34	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	STS criteria	
	34. The interest rate [risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated].	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>No hedging required for Series 2019-1 as the Class A1, A2, and A3 Notes are Sterling floating rate notes. The relevant Loan Notes are also Sterling floating rate.</p> <p>See also section Credit Card Portfolio – Billing and Payment</p> <p>Various charges and fees are assessed on card accounts in accordance with the terms and conditions of the product held. The key fees include finance charges, cash advance handling fees, late payment fees, overlimit fees, balance transfer fees, foreign exchange fees and insurance premiums.</p> <p>The interest rates on Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank credit card accounts may be changed by Bank of Scotland or Lloyds Bank (as applicable) and approximately 90 per cent. are linked to the Bank of England Base Rate. At the moment, the standard annual percentage rate of charge for purchases on accounts ranges from 3.95 per cent. to 30.20 per cent. (excluding introductory offers). Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank may sometimes offer temporary promotional rates. Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank also offer activation programs and other incentives.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term ‘common standards in international finance’.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))

Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks

51. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 in order for the interest-rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation to be considered 'appropriately mitigated', it should be sufficient that a hedge or mitigation is in place, on condition that it is not unusually limited with the effect that it covers a major share of the respective interest-rate or currency risks under relevant scenarios, understood from an economic perspective. Such a mitigation may also be in the form of derivatives or other mitigating measures including reserve funds, over collateralisation, excess spread or other measures.

52. Where the appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks is carried out through derivatives, all of the following requirements should apply:

- (a) the derivatives should be used only for genuine hedging of asset and liability mismatches of interest rates and currencies, and should not be used for speculative purposes;
- (b) the derivatives should be based on commonly accepted documentation, including International Swaps or Derivatives Association (ISDA) or similar established national documentation standards;
- (c) the derivative documentation should provide, in the event of the loss of sufficient creditworthiness of the counterparty below a certain level, measured either on the basis of the credit rating or otherwise, that the counterparty is subject to collateralisation requirements or makes a reasonable effort for its replacement or guarantee by another counterparty.

53. Where the mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks referred to in Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is carried out not through derivatives but by other risk-mitigating measures, those measures should be designed to be sufficiently robust. When such risk-mitigating measures are used to mitigate multiple risks at the same time, the disclosure required by Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should include an explanation of how the measures hedge the interest-rate risks and currency risks on one hand, and other risks on the other hand.

35	Legislative text		
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.		
	STS criteria		
	35. Currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated.		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="210 400 1153 432">Verified?</td><td data-bbox="1153 400 2119 432">Yes</td></tr> </table>	Verified?	Yes
Verified?	Yes		
	PCS Comment		
	See Description of the Swap Agreements in the base prospectus.		
	In addition, see section Description of the A1 Swap Agreements in the Final Terms of the Series 2019-1 Class A1 notes.		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks; (b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion; (c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'. 		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21(2))</p> <p><i>Appropriate mitigation of interest - rate and currency risks</i></p> <p>51. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 in order for the interest-rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation to be considered 'appropriately mitigated', it should be sufficient that a hedge or mitigation is in place, on condition that it is not unusually limited with the effect that it covers a major share of the respective interest-rate or currency risks under relevant scenarios, understood from an economic perspective. Such a mitigation may also be in the form of derivatives or other mitigating measures including reserve funds, over collateralisation, excess spread or other measures.</p> <p>52. Where the appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks is carried out through derivatives, all of the following requirements should apply:</p>		

- (a) the derivatives should be used only for genuine hedging of asset and liability mismatches of interest rates and currencies, and should not be used for speculative purposes;
 - (b) the derivatives should be based on commonly accepted documentation, including International Swaps or Derivatives Association (ISDA) or similar established national documentation standards;
 - (c) the derivative documentation should provide, in the event of the loss of sufficient creditworthiness of the counterparty below a certain level, measured either on the basis of the credit rating or otherwise, that the counterparty is subject to collateralisation requirements or makes a reasonable effort for its replacement or guarantee by another counterparty.
53. Where the mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks referred to in Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 is carried out not through derivatives but by other risk-mitigating measures, those measures should be designed to be sufficiently robust. When such risk-mitigating measures are used to mitigate multiple risks at the same time, the disclosure required by Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should include an explanation of how the measures hedge the interest-rate risks and currency risks on one hand, and other risks on the other hand.
54. The measures referred to in paragraphs 52 and 53, as well as the reasoning supporting the appropriateness of the mitigation of the interest-rate and currency risks through the life of the transaction, should be disclosed.

36	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.2. The interest rate and currency risks arising from the securitisation shall be appropriately mitigated and any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	STS criteria	
	36. Any measures taken to that effect shall be disclosed.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section Swap Agreements in the base prospectus together with the Description of the A1 Swap Agreements in the Final Terms of the Series 2019-1 Class A1 notes.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term ‘common standards in international finance’.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>54. The measures referred to in paragraphs 52 and 53, as well as the reasoning supporting the appropriateness of the mitigation of the interest-rate and currency risks through the life of the transaction, should be disclosed.</p>	

37	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.	
	STS criteria	
	37. Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and...	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Terms and Conditions of the Notes 5 – Negative Covenants of the Issuer See also STS Notification STSS 36.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term ‘common standards in international finance’.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))***Derivatives***

55. For the purpose of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures in the pool of underlying exposures that merely contain a derivative component exclusively serving the purpose of directly hedging the interest-rate or currency risk of the respective underlying exposure itself, which are not themselves derivatives, should not be understood to be prohibited.

38	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	<p>Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>
	STS criteria
	38. ...Shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives.
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>See Section The Receivables and Servicing of Receivables – Representations and Eligibility Criteria and the Receivables Trust Deed (RSD) Schedule 8 Eligibility Criteria only allow for the offer of Eligible Accounts / Receivables derived from credit cards originated by the Bank of Scotland and Lloyds.</p> <p>See section CREDIT CARD PORTFOLIO.</p> <p>Type of assets in the Securitised Portfolio</p> <p>The Securitised Portfolio is comprised solely of unsecured consumer credit card accounts regulated under the CCA. Minimum monthly payments are required to be made under the card account and such obligations constitute direct obligations of the cardholders. The Securitised Portfolio does not include any securitisation positions.</p> <p>See also the STS Notification SSTSS36.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p>

	(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p><i>Derivatives</i></p> <p>55. For the purpose of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, exposures in the pool of underlying exposures that merely contain a derivative component exclusively serving the purpose of directly hedging the interest-rate or currency risk of the respective underlying exposure itself, which are not themselves derivatives, should not be understood to be prohibited.</p>

39	Legislative text		
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation		
	<p>Except for the purpose of hedging currency risk or interest rate risk, the SSPE shall not enter into derivative contracts and shall ensure that the pool of underlying exposures does not include derivatives. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.</p>		
	STS criteria		
	39. Those derivatives shall be underwritten and documented according to common standards in international finance.		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="210 440 1151 466">Verified?</td><td data-bbox="1151 440 2119 466">Yes</td></tr> </table>	Verified?	Yes
Verified?	Yes		
	PCS Comment		
	<p>DESCRIPTION OF THE SWAP AGREEMENTS</p> <p>The Issuer may enter into Swap Agreements with the Swap Counterparty for each Note Series where the notes are issued in a currency other than Sterling or pay a fixed rate of interest, or a floating rate of interest different to the floating rate applicable to the relevant Loan Note, under separate ISDA master agreements (and the schedules and confirmations relating thereto) for any such Note Series requiring a swap, as the same may be amended and/or supplemented each between the Issuer and the Swap Counterparty.</p> <p>"Swap Agreement" means the relevant currency swap agreement and/or the interest swap agreement in respect of a Note Series, in each case, in the form of an ISDA Master Agreement, including a schedule, one or more confirmations and a credit support annex;</p> <p>See also the Final Terms of the Series 2019-1 Class A Notes, section Description of the A1 Swap Agreement.</p> <p>PCS has reviewed the A1 Swap Agreement and confirm are documented using ISDA master agreement.</p>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	<p>Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))</p> <p>49. The objective of this criterion is to reduce any payment risk arising from different interest-rate and currency profiles of assets and liabilities. Mitigating or hedging interest-rate and currency risks arising in the transaction enhances the simplicity of the transaction, since it helps investors to model those risks and their impact on the credit risk of the securitisation investment.</p> <p>50. It should be clarified that hedging (through derivative instruments) is only one possible way of addressing the risks mentioned. Whichever measure is applied for the risk mitigation, it should, however, be subject to specific conditions so that it can be considered to appropriately mitigate the risks mentioned.</p> <p>51. One of these conditions aims to prohibit derivatives that do not serve the purpose of hedging interest-rate or currency risk from being included in the pool of underlying exposures or entered into by the SSPE, given that derivatives add to the complexity of the transaction and to the complexity of the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by the investor. Derivatives hedging interest-rate or currency risk enhance the simplicity of the transaction, since hedged transactions do not require investors to engage in the modelling of currency and interest-rate risks.</p> <p>52. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) conditions that the measures should comply with so that they can be considered to appropriately mitigate the interest-rate and currency risks;</p> <p>(b) clarification with respect to the scope of derivatives that should and should not be captured by this criterion;</p> <p>(c) clarification of the term 'common standards in international finance'.</p>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

5.1 Appropriate mitigation of interest-rate and currency risks (Article 21 (2))**Common standards in international finance**

56. For the purposes of Article 21(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, common standards in international finance should include ISDA or similar established national documentation standards.

40	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	21.3. Any referenced interest payments under the securitisation assets and liabilities shall be based on generally used market interest rates, or generally used sectoral rates reflective of the cost of funds, and shall not reference complex formulae or derivatives.
	STS criteria
	40. Any referenced interest payments under the securitisation assets and liabilities shall be based on generally used market interest rates, or generally used sectoral rates reflective of the cost of funds and shall not reference complex formulae or derivatives.
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>As for Assets:</p> <p>See – Receivables and Servicing of Receivables – Receivables.</p> <p>Risk Factors - Ability to change terms of the Credit Card Agreements discusses Periodic Monthly Finance Charges.</p> <p>Credit Card Portfolio – Billing and Payments.</p> <p>As for liabilities:</p> <p>Series 2019-1 Class A1 - one-month USD Libor plus margin (see cover page of Final Terms).</p> <p>Series 2019-1 Class A2 - Compounded Daily SONIA plus Margin (see cover page of Final Terms).</p> <p>Series 2019-1 Class A3 - Compounded Daily SONIA plus Margin (see cover page of Final Terms).</p> <p>See section – The Loan Notes – Interest.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>Referenced interest payments (Article 21 (3))</p> <p>53. The objective of this criterion is to prevent securitisations from making reference to interest rates that cannot be observed in the commonly accepted market practice. The credit risk and cash flow analysis that investors must be able to carry out should not involve atypical, complex or complicated rates or variables that cannot be modelled on the basis of market experience and practice.</p> <p>54. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) the scope of the criterion (by specifying the common types and examples of interest rates captured by this criterion);</p> <p>(b) the term ‘complex formulae or derivatives’.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

5.2 Referenced interest payments (Article 21 (3))

Referenced rates

57. For the purposes of Article 21(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, interest rates that should be considered to be an adequate reference basis for referenced interest payments should include all of the following:

- (a) interbank rates including the Libor, Euribor and other recognised benchmarks;
- (b) rates set by monetary policy authorities, including FED funds rates and central banks' discount rates;
- (c) sectoral rates reflective of a lender's cost of funds, including standard variable rates and internal interest rates that directly reflect the market costs of funding of a bank or a subset of institutions, to the extent that sufficient data are provided to investors to allow them to assess the relation of the sectoral rates to other market rates.

Complex formulae or derivatives

58. For the purposes of Article 21(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, a formula should be considered to be complex when it meets the definition of an exotic instrument by the Global Association of Risk Professionals (GARP), which is a financial asset or instrument with features that make it more complex than simpler, plain vanilla, products. A complex formula or derivative should not be deemed to exist in the case of the mere use of interest-rate caps or floors.

41	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:	
	(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;	
	STS criteria	
	41. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:	
	(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Terms and Conditions of the Notes – 4 (c) Application of Proceeds Upon Enforcement. There is no cash trapping.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Requirements in case of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))	
	55. The objective of this criterion is to prevent investors from being subjected to unexpected repayment profiles and to provide appropriate legal comfort regarding their enforceability, for instances where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered.	
	56. STS securitisations should be such that the required investor's risk analysis and due diligence do not have to factor in complex structures of the payment priority that are difficult to model, nor should the investor be exposed to complex changes in such structures throughout the life of the transaction. Therefore, it should be ensured that junior noteholders do not have inappropriate payment preference over senior noteholders that are due and payable.	
	57. In addition, taking into account that market risk on the underlying collateral constitutes an element of complexity in the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by investors, the objective is also to ensure that the performance of STS securitisations does not rely, due to contractual triggers, on the automatic liquidation at market price of the underlying collateral.	
	58. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the scope and operational functioning of conditions specified under letters (a), (b) and (d) of Article 21(4) should be specified further.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))	
	Exceptional circumstances	
	59. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, a list of 'exceptional circumstances' should, to the extent possible, be included in the transaction documentation.	
	60. Given the nature of 'exceptional circumstances' and in order to allow some flexibility with respect to potential unusual circumstances requiring that cash be trapped in the SSPE in the best interests of investors, where a list of 'exceptional circumstances' is included in the transaction documentation in accordance with paragraph 59, such a list should be non-exhaustive.	

Amount trapped in the SSPE in the best interests of investors

61. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the amount of cash to be considered as trapped in the SSPE should be that agreed by the trustee or other representative of the investors who is legally required to act in the best interests of the investors, or by the investors in accordance with the voting provisions set out in the transaction documentation.
62. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, it should be permissible to trap the cash in the SSPE in the form of a reserve fund for future use, as long as the use of the reserve fund is exclusively limited to the purposes set out in Article 21(4)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 or to orderly repayment to the investors.

42	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p>	
	STS criteria	
	42. Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Terms and Conditions of the Notes – 4 (c) Application of Proceeds Upon Enforcement.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Requirements in case of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</p> <p>55. The objective of this criterion is to prevent investors from being subjected to unexpected repayment profiles and to provide appropriate legal comfort regarding their enforceability, for instances where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered.</p> <p>56. STS securitisations should be such that the required investor's risk analysis and due diligence do not have to factor in complex structures of the payment priority that are difficult to model, nor should the investor be exposed to complex changes in such structures throughout the life of the transaction. Therefore, it should be ensured that junior noteholders do not have inappropriate payment preference over senior noteholders that are due and payable.</p> <p>57. In addition, taking into account that market risk on the underlying collateral constitutes an element of complexity in the risk and due diligence analysis to be carried out by investors, the objective is also to ensure that the performance of STS securitisations does not rely, due to contractual triggers, on the automatic liquidation at market price of the underlying collateral.</p> <p>58. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the scope and operational functioning of conditions specified under letters (a), (b) and (d) of Article 21(4) should be specified further.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</p> <p>Repayment</p> <p>63. The requirements in Article 21(4)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 should be understood as covering only the repayment of the principal, without covering the repayment of interest.</p> <p>64. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, non-sequential payments of principal in a situation where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered should be prohibited. Where there is no enforcement or acceleration event, principal receipts could be allowed for replenishment purposes pursuant to Article 20(12)) of that Regulation.</p>	

43	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p> <p>(c) Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and</p>	
	STS criteria	
	43. Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Terms and Conditions of the Notes – 4 (c) Application of Proceeds Upon Enforcement.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

44	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.4. Where an enforcement or an acceleration notice has been delivered:</p> <p>(a) no amount of cash shall be trapped in the SSPE beyond what is necessary to ensure the operational functioning of the SSPE or the orderly repayment of investors in accordance with the contractual terms of the securitisation, unless exceptional circumstances require that amount is trapped in order to be used, in the best interests of investors, for expenses in order to avoid the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures;</p> <p>(b) Principal receipts from the underlying exposures shall be passed to investors via sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, as determined by the seniority of the securitisation position;</p> <p>(c) Repayment of the securitisation positions shall not be reversed with regard to their seniority; and</p> <p>(d) No provisions shall require automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	44. No provisions shall require automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See the ESMA STS Notification STSS43.</p> <p>In addition, none of the Terms and Conditions of the Notes and the Loan Notes include any automatic provisions for liquidation of the underlying exposures.</p> <p>See also section "Risk Factors" - Enforcement of the Loan Note Security and the section The Loan Notes - Loan Note Events of Default.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>5.3 Requirements in the event of enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice (Article 21(4))</p> <p><i>Liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value</i></p> <p>65. For the purposes of Article 21(4)(d) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the investors' decision to liquidate the underlying exposures at market value should not be considered to constitute an automatic liquidation of the underlying exposures at market value.</p>	

45	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	21.5. Transactions which feature non-sequential priority of payments shall include triggers relating to the performance of the underlying exposures resulting in the priority of payments reverting to sequential payments in order of seniority. Such performance-related triggers shall include at least the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a pre-determined threshold.
	STS criteria
	45. Transactions which feature non-sequential priority of payments shall include triggers relating to the performance of the underlying exposures resulting in the priority of payments reverting to sequential payments in order of seniority. Such performance-related triggers shall include at least the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a pre-determined threshold.
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>See STSS44</p> <p>The first step in analysing this criterion is to determine whether the transaction features non-sequential priorities of payment in relation to the amortisation of the Notes.</p> <p>This is not the case – Terms and Conditions of the notes point 4 (a).</p> <p>This criterion is therefore met.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>Non-sequential priority of payments (Article 21(5))</p> <p>59. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that non-sequential (pro rata) amortisation should be used only in conjunction with clearly specified contractual triggers that determine the switch of the amortisation scheme to a sequential priority, safeguarding the transaction from the possibility that credit enhancement is too quickly amortised as the credit quality of the transaction deteriorates, thereby exposing senior investors to a decreasing amount of credit enhancement.</p> <p>60. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, a non-exhaustive list of examples of performance-related triggers that may be included is provided in the guidance.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>5.4 Non-sequential priority of payments (Article 21(5))</p> <p>Performance-related triggers</p> <p>66. For the purposes of Article 21(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the triggers related to the deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures may include the following:</p> <p>(a) with regard to underlying exposures for which a regulatory expected loss (EL) can be determined in accordance with Regulation (EU) 575/2013 or other relevant EU regulation, cumulative losses that are higher than a certain percentage of the regulatory one-year EL on the underlying exposures and the weighted average life of the transaction;</p> <p>(b) cumulative non-matured defaults that are higher than a certain percentage of the sum of the outstanding nominal amount of tranche held by the investors and the tranches that are subordinated to them;</p> <p>(c) the weighted average credit quality in the portfolio decreasing below a given pre-specified level or the concentration of exposures in high credit risk (probability of default) buckets increasing above a pre-specified level.</p>

46	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:	
	STS criteria	
	46. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	This provision applies to transactions with the ability to offer receivables on an ongoing basis. Therefore, this requirement applies. See Triggers Table – Rapid Amortisation Trigger Event, Redemption Trigger, Trust Pay Out Events and Trust Series Pay Out Events. See section The Loan Notes – Early Redemption Events.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21 (6)) 61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation. 62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.	

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
5.5 Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21 (6)) <i>Insolvency-related event with regard to the servicer</i> 67. For the purposes of Article 21(6)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should lead to both of the following: (a) it should enable the replacement of the servicer in order to ensure continuation of the servicing; (b) it should trigger the termination of the revolving period.	

47	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;	
	STS criteria	
	47. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;	
	Verified?	Yes–
	PCS Comment	
	See Early redemption Event “(b) on any Transfer Date, the amount of the Excess Available Funds averaged over the three preceding Monthly Periods is less than the Required Excess Available Funds for such Monthly Period; The “Excess Available Funds” means in respect of any Monthly Period an amount equal to the LNI Available Funds less the aggregate of the amounts payable under items (i) to (and including) (ix) of the LNI Available Funds priority of payment set out in “- Application of LNI Available Funds” below on the Transfer Date relating to such Monthly Period. The “Required Excess Available Funds” means, with respect to any Monthly Period, an amount equal to zero, provided, however, that Loan Note Issuer No. 1 may, from time to time, change such amount (which will never be less than zero) as long as the Cash Manager has certified in writing that in its opinion, formed on the basis of due consideration, the change to such amount will not result in a downgrade or withdrawal of the then current rating of any outstanding notes.”	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6)) 61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation. 62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

48	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer;	
	STS criteria	
	48. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following: (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Servicer Default – which includes insolvency of Servicer (Funding 1 Pay Out Event). Trust Pay out Events (a), (b) and (c).	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6)) 61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation. 62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
5.5 Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6)) Insolvency-related event with regard to the servicer 67. For the purposes of Article 21(6)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should lead to both of the following: (a) it should enable the replacement of the servicer in order to ensure continuation of the servicing; (b) it should trigger the termination of the revolving period.		

49	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:	
	(a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;	
	(b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer;	
	(c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event);	
	STS criteria	
	49. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:	
	(a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold;	
	(b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer;	
	(c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event);	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Early Redemption Events (c) (ii).	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))	
	61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.	
	62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

50	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	<p>21.6. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event); (d) a failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures that meet the pre-determined credit quality (trigger for termination of the revolving period).
	STS criteria
	<p>50. The transaction documentation shall include appropriate early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving period where the securitisation is a revolving securitisation, including at least the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures to or below a pre-determined threshold; (b) the occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the originator or the servicer; (c) the value of the underlying exposures held by the SSPE falls below a pre-determined threshold (early amortisation event); (d) a failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures that meet the pre-determined credit quality (trigger for termination of the revolving period).
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	Trust Pay Out Event (d).
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	Early amortisation provisions/triggers for termination of the revolving period (Article 21(6))
	<p>61. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that, in the presence of a revolving period mechanism, investors are sufficiently protected from the risk that principal amounts may not be fully repaid. In all such transactions, irrespective of the nature of the revolving mechanism, investors should be protected by a minimum set of early amortisation triggers or triggers for the termination of the revolving period that should be included in the transaction documentation.</p> <p>62. In order to facilitate the consistent interpretation of this criterion, interactions of this criterion with the criterion under Article 21(7)(b) with respect to the insolvency-related event with respect to the servicer should be further clarified.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

51	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify: (a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;	
	STS criteria	
	51. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify: (a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See Servicing Agreement and Note Trust Deed and Terms and Conditions which specify the relevant requirements above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7)) 63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction. 64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

52	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:	
	(a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;	
	(b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and	
	STS criteria	
	52. (b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See Section "Servicing of Receivables - Termination of appointment of Servicer"	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))	
	63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction.	
	64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

53	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	<p>21.7. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify:</p> <p>(a) the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer and the trustee, if any, and other ancillary service providers;</p> <p>(b) the processes and responsibilities necessary to ensure that a default by or an insolvency of the servicer does not result in a termination of servicing, such as a contractual provision which enables the replacement of the servicer in such cases; and</p> <p>(c) provisions that ensure the replacement of derivative counterparties, liquidity providers and the account bank in the case of their default, insolvency, and other specified events, where applicable.</p>	
	STS criteria	
	53. (c) provisions that ensure the replacement of derivative counterparties, liquidity providers and the account bank in the case of their default, insolvency, and other specified events, where applicable.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>See Triggers Tables</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Accounts Banks - Swap Counterparty rating triggers – Final Terms Series 2019-1 of the Class A1 Notes - DESCRIPTION OF THE A1 SWAP AGREEMENT - Rating downgrade or withdrawal of the Swap Counterparty. Also see Note Trust Deed Supplement Clause 15.2 Covenants in respect of the relevant swap agreements. 	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Transaction Documentation (Article 21 (7))</p> <p>63. The objective of this criterion is to help provide full transparency to investors, assist investors in the conduct of their due diligence and prevent investors from being subject to unexpected disruptions in cash flow collections and servicing, as well as to provide investors with certainty about the replacement of counterparties involved in the securitisation transaction.</p> <p>64. This criterion is considered sufficiently clear and no further guidance is considered necessary.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

54	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	21.8. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised and shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.
	STS criteria
	54. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>Servicing of receivables – Experience of the Servicer. See section Credit Card Portfolio.</p> <p>The servicer has more than five years of experience exposures of a similar nature to those securitised.</p> <p>See also the STS Notification – STSS54.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8))
	<p>65. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that all the conditions are in place for the proper functioning of the servicing function, taking into account the crucial importance of servicing in securitisation and the central nature of this function within any securitisation transaction.</p> <p>66. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer;</p> <p>(b) criteria for determining well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls of the servicer.</p> <p>67. The criteria for the expertise of the servicer should correspond to those for the expertise of the originator or the original lender. Newly established entities should be allowed to perform the tasks of servicing, as long as the back-up servicer has the appropriate experience. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	5.8 Expertise of the servicer (Article 21 (8))
	Criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer
	<p>68. For the purposes of determining whether a servicer has expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised in accordance with Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, both of the following should apply:</p> <p>(a) the members of the management body of the servicer and the senior staff, other than members of the management body, responsible for servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised should have adequate knowledge and skills in the servicing of exposures similar to those securitised;</p> <p>(b) any of the following principles on the quality of the expertise should be taken into account in the determination of the expertise:</p> <p>(i) the role and duties of the members of the management body and the senior staff and the required capabilities should be adequate;</p> <p>(ii) the experience of the members of the management body and the senior staff gained in previous positions, education and training should be sufficient;</p> <p>(iii) the involvement of the members of the management body and the senior staff within the governance structure of the function of servicing the exposures should be appropriate;</p> <p>(iv) in the case of a prudentially regulated entity, the regulatory authorisations or permissions held by the entity should be deemed relevant to the servicing of similar exposures to those securitised.</p>

69. A servicer should be deemed to have the required expertise where either of the following applies:

(a) the business of the entity, or of the consolidated group, to which the entity belongs, for accounting or prudential purposes, has included the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, for at least five years;

(b) where the requirement referred to in point (a) is not met, the servicer should be deemed to have the required expertise where they comply with both of the following:

(i) at least two of the members of its management body have relevant professional experience in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at personal level, of at least five years;

(ii) senior staff, other than members of the management body, who are responsible for managing the entity's servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, have relevant professional experience in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised, at a personal level, of at least five years;

(iii) the servicing function of the entity is backed by the back-up servicer compliant with point (a).

70. For the purpose of demonstrating the number of years of professional experience, the relevant expertise should be disclosed in sufficient detail and in accordance with the applicable confidentiality requirements to permit investors to carry out their obligations under Article 5(3)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.

Exposures of similar nature

71. For the purposes of Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, interpretation of the term 'exposures of similar nature' should follow the interpretation provided in paragraph 23 above.

55	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.8. The servicer shall have expertise in servicing exposures of a similar nature to those securitised and shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.	
	STS criteria	
	55. And shall have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to the servicing of exposures.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 54 above. The EBA Guidelines specify that the servicer should be considered to meet this criterion if it is a prudentially regulated financial institution.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8)) 65. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that all the conditions are in place for the proper functioning of the servicing function, taking into account the crucial importance of servicing in securitisation and the central nature of this function within any securitisation transaction. 66. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified: (a) criteria for determining the expertise of the servicer; (b) criteria for determining well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls of the servicer. 67. The criteria for the expertise of the servicer should correspond to those for the expertise of the originator or the original lender. Newly established entities should be allowed to perform the tasks of servicing, as long as the back-up servicer has the appropriate experience. It is expected that information on the assessment of the expertise is provided in sufficient detail in the STS notification.	

EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
Expertise of the Servicer (Article 21 (8)) <i>Well-documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls</i> 72. For the purposes of Article 21(8) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the servicer should be considered to have well documented and adequate policies, procedures and risk management controls relating to servicing of exposures' where either of the following conditions is met: (a) The servicer is an entity that is subject to prudential and capital regulation and supervision in the Union and such regulatory authorisations or permissions are deemed relevant to the servicing; (b) The servicer is an entity that is not subject to prudential and capital regulation and supervision in the Union, and a proof of existence of well-documented and adequate policies and risk management controls is provided that also includes a proof of adherence to good market practices and reporting capabilities. The proof should be substantiated by an appropriate third party review, such as by a credit rating agency or external auditor.	

56	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	21.9. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.
	STS criteria
	56. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions
	Verified?
	PCS Comment
	<p>See the Receivables Trust Deed and Servicing agreement</p> <p>9.1.2 The Servicer shall service and administer the Receivables comprised in the Penarth Receivables Trust and shall collect payments due in respect of such Receivables in accordance with its customary and usual servicing procedures for servicing credit card receivables comparable to such Receivables and in accordance with the Credit Card Guidelines and shall have full power and authority, acting alone or through a or through any party properly designated by it hereunder, to do any and all things in connection with such servicing and administration which it may deem necessary or desirable.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))</p> <p>68. Investors should be in a position to know, when they receive the transaction documentation, what procedures and remedies are planned in the event that adverse credit events affect the underlying exposures of the securitisation. Transparency of remedies and procedures, in this respect, allows investors to model the credit risk of the underlying exposures with less uncertainty. In addition, clear, timely and transparent information on the characteristics of the waterfall determining the payment priorities is necessary for the investor to correctly price the securitisation position.</p> <p>69. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the terms ‘in clear and consistent terms’ and ‘clearly specify’ should be further clarified.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>5.7 Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9))</p> <p>Clear and consistent terms</p> <p>For the purposes of Article 21(9) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, to ‘set out clear and consistent terms’ and to ‘clearly specify’ should be understood as requiring that the same precise terms are used throughout the transaction documentation in order to facilitate the work of investors.</p>

57	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.9. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms definitions, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors, debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.	
	STS criteria	
	57. The transaction documentation shall set out in clear and consistent terms, remedies and actions relating to delinquency and default of debtors debt restructuring, debt forgiveness, forbearance, payment holidays, losses, charge offs, recoveries and other asset performance remedies.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See 56 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9)) 68. Investors should be in a position to know, when they receive the transaction documentation, what procedures and remedies are planned in the event that adverse credit events affect the underlying exposures of the securitisation. Transparency of remedies and procedures, in this respect, allows investors to model the credit risk of the underlying exposures with less uncertainty. In addition, clear, timely and transparent information on the characteristics of the waterfall determining the payment priorities is necessary for the investor to correctly price the securitisation position. 69. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the terms ‘in clear and consistent terms’ and ‘clearly specify’ should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	5.7 Remedies and actions related to delinquency and default of debtor (Article 21 (9)) Clear and consistent terms For the purposes of Article 21(9) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, to ‘set out clear and consistent terms’ and to ‘clearly specify’ should be understood as requiring that the same precise terms are used throughout the transaction documentation in order to facilitate the work of investors.	

58	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	21.9 ...The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	58. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment,	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See sections: Application of LNI Available Funds by Loan Note Issuer No. 1 Use of LNI Available Principal Amounts Allocation of Funds by the Issuer Terms and Conditions 4 (c)	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

59	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	59. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Terms and Conditions of the Notes point 7 and Condition 10 (Events of Default); See Triggers Tables – Non Rating Trigger Events including Rapid Amortisation Trigger Event, Trust Pay Out Event, Trust Series Pay Out Events and issuer Events of Default.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

60	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	60. The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the obligation to report such events.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Section Sources of funds to pay the Loan Notes and obligation to report under General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation 15 (a) and (c) and 17 (c).	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

61	Legislative text	
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation	
	The transaction documentation shall clearly specify the priorities of payment, events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment as well as the obligation to report such events. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	STS criteria	
	61. Any change in the priorities of payments which will materially adversely affect the repayment of the securitisation position shall be reported to investors without undue delay.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Section Sources of funds to pay the Loan Notes and obligation to report under General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation 15 (a) and (c) and 17 (c).	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

62	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	<p>21.10. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>62. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders</p>
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>Terms and Conditions of the Notes (and Note Trust Deed) and RIGHTS OF NOTEHOLDERS AND RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER SECURED CREDITOR</p> <p>a) the method for calling meetings; as for method Condition 14</p> <p>(b) the maximum timeframe for setting up a meeting: see RIGHTS OF NOTEHOLDERS AND RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER SECURED CREDITORS – Noteholder meetings</p> <p>(c) the required quorum: Condition 14</p> <p>(d) the minimum threshold of votes to validate such a decision, with clear differentiation between the minimum thresholds for each type of decision Condition 14</p> <p>(e) where applicable, a location for the meetings which should be in the EU: see RIGHTS OF NOTEHOLDERS AND RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER SECURED CREDITORS – Noteholder meetings</p> <p>Although the wording of the Regulation as to what constitutes the “facilitation of timely resolution of conflicts” is very vague, the EBA Guidelines have helpfully set out the five minimum requirements that the documents should contain to meet this criterion.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors
	<p>70. The objective of this criterion is to help ensure clarity for securitisation noteholders of their rights and ability to control and enforce on the underlying credit claims or receivables. This should make the decision-making process more effective, for instance in circumstances where enforcement rights on the underlying assets are being exercised.</p>
	<p>71. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term ‘clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’ should be further interpreted.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	5.8 Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors (Article 20 (10))
	<i>Clear provisions facilitating the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors</i>
	<p>73. For the purposes of Article 21(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, provisions of the transaction documentation that ‘facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’, should include provisions with respect to all of the following:</p> <p>(a) the method for calling meetings or arranging conference calls;</p> <p>(b) the maximum timeframe for setting up a meeting or conference call;</p> <p>(c) the required quorum;</p> <p>(d) the minimum threshold of votes to validate such a decision, with clear differentiation between the minimum thresholds for each type of decision;</p>

(e) where applicable, a location for the meetings which should be in the Union.

74. For the purposes of Article 21(10) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where mandatory statutory provisions exist in the applicable jurisdiction that set out how conflicts between investors have to be resolved, the transaction documentation may refer to these provisions.

63	Legislative text
	Article 21 - Requirements relating to standardisation
	21.10. The transaction documentation shall include clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors, voting rights shall be clearly defined and allocated to bondholders and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.
	STS criteria
	63. and the responsibilities of the trustee and other entities with fiduciary duties to investors shall be clearly identified.
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	See Section – THE SECURITY TRUST DEED AND CASH MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT – for Security Trustee and the section “Note Trust Deed.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	Resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors (Article 20 (10))
	70. The objective of this criterion is to help ensure clarity for securitisation noteholders of their rights and ability to control and enforce on the underlying credit claims or receivables. This should make the decision-making process more effective, for instance in circumstances where enforcement rights on the underlying assets are being exercised.
	71. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term ‘clear provisions that facilitate the timely resolution of conflicts between different classes of investors’ should be further interpreted.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

64	Legislative text		
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	<p>22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.</p>		
	STS criteria		
	<p>64. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised,</p>		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="210 475 1153 502">Verified?</td><td data-bbox="1153 475 2119 502">Yes</td></tr> </table>	Verified?	Yes
Verified?	Yes		
	PCS Comment		
	<p>See the Final Terms of the Series 2019-1 Class A1 Notes and the Final Terms for the Series 2019-1 Class A2 and A3 Notes which provides:</p> <p>Dynamic data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Dynamic delinquency experience for the Combined Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank portfolio b) Dynamic Gross charge off experience for the Combined Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank portfolio c) Dynamic delinquency experience for the securitised portfolio d) Dynamic Loss experience for the securitised portfolio e) Dynamic yield, delinquency, loss information on a monthly basis for the securitised portfolio <p>Static data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Delinquency information for the Combined Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank portfolio b) Net Charge Off information for the Combined Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank portfolio 		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	<p>Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))</p> <p>72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.</p> <p>73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) its application to external data; (b) the term ‘substantially similar exposures’. 		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))

Data

75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.

Substantially similar exposures

76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term 'substantially similar exposures' should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:

- (a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;
- (b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.

77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.

65	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	<p>22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>65. and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing.</p>
	Verified? Yes
	PCS Comment
	<p>The historical information provided as indicated in criterion 64 above is on the combined Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Bank portfolio and the securitised portfolio.</p> <p>The Final Terms of the Series 2019-1 Class A1 Notes and the Final Terms for the Series 2019-1 Class A2 Notes and the Series 2019-1 Class A3 which includes the data referred to in criterion 64 above.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))</p> <p>72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.</p> <p>73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) its application to external data;</p> <p>(b) the term ‘substantially similar exposures’.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))</p> <p>Data</p> <p>75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.</p> <p>Substantially similar exposures</p> <p>76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term ‘substantially similar exposures’ should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;</p> <p>(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.</p>

	77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.
--	---

66	Legislative text		
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	<p>22.1. The originator and the sponsor shall make available data on static and dynamic historical default and loss performance, such as delinquency and default data, for substantially similar exposures to those being securitised, and the sources of those data and the basis for claiming similarity, to potential investors before pricing. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.</p>		
	STS criteria		
	<p>66. Those data shall cover a period no shorter than five years.</p>		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="210 475 1153 502">Verified?</td><td data-bbox="1153 475 2119 502">Yes</td></tr> </table>	Verified?	Yes
Verified?	Yes		
	PCS Comment		
	<p>The Final Terms of the Series 2019-1 Class A1 Notes and the Final Terms for the Series 2019-1 Class A2 Notes and the Series 2019-1 Class A3 section Delinquency and Loss Experience, securitised receivables portfolio information, static data.</p> <p>Provides information for a minimum of 5 years.</p>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
	<p>72. The objective is to provide investors with sufficient information on an asset class to conduct appropriate due diligence and to provide access to a sufficiently rich data set to enable a more accurate calculation of expected loss in different stress scenarios. These data are necessary for investors to carry out proper risk analysis and due diligence, and they contribute to building confidence and reducing uncertainty regarding the market behaviour of the underlying asset class. New asset classes entering the securitisation market, for which a sufficient track record of performance has not yet been built up, may not be considered transparent in that they cannot ensure that investors have the appropriate tools and knowledge to carry out proper risk analysis.</p> <p>73. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be further clarified:</p> <p>(a) its application to external data;</p> <p>(b) the term 'substantially similar exposures'.</p>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		
	6.1 Data on historical default and loss performance (Article 22(1))		
	Data		
	<p>75. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the seller cannot provide data in line with the data requirements contained therein, external data that are publicly available or are provided by a third party, such as a rating agency or another market participant, may be used, provided that all of the other requirements of that article are met.</p>		
	Substantially similar exposures		
	<p>76. For the purposes of Article 22(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the term 'substantially similar exposures' should be understood as referring to exposures for which both of the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(a) the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures are similar;</p> <p>(b) as a result of the similarity referred to in point (a) it could reasonably have been expected, on the basis of indications such as past performance or applicable models, that, over the life of the transaction, or over a maximum of four years, where the life of the transaction is longer than four years, their performance would not be significantly different.</p> <p>77. The substantially similar exposures should not be limited to exposures held on the balance sheet of the originator.</p>		

67	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.2. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification prior to issuance of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party, including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.	
	STS criteria	
	67. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification prior to issuance of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party,	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Section Regulatory Disclosure - STS Status</p> <p>The Transferor confirms that prior to the issuance of a Note Series which is to be an STS Securitisation, a representative sample of the relevant Securitised Portfolio will be the subject of external verification by an appropriate and independent third party. This verification will extend to both compliance with certain lending criteria and verification of certain data set out herein.</p> <p>In addition, see the Series 2019-1 A1Final Terms, A2 and A3 Final Terms - "AUP Sample Report"</p> <p>PCS is not an auditing firm, nor has it or has it sought access to the underlying information which was the basis of the AUP. However, it has read the AUP with the aim of determining whether, on its face, it appears to cover the items required by the criterion.</p> <p>Based solely on the words of the AUP and without any additional due diligence or interaction with the auditing firm responsible for the AUP or sight of the instructions to such firm, PCS has concluded that the AUP appears to meet the requirements of the criterion.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p>74. The objective of the criterion is to provide a level of assurance that the data on and reporting of the underlying credit claims or receivables is accurate and that the underlying exposures meet the eligibility criteria, by ensuring checks on the data to be disclosed to the investors by an external entity not affected by a potential conflict of interest within the transaction.</p> <p>75. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) requirements on the sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification; (b) requirements on the party executing the verification; (c) scope of the verification; (d) requirement on the confirmation of the verification. 	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>6.2 Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p>Sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification</p>	

78. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures that should be subject to verification prior to the issuance should be a representative sample of the provisional portfolio from which the securitised pool is extracted and which is in a reasonably final form before issuance.

Party executing the verification

79. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an appropriate and independent party should be deemed to be a party that meets both of the following conditions:

- (a) it has the experience and capability to carry out the verification;
- (b) it is none of the following:
 - (i) a credit rating agency;
 - (ii) a third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;
 - (iii) an entity affiliated to the originator.

Scope of the verification

80. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the verification to be carried out based on the representative sample, applying a confidence level of at least 95%, should include both of the following:

- (a) verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the provisional portfolio with the eligibility criteria that are able to be tested prior to issuance;
- (b) verification of the fact that the data disclosed to investors in any formal offering document in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.

Confirmation of the verification

81. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, confirmation that this verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found should be disclosed.

68	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	<p>22.2. A sample of the underlying exposures shall be subject to external verification prior to issuance of the securities resulting from the securitisation by an appropriate and independent party, including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.</p>
	STS criteria
	<p>68. Including verification that the data disclosed in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.</p>
	<p>Verified? Yes</p>
	PCS Comment
	<p>Section REGULATORY DISCLOSURE – STS Status</p> <p>The Transferor confirms that prior to the issuance of a Note Series which is to be an STS Securitisation, a representative sample of the relevant Securitised Portfolio will be the subject of external verification by an appropriate and independent third party. This verification will extend to both compliance with certain lending criteria and verification of certain data set out herein.</p> <p>In addition, see the Series 2019-1 A1 Final Terms A2 and A3 Final Terms.</p>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	<p>Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p>74. The objective of the criterion is to provide a level of assurance that the data on and reporting of the underlying credit claims or receivables is accurate and that the underlying exposures meet the eligibility criteria, by ensuring checks on the data to be disclosed to the investors by an external entity not affected by a potential conflict of interest within the transaction.</p> <p>75. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) requirements on the sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification; (b) requirements on the party executing the verification; (c) scope of the verification; (d) requirement on the confirmation of the verification.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines
	<p>6.2 Verification of a sample of the underlying exposures (Article 22 (2))</p> <p><i>Sample of the underlying exposures subject to external verification</i></p> <p>78. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the underlying exposures that should be subject to verification prior to the issuance should be a representative sample of the provisional portfolio from which the securitised pool is extracted and which is in a reasonably final form before issuance.</p> <p><i>Party executing the verification</i></p> <p>79. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, an appropriate and independent party should be deemed to be a party that meets both of the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) it has the experience and capability to carry out the verification; (b) it is none of the following:

- (i) a credit rating agency;
- (ii) a third party verifying STS compliance in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402;
- (iii) an entity affiliated to the originator.

Scope of the verification

80. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the verification to be carried out based on the representative sample, applying a confidence level of at least 95%, should include both of the following:

- (a) verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the provisional portfolio with the eligibility criteria that are able to be tested prior to issuance;
- (b) verification of the fact that the data disclosed to investors in any formal offering document in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate.

Confirmation of the verification

81. For the purposes of Article 22(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, confirmation that this verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found should be disclosed.

69	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.3. The originator or the sponsor shall, before the pricing of the securitisation, make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE, and shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.	
	STS criteria	
	69. The originator or the sponsor shall, before the pricing of the securitisation, make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Section "General Information" item 18 which states:</p> <p>From the date of this Base Prospectus as long as any series and class of notes remains outstanding, a cash flow model will be made available to investors, either directly or indirectly through one or more entities who provide such cash flow models to investors generally.</p> <p>PCS is not a modelling firm nor has any modelling expertise. Therefore, it will not verify the model's accuracy or perform any due diligence whatsoever on the model. However, it will seek to satisfy itself indirectly as to the likelihood of the model's accuracy by requesting details of the individuals (if employed by the originator) or the firms (if the model is outsourced) responsible for the model. PCS will then assess whether, in its sole opinion, the model was put together by persons or firms with a reputation and a track-record in such models.</p> <p><i>Having seen the model, read a statement in the prospectus and the STS Notification that the model will be made available in accordance with the requirements of the criteria and assessed the firm responsible for the model, PCS is prepared to verify this criterion.</i></p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	<p>Liability cashflow model (Article 22(3))</p> <p>76. The objective of this criterion is to assist investors in their ability to appropriately model the cash flow waterfall of the securitisation on the liability side of the SSPE.</p> <p>77. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified:</p> <p>(a) interpretation of the term 'precise' representation of the contractual relationships;</p> <p>(b) implications when the model is provided by third parties.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	<p>Liability cash flow model (Article 22(3))</p> <p>Precise representation of the contractual relationship</p> <p>82. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the representation of the contractual relationships between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing among the originator, sponsor, investors, other parties and the SSPE should be considered to have been done 'precisely' where it is done accurately and with an amount of detail sufficient to allow investors to model payment obligations of the SSPE and to price the securitisation accordingly. This may include algorithms that permit investors to model a range of different scenarios that will affect cash flows, such as different prepayment or default rates.</p>	
	Third parties	

	83. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the liability cash flow model is developed by third parties, the originator or sponsor should remain responsible for making the information available to potential investors.
--	---

70	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.3. The originator or the sponsor shall, before the pricing of the securitisation, make available to potential investors a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE, and shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.	
	STS criteria	
	70. And shall, after pricing, make that model available to investors on an ongoing basis and to potential investors upon request.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Section "General Information" item 18 which states: From the date of this Base Prospectus as long as any series and class of notes remains outstanding, a cash flow model will be made available to investors, either directly or indirectly through one or more entities who provide such cash flow models to investors generally PCS notes the existence of such covenant in the Prospectus.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Liability cashflow model (Article 22(3)) 76. The objective of this criterion is to assist investors in their ability to appropriately model the cash flow waterfall of the securitisation on the liability side of the SSPE. 77. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the following aspects should be clarified: (a) interpretation of the term 'precise' representation of the contractual relationships; (b) implications when the model is provided by third parties.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	Liability cash flow model (Article 22(3))Precise representation of the contractual relationship 82. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, the representation of the contractual relationships between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing among the originator, sponsor, investors, other parties and the SSPE should be considered to have been done 'precisely' where it is done accurately and with an amount of detail sufficient to allow investors to model payment obligations of the SSPE and to price the securitisation accordingly. This may include algorithms that permit investors to model a range of different scenarios that will affect cash flows, such as different prepayment or default rates. Third parties 83. For the purposes of Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402, where the liability cash flow model is developed by third parties, the originator or sponsor should remain responsible for making the information available to potential investors.	

71	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.4. In case of a securitisation where the underlying exposures are residential loans or car loans or leases, the originator and sponsor shall publish the available information related to the environmental performance of the assets financed by such residential loans or car loans or leases, as part of the information disclosed pursuant to point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1).	
	STS criteria	
	71. In case of a securitisation where the underlying exposures are residential loans or car loans or leases, the originator and sponsor shall publish the available information related to the environmental performance of the assets financed by such residential loans or car loans or leases, as part of the information disclosed pursuant to point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1).	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	This requirement does not apply to this transaction, since it is a Credit card securitisation.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale	
	Environmental performance of assets (Article 22(4)) 78. It should be clarified that this is a requirement of disclosure about the energy efficiency of the assets when this information is available to the originator, sponsor or SSPE, rather than a requirement for a minimum energy efficiency of the assets. 79. To facilitate consistent interpretation of this criterion, the term ‘available information related to the environmental performance’ should be further clarified.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	
	Environmental performance of assets (Article 22(4)) Available information related to the environmental performance 84. This requirement should be applicable only if the information on the energy performance certificates for the assets financed by the underlying exposures is available to the originator, sponsor or the SSPE and captured in its internal database or IT systems. Where information is available only for a proportion of the underlying exposures, the requirement should apply only in respect of the proportion of the underlying exposures for which information is available.	

72	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.	
	STS criteria	
	72. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See section Transparency requirements which states: "The Issuer as the SSPE has been appointed as the designated entity under Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. The Issuer has appointed the Servicer to perform all of the Issuer's obligations under Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation. In relation to any Notes which are awarded STS status, Bank of Scotland as the sponsor and the originator is responsible for compliance with Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation. For further information in relation to the provision of information please refer to the section entitled "General Information".	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	Compliance with transparency requirements 80. The objective of this criterion is to ensure that investors have access to the data that are relevant for them to carry out the necessary risk and due diligence analysis with respect to the investment decision. 81. The criterion is deemed sufficiently clear and not requiring any further clarification.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

73	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.	
	STS criteria	
	73. The information required by point (a) the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Servicing of Receivables – servicers duties:</p> <p>(f) publish on the Reporting Website ongoing information in relation to the Securitised Portfolio in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and (e) (subject to Article 43(8) of the Securitisation and any published guidance of the relevant regulatory or competition authorities) of the Securitisation Regulation, and in relation to STS Securitisations, Article 25(5) of the Securitisation Regulation;</p> <p>Section “General” Information - Further information available to noteholders</p> <p>17. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will:</p> <p>17. In relation to STS Securitisations:</p> <p>(a) the information required by Article 7(1)(a) of the Securitisation Regulation is available to potential investors before pricing, upon request;</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

74	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	22.5. The originator and the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with Article 7 of this Regulation. The information required by point (a) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available to potential investors before pricing upon request. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.	
	STS criteria	
	74. The information required by points (b) to (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 7(1) shall be made available before pricing at least in draft or initial form.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See Section General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation	
	15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will:	
	(b) make available on the Reporting Website the documents required by Articles 7(1)(b) and in relation to STS Securitisations, (d) of the Securitisation Regulation prior to the pricing date of each Note Series;	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

75	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.	
	STS criteria	
	75. The final documentation shall be made available to investors at the latest 15 days after closing of the transaction.	
	Verified?	Yes -
	PCS Comment	
	General Information- Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation	
	17. In relation to STS Securitisations:	
	(b) final versions of the documentation required pursuant to Article 7(1)(b) of the Securitisation Regulation will be made available on the Reporting Website within 15 days of the relevant Issue Date;	
	PCS notes the existence of such covenant in the Prospectus.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

76	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:	
	(a) information on the underlying exposures on a quarterly basis, or, in the case of ABCP, information on the underlying receivables or credit claims on a monthly basis;	
	STS criteria	
	76. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:	
	(a) information on the underlying exposures on a quarterly basis,	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation	
	15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA:	
	(a) publish on the Reporting Website ongoing information in relation to the Securitised Portfolio in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and (e) (subject to Article 43(8) of the Securitisation Regulation and any published guidance of the relevant regulatory or competition authorities) of the Securitisation Regulation, and in relation to STS Securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation in the frequency and by the dates specified in the Securitisation Regulation;	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

77	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	7.1. The originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall, in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article, make at least the following information available to holders of a securitisation position, to the competent authorities referred to in Article 29 and, upon request, to potential investors:
	(b) all underlying documentation that is essential for the understanding of the transaction, including but not limited to, where applicable, the following documents:
	(i) the final offering document or the prospectus together with the closing transaction documents, excluding legal opinions;
	STS criteria
	77. All underlying documentation that is essential for the understanding of the transaction, including but not limited to, where applicable, the following documents:
	(i) the final offering document or the prospectus together with the closing transaction documents, excluding legal opinions;
	Verified?
	Yes
PCS Comment	
General Information	
17. In relation to STS Securitisations:	
(b) final versions of the documentation required pursuant to Article 7(2)(b) of the Securitisation Regulation will be made available on the Reporting Website within 15 days of the relevant Issue Date;	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

78	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(ii) for traditional securitisation the asset sale agreement, assignment, novation or transfer agreement and any relevant declaration of trust;	
	STS criteria	
	78. For traditional securitisation the asset sale agreement, assignment, novation or transfer agreement and any relevant declaration of trust;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 77 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

79	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(iii) the derivatives and guarantees agreements as well as any relevant documents on collateralisation arrangements where the exposures being securitised remain exposures of the originator;	
	STS criteria	
	79. The derivatives and guarantees agreements as well as any relevant documents on collateralisation arrangements where the exposures being securitised remain exposures of the originator;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 77 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

80	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(iv) the servicing, back-up servicing, administration and cash management agreements;	
	STS criteria	
	80. The servicing, back-up servicing, administration and cash management agreements;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 77 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

81	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(v) the trust deed, security deed, agency agreement, account bank agreement, guaranteed investment contract, incorporated terms or master trust framework or master definitions agreement or such legal documentation with equivalent legal value;	
	STS criteria	
	81. The trust deed, security deed, agency agreement, account bank agreement, guaranteed investment contract, incorporated terms or master trust framework or master definitions agreement or such legal documentation with equivalent legal value;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 77 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

82	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(vi) any relevant inter-creditor agreements, derivatives documentation, subordinated loan agreements, start-up loan agreements and liquidity facility agreements;	
	STS criteria	
	82. Any relevant inter-creditor agreements, derivatives documentation, subordinated loan agreements, start-up loan agreements and liquidity facility agreements;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See point 77 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

83	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	That underlying documentation shall include a detailed description of the priority of payments of the securitisation;	
	STS criteria	
	83. That underlying documentation shall include a detailed description of the priority of payments of the securitisation;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See Terms and Condition of the Notes.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

84	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:	
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;	
	STS criteria	
	84. Where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:	
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Not applicable.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

85	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;
	(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;
	STS criteria
	85. (ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	Not applicable.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

86	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;
	(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;
	(iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;
	STS criteria
	86. (iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;
	Verified?
	Yes
PCS Comment	
Not applicable.	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

87	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(c) where a prospectus has not been drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, a transaction summary or overview of the main features of the securitisation, including, where applicable:	
	(i) details regarding the structure of the deal, including the structure diagrams containing an overview of the transaction, the cash flows and the ownership structure;	
	(ii) details regarding the exposure characteristics, cash flows, loss waterfall, credit enhancement and liquidity support features;	
	(iii) details regarding the voting rights of the holders of a securitisation position and their relationship to other secured creditors;	
	(iv) a list of all triggers and events referred to in the documents provided in accordance with point (b) that could have a material impact on the performance of the securitisation position;	
	STS criteria	
	87. (iv) a list of all triggers and events referred to in the documents provided in accordance with point (b) that could have a material impact on the performance of the securitisation position;	
	Verified?	Yes
PCS Comment		
Not applicable.		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>		
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

88	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(d) in the case of STS securitisations, the STS notification referred to in Article 27;	
	STS criteria	
	88. in the case of STS securitisations, the STS notification referred to in Article 27;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Regulatory Disclosure - STS Status</p> <p>The Transferor confirms that it will, if set out in the relevant Final Terms or Drawdown Prospectus, as applicable, make an STS notification (as defined in the Securitisation Regulation) to ESMA that the relevant Notes are an STS Securitisation pursuant to Article 18 of the Securitisation Regulation. Such STS compliant securitisations appear on the list of STS Securitisations established and maintained by ESMA in accordance with Article 27(5) of the Securitisation Regulation (each an "STS Securitisation"). The STS notification and accompanying explanation from the Transferor of such transaction's compliance with Articles 20 to 22 of the Securitisation Regulation (compliance with such articles being required to qualify as an STS Securitisation) will be available for inspection at the website set out in the section entitled "General Information".</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

89	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(e) quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following:	
	STS criteria	
	89. quarterly investor reports, or, in the case of ABCP, monthly investor reports, containing the following:	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA:</p> <p>(a) publish on the Reporting Website ongoing information in relation to the Securitised Portfolio in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and (e) (subject to Article 43(8) of the Securitisation and any published guidance of the relevant regulatory or competition authorities) of the Securitisation Regulation, and in relation to STS Securitisations, Article 25(5) of the Securitisation Regulation in the frequency and by the dates specified in the Securitisation Regulation;</p> <p>17. In relation to STS Securitisations:</p> <p>(c) the information required pursuant to Articles 7(2)(a) and (e) of the Securitisation Regulation will be made available on the Reporting Website on an ongoing basis simultaneously.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

90	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(i) all materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of underlying exposures;	
	STS criteria	
	90. (i) all materially relevant data on the credit quality and performance of underlying exposures;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	Refer to 89 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

91	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	(ii) information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties, and, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;
	STS criteria
	91. Information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties,
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	Refer to 89 above.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

92	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	(ii) information on events which trigger changes in the priority of payments or the replacement of any counterparties, and, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;
	STS criteria
	92. And, in the case of a securitisation which is not an ABCP transaction, data on the cash flows generated by the underlying exposures and by the liabilities of the securitisation;
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	Refer to 89 above.
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

93	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(iii) information about the risk retained, including information on which of the modalities provided for in Article 6(3) has been applied, in accordance with Article 6.	
	STS criteria	
	93. (iii) information about the risk retained, including information on which of the modalities provided for in Article 6(3) has been applied, in accordance with Article 6.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Refer to 89 above.</p> <p>Section Regulatory Disclosure - Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>The Transferor Beneficiary (as originator for the purposes of the Securitisation Regulation) will:</p> <p>(a) retain, on an ongoing basis, a material net economic interest of not less than 5 per cent. in the securitisation as required by Article 6(1) of the Securitisation Regulation;</p> <p>(b) at all relevant times comply with the requirements of Article 7(1)(e)(iii) of the Securitisation Regulation by confirming in the investor reports the risk retention of the seller as contemplated by Article 6(1) of the Securitisation Regulation;</p> <p>The Transferor Beneficiary intends to retain a material net economic interest of not less than 5 per cent. in the securitisation by way of a retention in accordance with paragraph 3(b) of Article 6. Any change to the manner in which such interest is held will be notified to noteholders in accordance with the conditions.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

94	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;	
	STS criteria	
	94. (f) any inside information relating to the securitisation that the originator, sponsor or SSPE is obliged to make public in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on insider dealing and market manipulation;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation 15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA: (c) publish on the Reporting Website any information required by and in accordance with Article 7(1)(f) and (g) of the Securitisation Regulation without delay.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

95	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:	
	(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;	
	STS criteria	
	95. (g) where point (f) does not apply, any significant event such as:	
	(i) a material breach of the obligations laid down in the documents provided in accordance with point (b), including any remedy, waiver or consent subsequently provided in relation to such a breach;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation	
	15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA:	
	(c) publish on the Reporting Website any information required by and in accordance with Article 7(1)(f) and (g) of the Securitisation Regulation without delay.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

96	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;	
	STS criteria	
	96. (ii) a change in the structural features that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See 95 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

97	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;	
	STS criteria	
	97. (iii) a change in the risk characteristics of the securitisation or of the underlying exposures that can materially impact the performance of the securitisation;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See 95 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

98	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(iv) in the case of STS securitisations, where the securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions;	
	STS criteria	
	98. (iv) in the case of STS securitisations, where the securitisation ceases to meet the STS requirements or where competent authorities have taken remedial or administrative actions;	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See 95 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

99	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	(v) any material amendment to transaction documents.	
	STS criteria	
	99. (v) any material amendment to transaction documents.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	See 95 above.	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

100	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	The information described in points (a) and (e) of the first subparagraph shall be made available simultaneously each quarter at the latest one month after the due date for the payment of interest [...ABCP provisions]	
	STS criteria	
	100. The information described in points (a) and (e) of the first subparagraph shall be made available simultaneously each quarter at the latest one month after the due date for the payment of interest [...ABCP provisions]	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>General Information</p> <p>Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA:</p> <p>(a) publish on the Reporting Website ongoing information in relation to the Securitised Portfolio in accordance with the requirements of Articles 7(1)(a) and (e) (subject to Article 43(8) of the Securitisation Regulation and any published guidance of the relevant regulatory or competition authorities) of the Securitisation Regulation, and in relation to STS Securitisations, Article 22(5) of the Securitisation Regulation in the frequency and by the dates specified in the Securitisation Regulation;</p> <p>17. In relation to STS Securitisations:</p> <p>(c) the information required pursuant to Articles 7(2)(a) and (e) of the Securitisation Regulation will be made available on the Reporting Website on an ongoing basis simultaneously.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

101	Legislative text
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency
	Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 596/2014, the information described in points (f) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall be made available without delay
	When complying with this paragraph, the originator, sponsor and SSPE of a securitisation shall comply with national and Union law governing the protection of confidentiality of information and the processing of personal data in order to avoid potential breaches of such law as well as any confidentiality obligation relating to customer, original lender or debtor information, unless such confidential information is anonymised or aggregated.
	In particular, with regard to the information referred to in point (b) the originator, sponsor and SSPE may provide a summary of the concerned documentation.
	Competent authorities referred to in Article 29 shall be able to request the provision of such confidential information to them in order to fulfil their duties under this Regulation.
	STS criteria
	101. Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 596/2014, the information described in points (f) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall be made available without delay
	Verified?
	Yes
	PCS Comment
	General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation
	15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA:
	(c) publish on the Reporting Website any information required by and in accordance with Article 7(1)(f) and (g) of the Securitisation Regulation without delay.
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines

102	Legislative text		
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency		
	<p>7.2 The entity designated in accordance with the first subparagraph shall make the information for a securitisation transaction available by means of a securitisation repository.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>The obligations referred to in the second and fourth subparagraphs shall not apply to securitisations where no prospectus has to be drawn up in compliance with Directive 2003/71/EC.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10, the entity designated to fulfil the requirements set out in paragraph 1 of this Article shall make the information available by means of a website that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) includes a well-functioning data quality control system; (b) is subject to appropriate governance standards and to maintenance and operation of an adequate organisational structure that ensures the continuity and orderly functioning of the website; (c) is subject to appropriate systems, controls and procedures that identify all relevant sources of operational risk; (d) includes systems that ensure the protection and integrity of the information received and the prompt recording of the information; and (e) makes it possible to keep record of the information for at least five years after the maturity date of the securitisation. 		
	STS criteria		
	<p>102. Where no securitisation repository is registered in accordance with Article 10, the entity designated to fulfil the requirements set out in paragraph 1 of this Article shall make the information available by means of a website that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) includes a well-functioning data quality control system; (b) is subject to appropriate governance standards and to maintenance and operation of an adequate organisational structure that ensures the continuity and orderly functioning of the website; (c) is subject to appropriate systems, controls and procedures that identify all relevant sources of operational risk; (d) includes systems that ensure the protection and integrity of the information received and the prompt recording of the information; and (e) makes it possible to keep record of the information for at least five years after the maturity date of the securitisation 		
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="230 943 1153 975">Verified?</td><td data-bbox="1153 943 2103 975">Yes</td></tr> </table>	Verified?	Yes
Verified?	Yes		
	PCS Comment		
	<p>See General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA:</p> <p>The reports set out in paragraph (a) above and the documentation and information set out in paragraphs (b) and (c) above shall be published on the European DataWarehouse GmbH's website at https://editor.eurodw.eu/editor (the "Reporting Website") which conforms with the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation no later than the specified requirements pursuant to Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. For the avoidance of doubt, this website and the contents thereof do not form part of this Base Prospectus.</p>		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on background and rationale		
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines		

--	--

103	Legislative text	
	Article 22 - Requirements relating to transparency	
	7.2 The entity responsible for reporting the information, and the securitisation repository where the information is made available shall be indicated in the documentation regarding the securitisation.	
	STS criteria	
	103. The entity responsible for reporting the information, and the securitisation repository where the information is made available shall be indicated in the documentation regarding the securitisation.	
	Verified?	Yes
	PCS Comment	
	<p>Regulatory Disclosure - Securitisation Regulation, Transparency Requirements</p> <p>The Issuer as the SSPE has been appointed as the designated entity under Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. The Issuer has appointed the Servicer to perform all of the Issuer's obligations under Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation. In relation to any Notes which are awarded STS status, Bank of Scotland as the sponsor and the originator is responsible for compliance with Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation. For further information in relation to the provision of information please refer to the section entitled "General Information".</p> <p>See General Information - Further information available to noteholders pursuant to the Securitisation Regulation</p> <p>15. The Issuer will procure that the Servicer will pursuant to the terms of the RTDSA:</p> <p>The reports set out in paragraph (a) above and the documentation and information set out in paragraphs (b) and (c) above shall be published on the European DataWarehouse GmbH's website at https://editor.eurodw.eu/editor (the "Reporting Website") which conforms with the requirements set out in Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation no later than the specified requirements pursuant to Article 7(2) of the Securitisation Regulation. For the avoidance of doubt, this website and the contents thereof do not form part of this Base Prospectus.</p>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines – statements on <i>background and rationale</i>	
	EBA Final non-ABCP STS Guidelines	

Definitions:

“AUP”: the agreed upon procedures through which an external firm verifies certain aspects of the asset pool.

“COMI”: centre of main interest – broadly, the legal jurisdiction where the insolvency of the seller of assets will be primarily determined.

“Issuer Notification”: the notification provided by the originator or sponsor pursuant to article 27 of the STS Regulation.

“Jurisdiction List”: the list of jurisdictions where it has been determined that severe clawback provisions do not apply.

“Legal Opinion”: an opinion signed by a law firm qualified in the relevant jurisdiction and acting for the originator or the arranger where the law firm sets out the reasons why, in its opinion and subject to customary assumptions and qualifications, the assets are transferred in such a way as to meet the STS Criterion for “true sale” or the same type of opinion for prior sales together with an opinion on the enforceability of the underlying assets.

“Marketing Documents”: Documents prepared by or on behalf of the originator and used in the marketing of the transaction with potential investors.

“Model”: a liability cash flow model which precisely represents the contractual relationship between the underlying exposures and the payments flowing between the originator, sponsor, investors, other third parties and the SSPE.

“PoP”: the priority of payments.

“Prospectus/Deal Sheet”: the prospectus, or for a deal where no prospectus needs to be drawn up, the deal sheet envisaged by article 7.1(c) of the STS Regulation.

“Transaction Document”: a document entered into in relation to the transaction binding on one or more parties connected to the transaction.